



भारत का राजपत्र

The Gazette of India

प्राविकार से प्रकाशित

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

सं० ३५] नई दिल्ली, शनिवार, सितम्बर २, १९६७/भाद्र ११, १८८९
 No. 35] NEW DELHI, SATURDAY, SEPTEMBER 2, 1967/BHADRA 11, 1889

इस भाग में भिन्न पृष्ठ संख्या वी जाती है जिससे कि यह अला संकलन के रूप में रखा जा सके।

Separate paging is given to this Part in order that it may be filed as a separate compilation.

भाग II—खण्ड ३—उपखण्ड (ii)

PART II—Section 3—Sub-section (ii)

(क्षा मंत्रालय को छोड़कर) भारत सरकार के मंत्रालयों और (संघ क्षेत्र प्रशासन को छोड़कर) केन्द्रीय प्राविकारणों द्वारा जारी किए गए विभिन्न आदेश और अधिसूचनाएं।

Statutory orders and notifications issued by the Ministries of the Government of India (other than the Ministry of Defence) and by Central Authorities (other than the Administration of Union Territories)

ELECTION COMMISSION, INDIA

New Delhi, the 21st August 1967

S.O. 2957.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (i) of section 13A of the Representation of the People Act, 1950 (43 of 1950), the Election Commission, in consultation with the Government of Manipur hereby nominates Shri Om Prakash Mehrotra as the Chief Electoral Officer for the Union Territory of Manipur with effect from the 17th July, 1967 and until further orders vice Shri H. M. Srivastava.

[No. 154/19/67.]

ORDERS

New Delhi, the 22nd August 1967

S.O. 2958.—Whereas, the Election Commission is satisfied that Shri Laxminarayan Radhakishan a contesting candidate for election to the House of the People from Khargone constituency, has failed to lodge an account of his election expenses in the manner required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951, and the Rules made thereunder;

And whereas, after considering the representation made by the said candidate, the Election Commission is further satisfied that he has no good reason or justification for the failure;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 10A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the said Shri Laxminarayan Radhakishan to be disqualified for being chosen as, and for being, a member of either House of Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State for a period of three years from the date of this order.

[No. MP-HP/35/67.]

New Delhi, the 23rd August 1967

S.O. 2959.—Whereas the Election Commission is satisfied that Shri Phatik Chandra Sarkar of Village Chakshib, P.O. Balapur District West Dinajpur, a contesting candidate for election to the House of the People from Balurghat Constituency, has failed to lodge an account of his election expenses as required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951, and the Rules made thereunder;

And whereas the said candidate, even after due notice, has not given any reason or explanation for the failure;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 10A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the said Shri Phatik Chandra Sarkar to be disqualified for being chosen as, and for being, a member of either House of Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State for a period of three years from the date of this Order.

[No. WB-HP/5/67/(1).]

By Order,

K. S. RAJAGOPALAN, Secy.

MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS

New Delhi, the 17th August 1967

S.O. 2960.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 492 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1898 (5 of 1898), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri V. P. Raman, Advocate of Madras, as a Public Prosecutor for the conduct of cases before the High Court of Judicature at Madras, Criminal petitions Numbers 1178, 1180 and 1181/66 for enhancement of sentences passed in C.C. Nos. 3615, 3616 and 3617/66 on the file of the Second Presidency Magistrate, George Town, Madras.

[No. 225/14/67-AVDII.]

C. A. NAIR, Under Secy.

MINISTRY OF COMMERCE

New Delhi, the 21st August 1967

S.O. 2961.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of section 5 of the Trade and Merchandise Marks Act, 1958 (43 of 1958), the Central Government hereby makes the following further amendment in the notification of the Government of India, in the late Ministry of Commerce and Industry No. S.O. 2601 dated the 25th November, 1959, namely:—

In the said notification,

against the entry 'Office of the Trade Marks Registry at Okhla Industrial Estate (Delhi)' in the first column, for the entry "the States of Jammu and Kashmir, Punjab, Rajasthan and Uttar Pradesh and the Union Territories of Delhi and Himachal Pradesh" in the second column, entry "the States of Haryana, Jammu and Kashmir, Punjab, Rajasthan and Uttar Pradesh and the Union territories of Chandigarh, Delhi and Himachal Pradesh" shall be substituted.

[No. 2(2) Exp. Insp/TM/67.]

M. L. GUPTA, Under Secy.

COFFEE CONTROL

New Delhi, the 18th August 1967

S.O. 2962.—In pursuance of clause (b) of sub-section (2) of Section 4 of the Coffee Act, 1942 (7 of 1942), the Central Government hereby notifies that Shri N. Narotham Reddy, Member of Parliament, has been elected by the Rajya Sabha as a member of the Coffee Board for a period of three years from the 18th August, 1967 or for so long as he continues to be a Member of the Rajya Sabha, whichever is less.

[No 1(1)Plant(B)67.]

S.O. 2963.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (2) of section 4 of the Coffee Act, 1942 (7 of 1942) read with clause (c) of sub-rule (2) of rule 3 of the Coffee Rules, 1955, the Central Government hereby appoints Shri A. M. Basavegowda, as a member of the Coffee Board to represent 'other interests' for the period commencing from the date of publication of this notification and ending with the 18th April, 1968, in place of Dr. K. Ramiah, who has since resigned, and directs that the following further amendments shall be made in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Commerce No. S.O. 1291 dated the 12th April, 1965, published in sub-section (ii) of Section 3 of Part II of the Gazette of India dated the 24th April, 1965, namely:—

In the said notification, against item 23, for the existing entries, the following entries shall be substituted, namely:—

"Shri A. M. Basavagowda,
Ex. M.L.A.
Coffee Planter,
Thippanahalli Estate,
Chickmagalur District-Mysore State".

[No 1(1)Plant(B)67.]

RUBBER CONTROL

New Delhi, the 25th August 1967

S.O. 2964.—Shri T. V. Joseph, Secretary, Rubber Board, Kottayam, is granted earned leave for 58 days with effect from the 4th September, 1967.

In pursuance of sub-section (2) of section 6A of the Rubber Act, 1947 (24 of 1947), Shri K. V. Chacko, Finance and Accounts Officer in the Rubber Board, is hereby appointed to officiate as Secretary, in addition to his duties, during the period of leave of Shri Joseph.

[No. 21 (19)Plant(B)/67.]

B. KRISHNAMURTHY, Under Secy.

(Office of the Jt. Chief Controller of Imports & Exports)

(Central Licensing Area)

ORDERS

New Delhi, the 31st July 1967

S.O. 2965.—Whereas Messrs Shahji and Sons Pvt. Ltd., 1-5 B. Asaf Ali Road, New Delhi or any bank or any other person have not come forward furnishing sufficient cause against Notice No. S-6/67/ENF/CLA/1837 dated 16th June, 1967 proposing to cancel licence No. P/EI/0156895/T/IR/24/CD/24/NQQ/Adhoc dated 11th May, 1967 for the imports of Dry Fruits for Rs. 10,412/- granted to said Messrs. Shahji & Sons Pvt. Ltd. 1-5 B, Asaf Ali Road, New Delhi by the Joint Chief Controller of Imports and Exports (Central Licensing Area), New Delhi, Govt. of India, in the Ministry of Commerce in exercise of the powers conferred by the Clause 9 of the Import (Control) Order, 1955 hereby cancel the said licence No. P/EI/0156895/T/IR/24/CD/24/NQQ/Adhoc dated 11th May, 1967 issued to Messrs. Shahji and Sons, Ltd., 1-5 B, Asaf Ali Road, New Delhi.

[No. S-6/67/ENF/CLA/2326.]

New Delhi, the 18th August 1967

S.O. 2966.—M/s. Gobind Ram Kishan Chand, 156/1-1, Hall Bazar, Amritsar were granted an established importers licence No. P/EI/0097431/C/XX/21-22/C-D/21-22/Annual dated 30th August 1965 for Rs. 250 for import of Instruments, Apparatus and Appliances and parts thereof including Cinemagraphic and parts thereof—others as per Red Book for AM 1966 licensing period. They have applied for the Duplicate Custom Purposes Copy of the said licence on the ground that the original Custom Purpose Copy has been lost or misplaced. It is further stated by the firm that the original Customs Purpose Copy of the licence was not registered with Custom House and hence has not been utilised.

In support of this declaration, the applicant has filed an affidavit duly attested by Oath Commissioner stating that the original Custom Purpose Copy of the licence has been lost or misplaced.

I am satisfied that the said original Custom Purpose Copy of licence No. P/EI/0097431/C/XX/21-22/C-D/21-22/Annual has been lost and direct that a duplicate Custom Purposes copy should be issued to the applicant. The original Custom Purpose copy of the licence is cancelled.

[No. 92-V/59/AM'66/QL/CLA/2122.]

RAJ PAL,

Jt. Chief Controller of Imports and Exports

(Office of the Chief Controller of Imports & Exports)

ORDERS

New Delhi, the 9th August 1967

S.O. 2967.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Clause 9 of the Import Control 1955, dated 7th December, 1955 as amended, the undersigned hereby cancels the Customs Purposes and Exchange Control Purposes (both copies) of Import Licence No. G/AU/1029698/c/xx/23/c/h/23, dated 25th November, 1966 for the Import of Rolls Strip Charts valued at Rs. 1,420 issued in favour of the General Manager, Hindustan Steel Ltd., Fertilizer Plant, P.O. Rourkela (Orissa).

The reason for the cancellation is that the party do not want to utilise the licence.

To,
M/s. Hindustan Steel Ltd.,
Fertilizer Plant, P.O.
Rourkela (Orissa).

[No. F.HSL/207/66-67/PLS.]

S.O. 2968.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause 9 of the Import Control Order 1955, dated 7th December, 1955, as amended, the undersigned hereby cancels the Customs Purposes and Exchange Control Purposes (copies) of Import Licence No. G/AU/1029376/C/XX/23/c/h/23, dated 12th October, 1966 for the Import of 390 strip Charts valued at Rs. 2,835 issued in favour of the General Manager, Hindustan Steel Ltd., Fertilizer Plant, Rourkela-7 (Orissa).

The reason for the cancellation is that the licensee is not utilising the same.

To,
M/s. Hindustan Steel Ltd.,
Fertilizer Plant,
Rourkela-7 (Orissa).

[No. F.RP/GDR/563/65-66/L-I(A)/PLS.]

S. A. SESHAN,

Dy. Chief Controller of Imports and Exports,
for Chief Controller of Imports and Exports.

(Office of the Chief Controller of Imports & Exports)

ORDER

New Delhi, the 19th August 1967

S.O. 2969—M/s. Sheo Rice & Flour Mills, Risia, Distt. Bahraich (U.P.) were granted an import licence No. P/CG/2040564/T/CZ/18/C/H/18-CG. III dated 15th January 1964 for Rs. 11,420/- (Rupees eleven thousand four hundred & twenty only). They have applied for issue of a duplicate exchange control purposes copy of the said licence on the ground that the original exchange control copy has been lost in the postal transit with the State Bank of India, Bahraich (U.P.). It is further stated that the original exchange control copy was registered with the State Bank of India, Bahraich, and utilised partly. It was utilised for Rs. 5,888/- and the balance available on it was Rs. 5,532/- as certified by the State Bank of India, Bahraich.

2. In support of this contention, the applicant has filed an affidavit alongwith a certificate from the State Bank of India, Bahraich. I am accordingly satisfied that the original exchange control purposes copy of the said licence has been lost. Therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred under Sub-clause 9(cc) of the Imports (Control) Order 1955 dated 7th December 1955 as amended, the original Exchange Control Copy of the licence No. P/CG/2040564/T/CZ/18/C/H/18-CG. III dated 15th January 1964 issued to M/s. Sheo Rice and Flour Mills, Risia, Distt. Bahraich (U.P.) is hereby cancelled.

3. A duplicate exchange control copy of the said licence is being issued separately to the licensee.

[No. 30/204/62-63/CG. III.]

Y. J. DENNISON,

Deputy Chief Controller of Imports and Exports.

(Office of the Chief Controller of Imports & Exports)

ORDERS

New Delhi, the 21st August 1967

S.O. 2970—M/s. The Alkali and Chemical Corporation of India Ltd, P. O. Rishra were granted Import licence No. P/RM/2145941/C/MG/21/C/H/20 dated 21st June 1965. They have applied for a duplicate copy of the licence for customs purposes on the ground that the original customs copy for the balance amount of Rs. 2,47,227 has been lost or misplaced.

In support of this contention the applicant firm have filed an affidavit. I am satisfied that the original customs copy of licence No. P/RM/2145941/C/MG/21/C/H/20 dated 21st June 1965 has been lost/misplaced and direct that a duplicate customs purposes copy of the licence should be issued to the applicant. The original customs copy of the said licence is cancelled.

M/s The Alkali & Chemical Corporation of India Ltd., P.O. Rishra, Distt. Hooghly, (West Bengal).

[No. Ch/Rp/Hung-41/65-66/RM 3.]

New Delhi, the 23rd August 1967

S.O. 2971—M/s. Hindustan Celluloid and Plastic Industries were granted an import Licence No. P/RM/2149161, dated 20th May, 1966. They have applied for a duplicate Customs copy of the licence on the ground that the original Customs copy of the licence has been lost or misplaced.

In support of this contention, the applicant has filed an affidavit. I am satisfied that the original customs copy of licence No. P/RM/2149161 has been lost/misplaced and direct that a duplicate Customs copy of the licence should be issued to the applicant. The original Customs copy of the licence is cancelled.

[No. Plastic/14/66-67/RM. 3/U.S. Aid 66/R.M. 6.]

P. C. VERMA,

Dy. Chief Controller of Imports and Exports.

M/s. Hindustan Celluloid & Plastic Industries, 12/16, 3rd, Bhowada, 2nd Floor, Bombay.

MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM & CHEMICALS

New Delhi, the 17th August 1967

S.O. 2972.—Whereas by a notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum & Chemicals S.O. No. 3289 dated the 12th November, 1963 under sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962, the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the land specified in the schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying Pipelines.

And Whereas, the Competent Authority has under sub-section (1) of section 4 of the said Act submitted report to the Government.

And whereas the Central Government has after considering the said report decided to acquire the right of user in the land specified in the schedule appended to this notification.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 6 of the said Act the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the said land specified in the schedule appended to this notification is hereby acquired for laying the Pipelines and in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of that section the Central Government directs that the right of user in the said land, shall instead of vesting in the Central Government, vest on the date of the publication of this declaration in the Indian Oil Corporation Limited free from all encumbrances.

SCHEDULE

State : Uttar Pradesh	District : Allahabad	Tahsil : Sirathu
Village	Survey No.	Extent
Sirathu	3313	B-B-B 0-0-10

[No. 31(50)/63-ONG/OR-II.]

New Delhi, the 21st August 1967

S.O. 2973.—Whereas by a notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum & Chemicals S.O. No. 3006 dated the 28th September, 1966 under sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipelines.

And Whereas, the Competent Authority has under sub-section (1) of section 4 of the said Act submitted report to the Government.

And Whereas the Central Government has after considering the said report decided to acquire the right of user in the land specified in the schedule appended to this notification.

Now, Therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 6 of the said Act the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the said lands specified in the schedule appended to this notification is hereby acquired for laying the pipelines and in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of that section the Central Government directs that the right of user in the said lands, shall instead of vesting in the Central Government, vest on the date of the publication of this declaration in the Indian Oil Corporation Limited free from all encumbrances.

SCHEDULE

State : Uttar Pradesh	District : Allahabad	Tahsil : Sirathu
Village	Survey No.	Extent B-B-B
1. Newarhiv.	1793/2	0-0-5
2. Dumaic.	531/2 1171 1208 1372	0-0-10 0-6-0 0-10-0 0-0-5
3. Sirathu.	848	0-6-0
4. Govindpur Gorio.	688	0-0-10

[No. 31/50/63-ONG/OR-Vol.2.]

CORRIGENDUM

New Delhi, the 17th August 1967

S.O. 2974.—In the schedule to the notification of Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum & Chemicals S.O. No. 3005 dated the 23rd September, 1966 published in the Gazette of India Part II section 3 sub-section (ii) dated the 8th October, 1966.

At page 2856

For "Survey No. 1692" read "Survey No. 1693" of village Newarhiya.

[No. 31(50)/63-ONG/OR/Vol.II]

V. P. AGARWAL, Under Secy

MINISTRY OF FOOD, AGRICULTURE, COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT & COOPERATION

(Department of Agriculture)

New Delhi, the 21st August 1967

S.O. 2975.—The following draft of certain rules further to amend the Curry Powder Grading and Marking Rules, 1956, which the Central Government proposes to make, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 3 of the Agricultural Produce (Grading and Marking) Act, 1937 (1 of 1937) are published, as required by the said section for the information of all persons likely to be affected thereby and notice is hereby given that the said draft will be taken into consideration on or after 20th September, 1967.

Any objections or suggestions which may be received from any person with respect to the said draft before the date aforesaid, will be considered by the Central Government.

Draft Rules

1. These rules may be called the Curry Powder Grading and Marking (Amendment) Rules, 1967.

2. In the Curry Powder Grading and Marking Rules, 1956:—

(a) for rules 5 and 6, the following rules shall be substituted, namely:—

"5. Grade designation marks:—

(1) The grade designation marks in the case of Curry Powder packed in polythene or paper bags shall consist of a design incorporating the number of Certificate of Authorisation, the word 'Agmark' and the grade approved by the Agricultural Marketing Adviser.

(2) The grade designation mark in the case of Curry Powder packed in tins or glass containers shall consist of paste-on label, specifying the grade designation and bearing the design of a map of India with the word 'Agmark'. 'भारतीय उत्पाद'

(3) The grade designation mark in the case of Curry Powder packed in containers of Jute or Cloth as also in containers in which sealed polythene bags of graded Curry Powder are packed shall consist of a label, specifying the grade designation and bearing the design (consisting of an outline map of India with the word 'Agmark' and the figure of the rising sun, with the words 'Produce of India' and 'भारतीय उत्पाद' resembling the one as set out in Schedule I.

6. Method of Marking:—

(1) The grade designation mark shall be securely affixed to or printed on each container in a manner approved by the Agricultural Marketing Adviser.

(2) In addition to the above, the following particulars shall also be clearly and indelibly marked on each container:—

- date of packing in code or plain letters,
- lot number, and
- net weight.

(3) An authorised packer may, after obtaining the prior approval of the Agricultural Marketing Adviser, mark his private trade mark on a container, in a manner approved by the said officer, provided that the private trade mark does not represent a quality or grade different from that indicated by the grade designation mark affixed to or printed on the container in accordance with these rules."

[No. 13-20/67-AM.]

S.O. 2976.—The following draft of certain rules further to amend the Ginger Grading and Marking Rules, 1964, which the Central Government proposes to make, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 3 of the Agricultural Produce (Grading and Marking) Act, 1937 (1 of 1937) are published, as required by the said section for the information of all persons likely to be affected thereby and notice is hereby given that the said draft will be taken into consideration on or after the 15th September, 1967.

Any objections or suggestions which may be received from any person with respect to the said draft before the date aforesaid, will be considered by the Central Government.

Draft Rules

1. These rules may be called the Ginger Grading and Marking (Amendment) Rules, 1967.

2. In the Ginger Grading and Marking Rules, 1964—

(i) for sub-rule (2) of rule 1, the following sub-rule shall be substituted, namely:—

"(2) They shall apply to Ginger (*Zingiber officinale*) (whole or powder) produced in India."

(ii) for rule 3, the following rule shall be substituted, namely:—

"3. *Grade Designation.*—The grade designations to indicate the quality of ginger (whether whole or powdered) shall be as set out in column 1 of Schedules II to X";

(iii) in rule 4, for the words and figures "Schedules II to IX", the words and figures "Schedules II to X" shall be substituted;

(iv) for rules 5 and 6, the following rules shall be substituted, namely:—

"5. Grade Designation Mark:—

(1) The grade designation mark in the case of ginger (whether whole or powdered) packed in polythene or paper bags shall consist of a design incorporating the number of the certificate of authorisation, the word 'Agmark' and the grade as approved by the Agricultural Marketing Adviser.

(2) The grade designation mark in the case of ginger powder packed in tins or glass bottles shall consist of a paste-on label specifying the grade designation and bearing the design of map of India with the word 'Agmark'.

(3) The grade designation mark in the case of ginger (whether whole or powdered) packed in containers of jute or cloth as also in containers in which sealed polythene bags of graded ginger (whether whole or powdered) are packed, shall consist of a label specifying the grade designation and bearing a design (consisting of an outline map of India with the words 'Agmark' and the figure of the rising sun with the words 'Produce of India' and ('भारतीय उत्पाद') resembling the one as set out in Schedule I.

6. Methods of Marking:—

- (1) The grade designation mark shall be securely affixed to or printed on each container in a manner approved by the Agricultural Marketing Adviser.
- (2) In addition to the grade designation mark, the following particulars shall also be clearly and indelibly marked on each container, namely:—
 - (a) date of packing in code or plain letters
 - (b) lot number, and
 - (c) net weight.
- (3) An authorised packer may, after obtaining the prior approval of the Agricultural Marketing Adviser, make his private trade mark on a container, in a manner approved by the said officer, provided that the private trade mark does not represent a quality or grade different from that indicated by the grade designation mark affixed to or printed on the container in accordance with these rules."
- (4) after Schedule IX, the following schedule shall be added, namely:—

“SCHEDULE X

(See rules 3 and 4)

Grade designation and definitions of quality of Ginger Powder

Special Characteristics

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

3. It shall be free from coarse particles and ground to such a fineness that the whole of it passes through a 500 micron, seive."

[No. 13-19/67-AM].

S.O. 2977.—The following draft of certain rules further to amend the Turmeric Grading and Marking Rules, 1964, which the Central Government proposes to make, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 3 of the Agricultural Produce (Grading and Marking) Act, 1937 (1 of 1937) are published, as required by the said section for the information of all persons likely to be affected thereby and notice is hereby given that the said draft will be taken into consideration on or after the 15th September, 1967.

Any objections or suggestions which may be received from any person with respect to the said draft before the date aforesaid, will be considered by the Central Government.

Draft Rules

1. These rules may be called the Turmeric Grading and Marking (Amendment) Rules, 1967.

2. In the Turmeric Grading and Marking Rules, 1964—

- (i) in rule 3, for the words, figures and letters "Schedules II, IIIA, IIIB, III, IIIIA and IV", and words, figures and letters "Schedules II, IIIA, IIIB, III, IIIIA, IV and IVA" shall be substituted;
- (ii) in rule 4, for the word and figure 'Schedule IV', the words, figures and letter 'Schedules IV and IVA' shall be substituted;
- (iii) for rules 5 and 6, the following rules shall be substituted, namely:—

"5. Grade designation marks:—

- (1) The grade designation mark in the case of Turmeric (fingers, bulbs and powder) packed in polythene or paper bags shall consist of a design incorporating the number of the Certificate of Authorisation, the word 'Agmark' and the Grade approved by the Agricultural Marketing Adviser.
- (2) The grade designation mark in the case of Turmeric powder packed in tin or glass containers shall consist of paste-on label, specifying the grade designation and bearing the design of a map of India with the word 'Agmark'.
- (3) The grade designation mark in the case of Turmeric (fingers, bulbs and powder) packed in containers of Jute or Cloth as also in containers in which sealed polythene bags of graded turmeric (fingers, bulbs and powder) are packed shall consist of a label specifying the grade designation and bearing a design (consisting of an outline map of India with the word 'Agmark' and the figure of the rising sun with the word 'Produce of India' and ('भारतीय उत्पाद') resembling the one as set out in Schedule I.

6. Method of Marking:—

- (1) The grade designation mark shall be securely affixed to or printed on each container in a manner approved by the Agricultural Marketing Adviser.
- (2) In addition to the above the following particulars shall also be clearly and indelibly marked on each container:—
 - (a) date of packing in code or plain letters,
 - (b) lot number, and
 - (c) net weight.

(3) An authorised packer may, after obtaining the prior approval of the Agricultural Marketing Adviser, mark his private trade mark on a container, in a manner approved by the said officer, provided that the private trade mark does not represent a quality or grade different from that indicated by the grade designation mark affixed to or printed on the container in accordance with these rules."

(4) After Schedule IV, the following Schedule shall be added, namely:—

"SCHEDULE IV A"

(See rules 3 and 4)

*Grade designation and definition of quality of Turmeric powder
(Coarse ground)*

Special Characteristics

Grade Designation	Moisture % by wt. max.	Total Ash wt. max.	Acid insoluble % by wt. max.	Lead as ash % parts per million max.	Starch by wt. max.	Chromate test	General Characteristics
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Standard	10.0	9.0	1.5	2.5	60.0	Negative	<p>1. The turmeric powder shall be prepared by grinding clean dry turmeric (<i>Curcuma longa L.</i>) rhizomes.</p> <p>2. It shall have its characteristics taste, flavour and be free from musty odour.</p> <p>3. It shall be free from dirt mould growth and insect infestation.</p> <p>4. It shall be free from any colouring matter such as lead chromate preservatives and extraneous matters such as cereal or pulse, flour or any added starch.</p> <p>5. It shall be ground to such a fineness that all of it passes through 500 micron sieve."</p>

[No. F. 13-24/67-AM.]

E. K. BALASUNDARAM, Under Secy.

MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND FAMILY PLANNING

(Department of Health)

New Delhi, the 19th August 1967

S.O. 2978.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of section 8 of the Provident Funds Act, 1925 (19 of 1925), the Central Government hereby adds to the list of institutions specified in the Schedule to the said Act the following institution, namely:—

“The All India Institute of Speech and Hearing, Mysore”.

[No. F. 11-4/67-MA.]

New Delhi, the 21st August 1967

S.O. 2979.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of section 8 of the Provident Funds Act 1925 (19 of 1925), the Central Government hereby directs that the provision of the said Act shall apply to the Provident Fund established for the benefit of the employees of the All India Institute of Speech and Hearing, Mysore.

[No. F. 11-4/67-MA.]

R. MURTHI, Under Secy.

(Department of Health)

CORIGENDUM

New Delhi, the 24th August 1967

S.O. 2980.—In the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Health and Family Planning (Department of Health) No. S.O. 1681 published at page 1681 in Part II, Section 3(ii) of the Gazette of India dated the 13th May, 1967—

- (i) in line 21 for “a capacity”, read “a cubic capacity”;
- (ii) in line 22 for “5700 metres”, read “5700 cubic metres”;
- (iii) in line 53 for “14,200 metres”, read “14,200 cubic metres”.

[No. F. 13-26/61-IH.]

AMAR NATH VARMA, Under Secy.

MINISTRY OF TRANSPORT AND SHIPPING

(Transport Wing)

New Delhi, the 21st August 1967

S.O. 2981.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 3 of the Jayanti Shipping Company (Taking Over of Management) Act, 1966 (24 of 1966), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri P. N. Jain, Joint Secretary, Ministry of Finance, Government of India, to be a member of the Board of Control from the 29th April, 1967, vice Shri T. P. Singh, resigned, and makes the following further amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Transport and Aviation, Department of Transport, Shipping and Tourism (Transport Wing) No. S.O. 1781, dated the 10th June, 1968, namely:

In the said notification for the entry against Serial No. 2, the following entry shall be substituted, namely:

“Shri P. N. Jain, Joint Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Finance.”

[No. 36-MD(60)/66.]

B. P. SRIVASATAVA, Dy. Secy.

MINISTRY OF WORKS, HOUSING AND SUPPLY

(Dept. of Works and Housing)

New Delhi, the 22nd August 1967

S.O. 2982.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 3 of the Public Premises (Eviction of Unauthorised Occupants) Act, 1958, (32 of 1958), the Central Government hereby appoints the officer mentioned in column 1 of the Table below, being a gazetted officer of the Government, to be an estate officer for the purposes of the said Act, who shall exercise the powers conferred, and perform the duties imposed, on estate officers by or under the said Act within the local limits of their respective jurisdiction, in respect of the public premises specified in the corresponding entry in column 2 of the said Table.

TABLE

Designation of the officer	Categories of public premises and local limits of jurisdiction
1 Chief Technologist (Extension), National Sugar Institute, Kanpur.	2 Premises under the administrative control of the Director, National Sugar Institute, situated within the municipal corporation limit of Kanpur.

[No. F. 21011(4)/66-Pol.]
V. P. AGNIHOTRI, Dy. Secy.

MINISTRY OF EDUCATION

New Delhi, the 22nd August 1967

S.O. 2983.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 11 of the Copyright Act, 1957 (14 of 1957), the Central Government hereby appoints Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Education, as a member of the Copyright Board vice Shri S. N. Bagchi resigned.

Shri P. N. Kirpal shall hold office upto 30th April, 1968.

[No. F. 4(2)/66-C.O.]

T. S. KRISHNAMURTI, Dy. Secy.

शिक्षा मंत्रालय

कापीराइट कार्यालय

नई दिल्ली, 22 अगस्त, 1967

एस० ओ० 2984.—कापीराइट अधिनियम, 1957 (1957 का 14वाँ) की धारा 11 द्वारा प्रदत्त अधिकारों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार, सचिव, शिक्षा मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार को श्री एस० एन० अग्रवाल, जिन्होंने इस्तिफा दे दिया है, के स्थान पर कापीराइट बोर्ड के एक सदस्य के रूप में नियुक्त करती है।

श्री पी० एन० कृपाल 30 अप्रैल, 1968 तक पदासीन रहेंगे।

[स० एफ० 4 (2)/66 स० ओ०]

टी० एस० कृष्णामूर्ति, उपसचिव १

DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNICATIONS

(P. & T. Board)

New Delhi, the 25th August 1967

S.O. 2985.—In pursuance of para (a) of Section III of Rule 434 of Indian Telegraph Rules, 1951, as introduced by S.O. No. 627, dated 8th March, 1960, the Director General Posts and Telegraphs, hereby specifies the 16th September 1967 as the date on which the Measured Rate System will be introduced in TADEPALLI-GUDEM Telephone Exchange.

[No. 5-41/67-PHB.]

D. R. BAHL,
Assistant Director General (PHB).—

संचार विभाग

(आक-तार ओर्ड)

नई दिल्ली, 25 अगस्त 1967

एस० ओ० 2986.—स्थाई आवेश क्रमसंख्या 627, दिनांक 8 मार्च 1960 द्वारा लागू किए गए 1951 के सार्वत्रीय तार नियमों के नियम 434 के खण्ड III के पैरा (क) के अनुसार आक-तार महानिदेशक ने ताडेपल्लीगुडेम टेलीफोन केन्द्र में 16-9-67 से प्रमापित दर प्रणाली लागू करने का निश्चय किया है।

[स० 5-41/67-पी० एच० बी०]

डॉ० आर० बहल,
सहायक मंहा निदेशक (पी०एच०बी०)

MINISTRY OF INFORMATION AND BROADCASTING

New Delhi, the 19th August 1967

S.O. 2987.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 3(1) of the Cinematograph Act, 1952 and sub-rule (3) of rule 8 read with sub-rule 2 of rule 9 of the Cinematograph (Censorship) Rules, 1958, the Central Government hereby appoints the following persons after consultation with the Central Board of Film Censors as members of the Advisory Panel of the said Board at Calcutta with immediate effect.

1. Shri B. Barooah.
2. Shri Sailen Mookerji.
3. Smt. Kajal Sen Gupta
4. Smt. Shaibya Dutt.
5. Smt. Abu Sayeed Ayyub.

[No. 11/3/68-FC.]

H. C. KHANNA, Dy. Secy.

MINISTRY OF INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AND COMPANY AFFAIRS

(Department of Industrial Development)

ORDER

New Delhi, the 22nd August 1967

S.O. 2988/IDRA/6/67.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 6 of the Industries (Development and Regulation) Act, 1951 (65 of 1951) read with Rules 5(1) and 8 of the Development Councils (Procedural) Rules, 1952, the Central Government hereby appoints Shri K. K. Ram, President, Hindu Motors Employees Union, P-134, Mudiali Road, Calcutta-24, to be a member of the Development Council established by the order of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Industry No. S.O. 940, dated the 21st March 1966, for the scheduled industries engaged in the manufacture or production of Automobiles, Automobiles Ancillary Industries, Transport Vehicle Industries, Tractors and Earth-Moving Equipment and Internal Combustion Engines, and directs that the following amendment shall be made in the said order, namely:—

For the entry:—

“29. Dr. G. S. Malkote, M.P.
213, Vithalbhai Patel Society,
Rafi Marg,
New Delhi”

The following shall be substituted:—

“29. Shri K. K. Ram,
President,
Hind Motors Employees Union,
P-134, Mudiali Road,
Calcutta—24”

[No. 1(93)/65-A.E.Ind.(I).]

S R KAPUR, Under Secy.

(Dept. of Industrial Development)

CORRIGENDUM

New Delhi, the 14th August 1967

S.O. 2989.—In the erstwhile Ministry of Industry order No. S.O./IDRA/6/5 dated the 5th March 1966 published in Part 12 Section 3 Sub-section (ii) of the Gazette of India dated the 9th April, 1966:—

I. For 4 Shri K. T. Antony,
M/a, Traco Cables Ltd.,
Mahatma Gandhi Road,
Ernakulam-1. (Kerala State)

Read 4 Shri C. M. Antony
Managing Director,
Traco Cables Ltd.,
Mahatma Gandhi Road,
Ernakulam-1 (Kerala State)

and for II Shri Y. S. Venkateswaran,
Deputy Director (Electro-Chemical)
Indian Standards Institution,
Manak Bhavan, 9, Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg.
New Delhi-1.

Read II Shri Y. S. Venkateswaran,
Director (Electrotechnical)
Indian Standards Institution,
Manak Bhavan, 9, Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg,
New Delhi-1.

[No. EEI-19(20)/63.]

K. N. SHENOY, Dy. Secy

(Department of Industrial Development)

(Indian Standards Institution)

New Delhi, the 17th August 1967

S.O. 2990—In pursuance of Sub-regulation (4) of Regulation 14 of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Regulation, 1955, as subsequently amended, the Indian Standards Institution hereby notifies that Certification Marks Licences No. CM/L-797 and CM/L-798, particulars of which are given in the Schedule hereto annexed, have been cancelled with effect from 7 July 1967.

THE SCHEDULE

Licence No. and Date	Name and Address of the Licensee	Article/Process Covered by the Licence	Relevant Indian Standard
CM/L-797 30-9-1964	M/s Rathi Brothers, Loni Road, Shahdara, Delhi-32	Structural Steel (Standard Quality), tested steel sections of the following sizes only: (i) Rounds and squares 6 mm to 32 mm ($\frac{1}{4}$ inch to $1\frac{1}{2}$ inch) (ii) Flats—Width 12.7 mm to 76 mm ($\frac{1}{2}$ inch to 3 inch) Thickness 3 mm to 19 mm ($\frac{1}{8}$ inch to $\frac{1}{2}$ inch) (iii) Angles—50 mm \times 50 mm \times 6 mm maximum (2 inch \times 2 inch \times $\frac{1}{4}$ inch maximum)	IS: 226—1962 Specification for Structural Steel (Standard Quality, (Third Revision).
CM/L-798 30-9-1964	M/s Rathi Brothers, Loni Road, Shahdara, Delhi-32	Structural Steel (Ordinary Quality), tested steel sections of the following sizes only: (i) Rounds and squares 6 mm to 32 mm ($\frac{1}{4}$ inch to $1\frac{1}{2}$ inch) (ii) Flats—Width 12.7 mm to 76 mm ($\frac{1}{2}$ inch to 3 inch) Thickness 3 mm to 19 mm ($\frac{1}{8}$ inch to $\frac{1}{2}$ inch) (iii) Angles—50 mm \times 50 mm \times 6 mm maximum (2 inch \times 2 inch \times $\frac{1}{4}$ inch maximum)	IS: 1977—1962 Specification for Structural Steel (Ordinary Quality)

[No. MD/55: 797.]

New Delhi, the 18th August 1967

S.O. 2991—The Certification Marks Licences, details of which are mentioned in the schedule given hereafter, have lapsed or their renewal deferred.

SCHEDULE

S.I. No.	License No. and date	Licensee's Name and Address	Article/Process and the Relevant IS: No.	S.O. No. and Date of the Gazette Notifying Grant of Licence	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6
1	CM/L-323 18-7-1961	The Metal Box Co. of India Ltd., Elaiya Mudali Street, Tondiarpet, Madras-21	18-Litre square tins—IS : 916—1966	S.O. 1948 dated 19-8-1961	Lapsed 31-7-1967 after
2	CM/L-432 18-7-1962	Victor Cables Corp., 802 Joshi Road, Karol Bagh, New Delhi-5	PVC insulated cables of 250/440 and 650/1100 volts grade with copper or aluminium conductors, PVC insulated flexible cords 250/440 volts grade with copper conducts only—IS : 694 (Parts I & II)—1964	S.O. 2629 dated 25-8-1962	Deferred 31-7-1967 after
3	CM/L-476 29-11-1962	Racmann Koshatkinn (Regd), 53 Industrial Area, Najaigarh Road, New Delhi-15	Spring leaf—IS:1135—1957	..	Lapsed 15-7-1967 after
4	CM/L-679 29-5-1964	Optimohar Industries Pvt. Ltd., Harichand Textile Mills Compound, Vikhroli, Bombay-79	1. Oil pressure stoves, Types A ₁ B ₁ and B ₂ . 2. Roarer and silencer type burners for oil pressure stoves—IS: 1342—1964	S.O. 2173 dated 20-6-1964	Deferred 30-6-1967 after
5	CM/L-695 17-6-1964	Spect Industries Pvt. Ltd., Agra Road, Opp. A.P.L, Bhandup, Bombay-78.	Motors with class 'A' insulation 1/2 HP, 1/3 HP, 1/4 HP, single phase capacitor start, and 1/5HP 1/8 HP, single phase capacitor run—IS : 996—1964	S.O. 2590 dated 1-8-1964	Deferred 15-7-1967 after
6	CM/L-708 29-6-1964	Sun Rolling Mills Pvt. Ltd., 8 Bidhan Saranee, Calcutta.	Structural steel (standard quality)—IS : 226—1962	S.O. 2590 dated 1-8-1964	Deferred 31-7-1967 after
7	CM/L-709 29-6-1964	Sun Rolling Mills Pvt. Ltd., 8 Bidhan Saranee, Calcutta.	Structural steel (ordinary quality)—IS: 1977—1962	S.O. 2590 dated 1-8-1964	Deferred 31-7-1967 after
8	CM/L-736 29-6-1964	Bombay Steel Rolling Mills Ltd., Kalyani, Distt. Nadia (W. Bengal);	Structural steel (standard quality)—IS : 226—1962	S.O. 2590 dated 1-8-1964	Deferred 31-7-1967 after
9	CM/L-737 29-6-1964	Bombay Steel Rolling Mills Ltd., Kalyani, Distt. Nadia (W. Bengal)	Structural steel (Ordinary quality)—IS:1977—1962	S.O. 2590 dated 1-8-1964	Deferred 31-7-1967 after
10	CM/L-1172 6-12-1965	The Premier Lighting Industries Pvt. Ltd., Dr. A. Nair Road, Bombay-11.	Ballasts for flourecent lamps (for switch start circuits)—IS:1534 (Part I)—1960	S.O. 410 dated 5-2-1966	Deferred 30-6-1967 after

1	2	3	4	5	6
11	CM/L-1204 21-1-1966	Indian Wire Products Pvt. Ltd., Premier Nagar, Aligarh (U.P.)	Vulcanized rubber-insulated cables for fixed wiring with aluminium conductors, 250/440 volts grade only for the following types : 1. Braided and compounded; 2. Tough rubber sheathed; and 3. Weatherproof (house service overhead system cables)—IS : 434 (Part II)—1964	S.O. 525 dated 19-2-1966	Renewal of this licence was reported as deferred after 31-1-67; but has now to be treated as lapsed after that date.
12	CM/L-1266 25-5-1966	D. Ishwarlal & Co., 362 V.P. Road, Congress House Lane, Bombay-4.	Wrought aluminium and aluminium alloy utensils—IS : 21-1959	S.O. 1799 dated 18-6-1966	Renewal of this licence was reported as deferred after 31-5-67; but has now to be treated as lapsed after that date.
13	CM/L-1289 30-6-1966	Parshuram Pottery Works Co. Ltd., Than-gadh, Near Rly. Station (Gujarat).	1. Wash-down water-closets, pattern I with P trap and Strap 2. Squatting pans and traps, long pattern, size 450, 580 and 680 mm 3. Squatting pans and traps, Orissa pattern, size 580 x 440 mm 4. Wash-basins flat back, size 630 x 450 mm and 550 x 400 mm with two traps and 450 x 300 mm with one trap 5. Wash-basins angle back, pattern I, size 600 x 480 mm 6. Urinals, bowl pattern, flat back, size 430 x 260 x 350 mm 7. Urinals bowl pattern, angle back, size 340 x 430 x 265 mm; and 8. Squatting plate urinals, size 600 x 350 mm and 450 x 350 mm—IS : 2556—1963.	S.O. 2248 dated 30-7-1966	Deferred after 15-7-1967.
14	CM/L-1291 30-6-1966	Dairy Equipment Co., 111 Hind Rajasthan Industrial Estate, Naigaon Cross Road, Widala, Bombay-31	Lock stopper and double-ended stoppers for use with butyrometers—IS : 1223—1958	S.O. 2248 dated 30-7-1966	Deferred after 30-6-1967.

15	CM/L-1297 13-7-1966	Agarwal Hardware Works Pvt. Ltd., 2 Jaihwar Hot rolled steel strips (baling)—IS:1029—1956 Chatterjee Road, Sodepur (24 Parganas)	S.O. 2600 dated 27-8-1966	Deferred after 31-7-1967
16	CM/L-1298 20-7-1966	Modi Steel, Modinagar, Distt. Meerut (U.P.) Mild steel wire for general engineering purposes— IS : 280—1962	S.O. 2600 dated 27-8-1966	Deferred after 31-7-1967
17	CM/L-1299 22-7-1966	Eldee Wire Ropes Pvt. Ltd., Lekhraj Devraj Steel wire ropes for haulage purposes in miles— Industrial Estate, Saki Naka, Kurla Vihar Road, Bombay-70. IS : 1856—1961	S.O. 2600 dated 27-8-1966	Deferred after 31-7-1967
18	CM/L-1301 25-7-1966	Shibu Metal Works, Subzi Mandi, Jagadhri Copper plate, sheet and strips for industrial purposes—IS : 1972—1961	S.O. 2600 dated 27-8-1966	Deferred after 15-8-1967

[No. MD/33 : 16/C.]

New Delhi, the 21st August 1967

S.O. 2992.—In pursuance of regulation 4 of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Regulations, 1955, the Indian Standards Institution hereby notifies that amendment (s) to the Indian Standard (s) given in the schedule hereto annexed have been issued under the powers conferred by the sub-regulation (1) of Regulation 3 of the said Regulations.

THE SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	No and title of the Indian Standard amended	No. and Date of Gazette No- tification in which the estab- lishment of the Indian Standard was notified	No. and Date of the Amendment	Brief particulars of the Amendment	Date from which the amendment shall have effect.
1	2	3	4	5	6
1	IS : 704—1957 Spec- ification for crow bars and claw bars	S.O. 1214 dated 28 June, 1958	No. 1 June 1967	Table VI has been amended	30 June 1967
2	IS : 2306—1963 Di- mensions for gauge limits for ISO metric screw threads (1.6 to 39 mm)	S.O. 1683 dated 22 June 1963	Errata	The sketches appearing in Tables IV and X of the reprint of the standard, printed in July 1965, have been substituted by new ones.	Immediate effect
3	IS : 2664—1964 Spec- ification for quench- ing oil.	S.O. 3329 dated 19 September 1964	No. 2 July 1967	Table I has been amended	31 July, 1967
4	IS : 2815—1964 Spec- ification for slotted tube sampler	S.O. 4120 dated 5 December 1964	No. 1 July 1967	A new clause 4.6 has been added	31st July 1967
5	IS : 2673—1966 Spec- ification for packaging of jute products in bales	S.O. dated 4023 dated 31 Dece- mber 1966	No. 1 July 1967	Tables I and 6 have been amended	
6	IS : 2962—1964 Me- thods of sampling and test for <i>kattha</i>	S.O. 2134 dated 3 July 1965	No. 1 August 1967	New notes have been added at the end of clauses 7.2, 9.2, 10.1 and 11.1	31 August 1967

Copies of these amendment slips are available, free of cost, with the Indian Standards Institution, Manek Bhawan, 9 Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi-1 and also its branch offices at (i) Bombay Mutual Terrace, Sandhurst Bridge, Bombay-7, (ii) Third and Fourth Floors, Chowringhee Approach, Calcutta-13, (iii) Second Floor, Sathyamurthi Bhawan, 54 General Patters Road, Madras and (iv) 117/418 Sarvodaya Nagar, Kanpur.

[No. MD/13 : 5.]

New Delhi, the 22nd August 1967

S.O. 2993.—In pursuance of sub-regulation (1) of Regulation 8 of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Regulations, 1955, as subsequently amended, the Indian Standards Institution hereby notifies that sixtyeight licences, particulars of which are given in the Schedule hereto annexed, have been renewed.

THE SCHEDULE

SL No.	Licence No. and Date	Period of From	Validity To	Name and address of the Licensee	Article/Process Covered by the Licence	Relevant Indian Standard
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
1.	CM/L-29 1-7-1957	16-7-67	15-7-68	M/s Tata Fison Industries Ltd., Union Bank Building, Dalal Street, Fort, Bombay.	DDT water dispersible powder IS : 565-1961 concentrates.	Specification for DD'T water dispersible powder concentrates (revised).
2.	CM/L-30 II-7-1957	16-7-67	15-7-70	The India Cements Ltd., Sankarnagar, Talaiyuthu, Distt Tirunelveli.	Ordinary and rapid-hardening portland cement.	IS : 269-1958 Specification for ordinary, rapid-hardening and low heat portland cement (revised).
3.	CM/L-135 15-7-1959	1-8-67	31-1-68	M/s Sharda Plywood Industries (P) Ltd., Jeypore Road, P.O. Jeypore, Assam.	Tea-chest plywood panels.	IS : 10-1953 Specification for plywood tea-chest panels.
4.	CM/L-169 22-2-1960	16-7-67	15-7-68	M/s Mysore Insecticides Co. Pvt. Ltd., 6 Linghi Chetty Street, Madras-1.	BHC dusting powders.	IS : 561-1962 Specification for BHC dusting powders (second revision).
5.	CM/L-205 20-7-1960	1-8-67	31-7-70	M/s Kaira Distt. Co-operative Milk Producers' Union Ltd., Anand (W.R.), Kaira Distt. Gujarat State.	Milk powder (whole and skim).	IS : 1165-1957 Specification for milk powder (whole and skim).

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
6.	CM/L-207 20-7-1960	1-8-67	31-7-68	The Renown Biscuit Co., Connaught Road, Near Victoria Gardens, Bombay-27.	Biscuits (excluding wafer IS : 1011-1957 Specification biscuits) of the following for biscuits (excluding wafer varieties: biscuits). Golf, royal assorted, flower, gem, custard cream, shrewbury, R.B.C., glucose, digestive, nice, zoological, petit beurre, marie, chand tara, thin arrowroot, saltine khare, cheese flake, saltine (square), baby arrowroot, cream cracker, kindergarten, mango cream, apex cream and orange cream.	
7.	CM/L-326 26-7-1961	1-8-67	31-7-68	M/s Tata Fison Industries Ltd., 20 Howrah Road, Salkia, Howrah (W.B.).	BHC emulsifiable concentrates	IS : 632-1966 Specification for BHC emulsifiable concentrates (revised).
8.	CM/L-327 31-7-1961	1-8-67	31-7-68	M/s India Plywood Co., 33 S.K. Dev Road, Pathipookar (Dum Dum), Calcutta-28.	Tea-chest plywood panels	IS : 10-1953 Specification for plywood tea-chest panels.
9.	CM/L-429 30-6-1962	16-7-67	15-7-68	The Hindusthan Mineral Products Co. Pvt. Ltd., Plot No. 27, Manganese Depot, Sewri, Bombay-15 having their regd. office at 111 Industrial Area, Sion, Bombay-22.	BHC Water dispersible powder IS : 562-1962 Specification for BHC water dispersible powder concentrates.	for BHC water dispersible powder concentrates (second revision).
10.	CM/L-431 18-7-1962	1-8-67	31-7-68	M/s Indian Oxygen Ltd., Electrodes Factory, Barrackpore Trunk Road, Khardah, 24 Parganas having their regd. office at 48/1 Diamond Harbour Rd, Calcutta-27.	Covered electrodes for metal arc welding of mild steel, normal penetration type.	IS : 814-1963 Specification for covered electrodes for metal arc welding of mild steel (revised).

11.	CM/L-549 21-6-1963	16-7-67	15-7-68	M/s Diamond Rubber Works Pvt. Ltd. Station Road, Bhandup, Bombay-78 having their regd. office at 276 Nagdevi Street, Bombay-3.	(i) Water delivery hose (ii) Air hose for pneumatic tools.	(i) IS : 444-1964 Specification for water hose of rubber, low pressure, with woven reinforcement (revised) (ii) IS : 446-1964 Specification for air hose of rubber light duty, with woven reinforcement (revised).
12.	CM/L-551 25-6-1963	16-7-67	15-7-68	M/s New Chemi Mineral Mills Pvt. Ltd., Chakravarti Ashoka Road, Industrial Estate, Kandivli (East), Bombay-67 having their head office at 7 A, Dean Lane, Fort, Bombay-1.	BHC dusting powders	IS : 561-1962 Specification for BHC dusting powders (second revision).
13.	CM/L-552 25-6-1963	16-7-67	15-7-68	M/s Bharat Pulverising Mills Pvt. Ltd., 38 A, Sayani Road, Bombay-28.	BHC emulsifiable concentrates	IS : 632-1966 Specification for BHC emulsifiable concentrates (revised)
14.	CM/L-553 25-6-1963	16-7-67	15-7-68	M/s. Mahendra Electricals Ltd., Kamla Mission Road, Nadiad (Gujarat State).	PVC insulated cables 250/440 and 650/1100 volts with copper or aluminium conductors and PVC insulated flexible cords 250/440 volts grade with copper conductor.	(i) IS: 694 (Part-I)-1964 Specification for PVC insulated cables (for voltages up to 1100 volts) with copper conductors (revised). (ii) IS: 694-1964 Specification for PVC insulated cables (for voltages up to 1100 volts) with aluminium conductors (revised).
15.	CM/L-554 26-6-1963	16-7-67	15-7-68	M/s. Annapurna Pulverising Mills, Industrial Estate, Eluru (W. G. Distt), A.P.	BHC dusting powders	IS: 561-1962 Specification for BHC dusting powders (second revision).
16.	CM/L-555 26-6-1963	16-7-67	15-7-68	The Indian Aluminium Cables Ltd., 12th Milestone, Delhi-Mathura Rd., Faridabad, Haryana having their regd. office at F-40, N.D. S.E. (Part I), New Delhi-3.	Hard-drawn stranded aluminium and steel-cored aluminium conductors for overhead power transmission purposes.	IS: 398-1961 Specification for hard-drawn stranded aluminium and steel-cored aluminium conductors for overhead power transmission purposes (revised).

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
17.	CM/L-561 11-7-1963	1-8-67	31-7-68	M/s. Lloyd Bitumen Products Pvt. Ltd., 1, Taratola Road, Calcutta-53 having their office at 4A, Royd Street, Calcutta-16.	Bitumen felts for waterproofing and damp-proofing, type 3 grades 1 and 2 and type 2 grade 2.	IS: 1322-1965 Specification for bitumen felts for waterproofing and damp-proofing.
18.	CM/L-562 11-7-1963	1-8-67	31-7-68	M/s. Annapurna Pulverising Mills, Industrial Estate, Eluru, W. G. Distt. (A.P.).	BHC water dispersible powder concentrates.	IS: 562-1962 Specification for BHC water dispersible powder concentrates (second revision).
19.	CM/L-692 17-6-1964	16-7-67	15-7-68	M/s. Flintrock Products Pvt. Ltd., Belvedere Road, Mazagaon, Bombay-10.	BHC emulsifiable concentrates	IS: 632-1966 Specification for BHC emulsifiable concentrates (revised).
20.	CM/L-693 17-6-1964	16-7-67	15-7-68	M/s. Flintrock Products Pvt. Ltd., Belvedere Road, Mazagaon, Bombay-10.	DDT emulsifiable concentrates.	IS: 633-1956 Specification for DDT emulsifiable concentrates.
21.	CM/L-694 17-6-1964	16-7-67	15-7-68	M/s. Flintrock Products Pvt. Ltd., Belvedere Road, Mazagaon, Bombay-10.	Aldrin emulsifiable concentrates.	IS: 1307-1958 Specification for aldrin emulsifiable concentrates.
22.	CM/L-696 17-6-1964	16-7-67	15-7-68	The National Insulated Cables Co., of India Ltd., Shambnagar (24 Parganas), W. Bengal having their regd. office at Nicco House, 2, Hare Street, Calcutta-1.	PVC insulated (heavy duty) electric cables for working voltages up to and including 1100 volts (with aluminium conductors only).	IS: 1554 (Part I)-1964 Specification for PVC insulated (heavy duty) electric cables for working voltages up to and including 1100 volts (revised).
23.	CM/L-697 25-6-1964	1-8-67	31-7-68	M/s. Madras Electrical Conductors Pvt. Ltd., 37, Arcot Road, Madras-26.	Hard-drawn stranded aluminium and steel-cored aluminium conductors for overhead power transmission purposes.	IS: 398-1961 Specification for hard-drawn stranded aluminium and steel-cored aluminium conductors for overhead power transmission purposes (revised).

24.	CM/L-698 25-6-1964	16-7-67	15-7-68	M/s. Allied Industries, Hawa Sarak, Jaipur South, Jaipur (Rajasthan).	12.5 and 15 litres capacity cast iron flushing cistern for water closets and urinals (bell type) high level.	IS: 77-1964 Specification for flushing cisterns for water closets and urinals (valveless siphonic type) (second revision).
25.	CM/L-699 25-6-1964	16-7-67	15-7-68	The Bombay Oil Industries Pvt. Ltd., Agza Road, Bhandup 3. Bombay having their office at Kanmoor House, 281/87, Narsi Natha St., Bombay-9.	Stearic acid, technical, grade 3.	IS: 1675-1960 Specification for stearic acid, technical.
26.	CM/L-701 26-6-1964	16-7-67	15-7-68	M/s. Godrej Soaps Pvt. Ltd., (i) Stearic acid, technical, grades 1 and 2. their regd. Office at 316, De- lisle Road, Bombay-11.	(i) IS: 1675-1960 Specification for stearic acid, technical. (ii) Oleic acid, technical, grade 3.	(ii) IS: 1676-1960 Specification for oleic acid, technical.
27.	CM/L-702 29-6-1964	1-8-67	31-7-68	M/s. Calcutta Steel Co. Ltd., 4, Old Court House Street, Calcutta.	Structural steel (standard quality)	IS: 226-1962 Specification for structural steel (standard quality) (third revision).
28.	CM/L-703 29-6-1964	1-8-67	31-7-68	M/s. Calcutta Steel Co. Ltd., 4. Old Court House Street, Calcutta.	Structural steel (ordinary quality).	IS: 1977-1962 Specification for structural steel (ordinary quality).
29.	CM/L-704 29-6-1964	1-8-67	31-7-68	The Eagle Rolling Mills Ltd., Kumardhubi, Distt., Dhanbad (Bihar).	Structural Steel (standard quality).	IS: 226-1962 Specification for structural steel (standard quality) (third revision).
30.	CM/L-705 29-6-1964	1-8-67	31-7-68	The Eagle Rolling Mills Ltd., Kumardhubi, Distt. Dhanbad (Bihar).	Structural Steel (ordinary quality).	IS: 1977-1962 Specification for structural steel (ordinary quality).
31.	CM/L-706 29-6-1964	1-8-67	31-7-68	M/s. Rama Rolling Mills, 156, Manicktola Main Road, Calcutta.	Structural steel (standard quality).	IS: 226-1962 Specification for structural steel (standard quality) (third revision).
32.	CM/L-707 29-6-1964	1-8-67	31-7-68	M/s. Rama Rolling Mills, 156, Manicktola Main Road, Calcutta.	Structural steel (ordinary quality).	IS: 1977-1962 Specification for structural steel (ordinary quality).
33.	CM/L-710 29-6-1964	1-8-67	31-7-68	M/s. Bengal Rolling Mills Ltd., 67/B, Netaji Subhas Road, Calcutta.	Structural steel (standard quality).	IS: 226-1962 Specification for structural steel (standard quality) (third revision).

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
34	CM/L-711 29-6-1964	1-8-67	31-7-68	M/s. Bengal Rolling Mills Ltd., 67/B, Netaji Subhas Road, Calcutta.	Structural steel (ordinary quality).	IS:1977-1962 Specification for structural steel (ordinary quality).
35	CM/L-714 29-6-1964	1-8-67	31-7-68	M/s. Lauls Pvt. Ltd., Faridabad Township, Distt. Gurgaon.	Structural steel (standard quality).	IS:226-1962 Specification for structural steel (standard quality) (third revision).
36	CM/L-715 29-6-1964	1-8-67	31-7-68	M/s. Lauls Pvt. Ltd., Faridabad Township, Distt. Gurgaon.	Structural steel (ordinary quality).	IS:1977-1962 Specification for structural steel (ordinary quality).
37	CM/L-716 29-6-1964	1-8-67	31-7-68	M/s. Steel Rolling Mills of Bengal Ltd., 28 Strand Road, Calcutta.	Structural steel (standard quality).	IS:226-1962 Specification for structural steel (standard quality) (third revision).
38	CM/L-717 29-6-1964	1-8-67	31-7-68	M/s. Steel Rolling Mills of Bengal Ltd., 28 Strand Road, Calcutta.	Structural steel (ordinary quality).	IS:1977-1962 Specification for structural steel (ordinary quality).
39	CM/L-718 29-6-1964	1-8-67	31-7-68	M/s. Ajanta Iron & Steel Co., Loni Road, Shahdara, Delhi.	Structural steel (standard quality) M.S. bars, 25 mm dia and other sections of equivalent area only.	IS:226-1962 Specification for structural steel (standard quality) (third revision).
40	CM/L-719 29-6-1964	1-8-67	31-7-68	M/s. Ajanta Iron & Steel Co., Loni Road, Shahdara, Delhi.	Structural steel (ordinary quality) M.S. bars, 25 mm dia. and other sections of equivalent area only.	IS:1977-1962 Specification for structural steel ordinary quality).
41	CM/L-720 29-6-1964	1-8-67	31-7-68	M/s. Modern Industries, Sahibabad (Ghaziabad), Distt. Meerut (U.P.).	Structural steel (standard quality) tested steel rounds up to 25 mm in dia. (1 inch) and other sections of equivalent area.	IS:226-1962 Specification for structural steel (standard quality) (third revision).
42	CM/L-721 29-6-1964	1-8-67	31-7-68	M/s. Modern Industries, Sahibabad (Ghaziabad) Distt. Meerut (U.P.).	Structural steel (ordinary quality) tested steel rounds up to 25 mm in dia. (1 inch) and other sections of equivalent area.	IS:1977-1962 Specification for structural steel (ordinary quality).
43	CM/L-724 29-6-1964	1-8-67	31-7-68	M/s. Kisan Engg. Works Pvt. Ltd., Dankaur station (N. Rly.), Distt. Bulandshahar.	Structural steel (standard quality).	IS:226-1962 Specification for structural steel (standard quality) (third revision).
44	CM/L-725 29-6-1964	1-8-67	31-7-68	M/s. Kisan Engg. Works Pvt. Ltd., Dankaur Station (N. Rly.), Distt. Bulandshahar.	Structural steel (ordinary quality).	IS:1977-1962 Specification for structural steel (ordinary quality).

45	CM/L-730 29-6-1964	1-8-67	31-7-68	M/s. Nav Bharat Steel Rolling Mills, Bombay-Agra Road, Bhandup, Bombay-78.	Structural quality).	steel (standard	IS:226—1962 Specification for structural steel (standard quality) (third revision).
46	CM/L-731 29-6-1964	1-8-67	31-7-68	M/s Nav Bharat Steel Rolling Mills, Bombay-Agra Road, Bhandup, Bombay-78.	Structural quality).	steel (ordinary	IS:1977—1962 Specification for structural steel (ordinary quality).
47	CM/L-732 29-6-64	1-8-67	31-7-68	M/s. Sri Rama Machinery Corp. Pvt. Ltd., Catholic Centre, 5/6 Armenian Street, Madras.	Structural quality).	steel (standard	IS:226—1962 Specification for structural steel (standard quality) (third revision).
48	CM/L-733 29-6-1964	1-8-67	31-7-68	M/s Sri Rama Machinery Corp. Pvt. Ltd, Catholic Centre, 5/6 Armenian Street, Madras.	Structural quality)	steel (ordinary	IS: 1977—1962 Specification for structural steel (ordinary quality)
49	CM/L-738 10-7-1964	1-8-67	31-7-68	M/s Flintrock Products Pvt. Ltd, Belvedere Road, Maza-gaon, Bombay.	Aldrin dusting powders		IS: 1308—1958 Specification for aldrin dusting powders.
50	CM/L-1046 29-3-1965	16-4-67	15-4-68	M/s Optimohar Industries Pvt Ltd, Harichand Textile Mill's Compound, Vikhroli, Bom-bay-79.	Blow lamps		IS: 1899—1965 Specification for blow lamps (revised).
51	CM/L-1073 26-5-1965	1-6-67	31-5-68	M/s R. M. Chatterjee Iron Foundry Pvt. Ltd, 63 Sita-nath Bose Lane, Salkia, Howrah.	Cast iron flushing cisterns, high level, 15 litre capacity (curved siphonic and bell types).		IS: 774—1964 Specification for flushing cisterns for water closets and urinals valveless siphonic type) (second revision).
52	CM/L-1105 30-6-1965	16-7-67	15-7-68	M/s Universal Cables Ltd, Post Box No. 8 Satna (M.P.).	PVC insulated (heavy duty) electric cables for working voltages up to and including 1100 volts (with aluminium and copper conductors).	IS: 1554 (Part I)—1964 Specification for PVC insulated heavy duty electric cables for working voltages up to and including 1100 volts (revised).	
53	CM/L-1106 30-6-1965	16-7-67	15-7-68	The Plant Protection Products Pvt Ltd, Kedavalur (S. Rly), Nellore Distt, having their office at 5/355 Stonehousepet, Nellore-2.	Dieldrin emulsifiable concen-trates		IS: 1054—1962 Specification for dieldrin emulsifiable concentrates.

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
54	CM/L-1107 6-7-1965	16-7-67	15-7-68	M/s Power Cables Pvt Ltd, Kalyan.	Electrodes for metal arc welding of mild steel, normal penetration type	IS: 814-1963 Specification for covered electrodes for metal arc welding of mild steel (revised)
55	CM/L-1108 6-7-1965	16-7-67	15-7-68	M/s Special Steels Ltd, Dattapara Road, Borivli (East), Bombay-66.	Galvanized iron and steel wire for telegraph and telephone purposes	IS: 279-1961 Specification for galvanized iron and steel wire for telegraph and telephone purposes (revised)
56	CM/L-1109 6-7-1965	16-7-67	15-7-68	M/s Special Steels Ltd, Dattapara Road, Borivli (East), Bombay-66.	Mild steel wire for general engineering purposes	IS: 28C-1962 Specification for mild steel wire for general engineering purposes (revised)
57	CM/L-1110 8-7-1965	16-7-67	15-7-68	The Aluminium Industries Ltd, Ramachandrapuram, Hyderabad-32.	Polythene insulated and PVC sheathed cables with aluminium conductors only	IS: 1596-1962 Specification for polythene insulated and PVC sheathed cables
58	CM/L-1113 20-7-1965	1-8-67	31-7-68	M/s Electrical Machine Industries Pvt Ltd, 187, Netaji Subhas Chandra Bose Road, Calcutta-40 having their office at 61/30, Moore Avenue, Calcutta-40.	Arc welding transformers, single operator type, 420 volts up to 350 amperes, max. continuous hand welding current	IS: 1851-1961 Specification for arc welding transformers part I single operator type.
59	CM/L-1114 28-7-1965	1-8-67	31-7-68	M/s Industrial Research Corp, Industrial Area, Rajajinagar, Yeshwantpur, having their office at 17 Srinivasa Rao Lay-out, 12th Main Road, Bangalore-3.	Dye-based fountain pen inks (blue and red)	IS: 1221-1957 Specification for dye-based fountain pen inks (blue, green, violet, black and red)
60	CM/L-1166 11-11-1965	1-8-67	31-7-68	M/s Swastika Metal Works, Jagadhri.	Rolled brass sheet and strip, grade Bs 63	IS: 410-1959 Specification for rolled brass plate, sheet, strip and foil (revised)
61	CM/L-1286 28-6-1965	16-7-67	15-7-68	M/s Power Cables Pvt Ltd, Vithalwadi, Kalyan, (Maharashtra State).	Galvanized iron and steel wire for telegraph and telephone purposes	IS: 279-1961 Specification for galvanized iron and steel wire for telegraph and telephone purposes (revised)

62	CM/L-1290 30-6-1966	16-7-67	15-7-68	M/s E.I.D. Parry Ltd, Ranipet, North Arcot Distt, (Madras State) having their office at Dare House, Madras-1.	Malathion emulsifiable concentrates.	IS:2567-1963 Specification for malathion emulsifiable concentrates.
63	CM/L-1292 30-6-1966	16-7-67	15-7-68	M/s Industrial Research Corpn., Industrial Area, Rajajinagar, Yeshwantpur having their office at 17 Srinivasa Rao Layout, 12th Main Road, Bangalore-3.	Ferro-gallo tannate fountain pen ink (0.1 percent iron content).	IS:220-1959 Specification for ferro-gallo tannate fountain pen ink (0.1 percent iron content) (revised).
64	CM/L-1293 30-6-1966	16-7-67	15-7-68	M/s Ravi Paints & Chemicals Pvt. Ltd, 7 T.H. Road, Madras-19 having their office at 85/87 Armenian Street, Madras-1.	Enamel synthetic, exterior, type I, (a) undercoating, (b) finishing, colour as required	IS:2932-1964 Specification for enamel, synthetic, exterior, type I, (a) undercoating, (b) finishing colour as required.
65	CM/L-1285 28-6-1966	1-8-67	31-1-68	M/s Swicon Pvt. Ltd, B-10 HMT Industrial Estate, Jalahalli, Bangalore-31 having their regd. office at 521 Rajmahal Vilas Extension, Upper Palace Orchards, Bangalore-6.	AC contractors of voltages not exceeding 1000 volts category AC, mechanical duty class II, intermittent duty class IV.	IS:2959-1965 Specification for ac contractors of voltages not exceeding 1000 volts.
66	CM/L-1295 6-7-1966	16-7-67	15-7-68	M/s Anand Insecticides, 4/5 Elaiya Mudali Street, Korukupet, Madras-21 having their office at 17 First Cross Street, C.I.T. Colony, Madras-4	DDT water dispersible powder concentrates.	IS:565-1961 Specification for DDT water dispersible powder concentrates (revised).
67	CM/L-1296 6-7-1966	16-7-67	15-7-68	M/s Anand Insecticides, 4/5 Elaiya Mudali Street, Korukupet, Madras-21 having their office at 7/1 Bishop Wallers, Avenue East, Madras-4.	BHC dusting powders.	IS:561-1962 Specification for BHC dusting powders (second revision).
68	CM/L-1304 28-7-1966	1-8-67	31-7-68	M/s Plava Chemicals, 3-C, Nelson Manicka Mudaliar Road, Aminjikarai, Madras-29.	BHC dusting powders.	IS:561-1962 Specification for BHC dusting powders (second revision).

[No. MD/33:16/A.]

(Dr.) SADGOPAL,
Deputy Director General

MINISTRY OF FINANCE

(Department of Economic Affairs)

New Delhi, the 29th July 1967

S.O. 2994.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-rule (2) of rule 9, clause (b) of sub-rule (2) of rule 12 and sub-rule (i) of rule 24, read with rule 33, of the Central Civil Services (Classification Control and Appeal) Rules 1965, the President hereby directs that the following further amendments shall be made in the Schedule to the Notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Finance (Department of Economic Affairs) S. R. O. 627 dated the 28th February, 1957, namely:—

In the said Schedule, in Part II, General Central Services Class III, for the existing entries under the heading "National Savings Organisation", the following entries shall be substituted, namely:—

	1	2	3	4	5
<i>"Office of the National Savings Commissioner.</i>					
Assistant Statistical Assistant Stenographer(Senior)	National Savings Commissioner	National Savings Commissioner	All	Joint Department of Economic Affairs.	
Accountant Upper Division Clerk Lower Division Clerk Stenographer (Junior)	Deputy National Savings Commissioner	Deputy National Savings Commissioner	All	National Savings Commissioner.	
<i>Regional Offices</i> Assistant Regional Director	National Savings Commissioner	National Savings Commissioner	All	Secretary, Department of Economic Affairs.	
District Organiser Head Clerk	National Savings Commissioner	National Savings Commissioner, Regional Director National Savings	All (i) to (iv)	Joint Secretary, Department of Economic Affairs. National Savings Commissioner	
Upper Division Clerk	Deputy National Savings Commissioner	Deputy National Savings Commissioner Regional Director National Savings	All (i) to (iv)	National Savings Commissioner	
Lower Division Clerk Stenographer Driver Operator	Regional Director, National Savings and if there is no Regional Director, Director (Head-quarters) Office of National Savings Commissioner.	Regional Director, National Savings and if there is no Regional Director, Director(Head-quarters) Office of National Savings Commissioner.	All	National Savings Commissioner.	

[No. 19(2)NS/65.]

V. S. RAJAGOPALAN , Under Secy.

(Department of Economic Affairs)

New Delhi, the 25th August 1967

S.O. 2995.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 53 of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949 (10 of 1949), the Central Government, on the recommendation of the Reserve Bank of India, hereby declares that the provisions of section 9 of the said Act shall not apply to the Catholic Syrian Bank Ltd., Trichur, in respect of the immovable property held by it at Thoppumpady, Palluruthy, Cochin, Ernakulam District, Kerala State, till the 1st August, 1968.

[No F.15(16)-BC/67.]

S.O. 2996.—Statement of the Affairs of the Reserve Bank of India as on the 18th August, 1967.

BANKING DEPARTMENT

LIABILITIES	Rs.	ASSETS	Rs.
Capital Paid Up	5,00,00,000	Notes	22,67,25,000
		Rupee Coin	5,02,900
Reserve Fund	80,00,00,000	Small Coin	3,50,000
National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund	131,00,00,000	Bills Purchased and Discounted— (a) Internal	..
		(b) External	..
National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund	25,00,00,000	(c) Government Treasury Bills	260,73,30,000
National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund	30,00,00,000	Balances Held Abroad*	19,82,15,000
Deposits— (a) Government— (i) Central Government	129,69,33,000	Investments** Loans and Advances to :— (i) Central Government (ii) State Government@ Loans and Advances to :— (i) Scheduled Commercial Banks (ii) State Co-operative Banks†† (iii) Others	355,52,47,000 — 28,40,55,000 34,10,000 146,48,86,000 2,65,90,000

		Loans, Advances and Investments from National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations Fund —	
(i) State Governments	12,54,64,000	(a) Loans and Advances to : —	
		(i) State Governments	28,32,66,000
		(ii) State Co-operative Banks	14,76,83,000
		(iii) Central Land Mortgage Banks	
(b) Banks —		(b) Investment in Central Land Mortgage Bank Debentures	7,54,90,000
(i) Scheduled Commercial Banks	123,35,42,000	Loans and Advances from National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund —	
(ii) Scheduled State Co-operative Banks	6,09,55,000	Loans and Advances to State Co-operative Banks	8,56,43,000
(iii) Non-Scheduled State Co-operative Banks	75,20,000		
(iv) Other Banks	14,05,000	Loans, Advances and Investments from National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund —	
(c) Others	319,32,38,000	(a) Loans and Advances to the Development Bank	5,24,15,000
Bills payable	35,84,44,000	(b) Investment in bonds/debentures issued by the Development Bank	
Other Liabilities	33,89,97,000	Other Assets	
Rupees	932,74,98,000	Rupees	932,74,98,000

*Includes Cash and Short-term Securities.

**Excluding Investments from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operation) Fund and the National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund.

③ Excluding Loans and Advances from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund, but including temporary overdrafts to State Governments.

†Includes Rs. NIL advanced to Scheduled Commercial Banks against usance bills under Section 17(4)(c) of the Reserve Bank of India Act.

††Excluding Loans and Advances from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund and the National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund.

Dated the 23rd day of August, 1967.

An Account pursuant to the Reserve Bank of India Act, 1934, for the week ended the 18th day of August, 1967
 ISSUE DEPARTMENT

LIABILITIES	Rs.	Rs.	ASSETS	Rs.	Rs.
Notes held in the Banking Department			Gold Coin and Bullion :—		
Notes in Circulation	22,67,25,000	59,74,44,000	(a) Held in India	115,89,25,000	
Total Notes issued	2982,41,69,000		(b) Held outside India	..	
			Foreign Securities	166,42,00,000	
			TOTAL	282,31,25,000	
			Rupee Coin	75,18,85,000	
TOTAL LIABILITIES	2982,41,69,000		Government of India Rupee Securities	2624,91,59,000	
			Internal Bills of Exchange and other commercial paper	..	
			TOTAL ASSETS	2982,41,69,000	

Dated the 23rd day of August, 1967.

L. K. JHA,
 Governor.

[No. F. 3(3)-BC/67.]
 V. SWAMINATHAN, Under Secy.

(Department of Revenue and Insurance)

New Delhi, the 24th August 1967

S.O. 2997.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-clause (iii) of clause (44) of section 2 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961), the Central Government hereby authorises Shri B. N. Choudhuri who is a Gazetted Officer of the Central Government to exercise the powers of a Tax Recovery Officer under the said Act in respect of the following areas in the State of West Bengal :—

- (1) Whole of 24-Parganas Civil District excluding such areas as fall within the Calcutta Municipal Limits and
- (2) Calcutta Municipal Ward Nos. 1 to 100.

2. This Notification shall come into force on the thirty-first day of August, 1967.

[No. 73/F. No. 16/14/66-ITB.]

S.O. 2998.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-clause (iii) of clause (44) of section 2 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961), the Central Government hereby authorises Shri Sripathi Chatterji who is a Gazetted Officer of the Central Government to exercise the powers of a Tax Recovery Officer under the said Act in respect of the following areas in the State of West Bengal :—

- (1) Whole of 24-Parganas Civil District excluding such areas as fall within the Calcutta Municipal Limits and
- (2) Calcutta Municipal Ward Nos. 1 to 100.

2. This Notification shall come into force on the thirty-first day of August, 1967.

[No. 74/F. No. 16/14/66-ITB.]

S.O. 2999.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-clause (iii) of clause (44) of section 2 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961), the Central Government hereby authorises Shri Sripathi Chatterji who is a Gazetted Officer of the Central Government to exercise the powers of a Tax Recovery Officer under the said Act in respect of the following areas in the State of West Bengal :—

- (1) Whole of 24-Parganas Civil District excluding such areas as fall within the Calcutta Municipal Limits and
- (2) Calcutta Municipal Ward Nos. 1 to 100.

2. This Notification shall come into force on the thirty-first day of August, 1967.

[No. 75/F. No. 16/14/66-ITB.]

S.O. 3000.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-clause (iii) of clause (44) of section 2 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961), the Central Government hereby authorises Shri B. P. Sanyal who is a Gazetted Officer of the Central Government to exercise the powers of a Tax Recovery Officer under the said Act in respect of the following areas in the State of West Bengal :—

- (1) Whole of 24-Parganas Civil District excluding such areas as fall within the Calcutta Municipal Limits and
- (2) Calcutta Municipal Ward Nos. 1 to 100.

2. This Notification shall come into force on the thirty-first day of August, 1967.

[No. 76/F. No. 16/14/66-ITB.]

S.O. 3001.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-clause (iii) of clause (44) of section 2 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961), the Central Government hereby authorises Shri P. C. Datta who is a Gazetted Officer of the Central Government to exercise the powers of a Tax Recovery Officer under the said Act in respect of the following areas in the State of West Bengal :—

- (1) Whole of 24-Parganas Civil District excluding such areas as fall within the Calcutta Municipal Limits and
- (2) Calcutta Municipal Ward Nos. 1 to 100.

2. This Notification shall come into force on the thirty-first day of August, 1967.

[No. 77/F. No. 16/14/66-ITB.]

S.O. 3002.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-clause (iii) of clause (44) of section 2 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961), the Central Government hereby authorise Shri S. Acharya who is a Gazetted Officer of the Central Government to exercise the powers of a Tax Recovery Officer under the said Act in respect of the following areas in the State of West Bengal:—

- (1) Whole of 24-Parganas Civil District excluding such areas as fall within the Calcutta Municipal Limits and
- (2) Calcutta Municipal Ward Nos. 1 to 100.
2. This notification shall come into force on the thirty-first day of August, 1967. [No. 78/F. No. 16/14/66-ITB.]

S.O. 3003.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-clause (iii) of clause (44) of section 2 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961), the Central Government hereby authorises Shri A. B. Chakravarty who is a Gazetted Officer of the Central Government to exercise the powers of a Tax Recovery Officer under the said Act in respect of the following areas in the State of West Bengal:—

- (1) Whole of 24-Parganas Civil District excluding such areas as fall within the Calcutta Municipal Limits and
- (2) Calcutta Municipal Ward Nos. 1 to 100.
2. This notification shall come into force on the thirty-first day of August, 1967. [No. 79/F. No. 16/14/66-ITB.]

S.O. 3004.—In exercise of the powers conferred by rule 4 of the Income-tax (Certificate Proceedings) Rules, 1962, the Central Government hereby appoints the Commissioners of Income-tax, West Bengal I, West Bengal II, West Bengal III and Calcutta (Central), Calcutta as Tax Recovery Commissioners.

2. This notification shall come into force on the thirty-first day of August, 1967. [No. 80/F. No. 16/14/66-ITB.]

New Delhi, the 23th August 1967

S.O. 3005.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-clause (iii) of clause (44) of section 2 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961), the Central Government hereby authorises Shri L. N. Sharma who is a Gazetted Officer of the Central Government to exercise the powers of a Tax Recovery Officer under the said Act in respect of the following Districts in the State of Rajasthan:—

1. Bikaner.
2. Churu.
3. Sriganganagar.
2. This Notification shall come into force on the first day of September, 1967. [No. 85/F. No. 16/14/66-ITB.]

WASIQ ALI KHAN, Dy. Secy.

(Department of Revenue and Insurance)

ORDER

STAMPS

New Delhi, the 2nd September 1967

S.O. 3006.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 9 of the Indian Stamp Act, 1899 (2 of 1899), the Central Government hereby remits the stamp duty with which the bonds of the value of Rs. 1,00,00,000 (one crore of rupees), subject to a retention margin of 10 percent, to be issued by the Gujarat State Road Transport Corporation are chargeable under the said Act.

[No. 7/67-F. No. 1/50/67-Cus. VII/Stamps.]

M. S. SUBRAMANYAM, Under Secy.

CENTRAL BOARD OF DIRECT TAXES

INCOME-TAX

New Delhi, the 22nd August 1967

S.O. 3007.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 122 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961) and of all other powers enabling it in that behalf and in supersession of all previous notifications in this regard, the Central Board of Direct Taxes hereby directs that the Appellate Assistant Commissioner of Income-tax of the Ranges specified in column 2 of the schedule below shall perform their functions in respect of all persons and incomes assessed to income-tax or super-tax in the Income-tax Circles, Wards and Districts specified in the corresponding entry in column 3 thereof :—

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Ranges	Income-tax Circles, Wards and Districts	
		1	2
1 Agra			1. Agra 2. Firozabad 3. Mathura 4. Special Survey Circle, Agra 5. Special Circle, Agra
2 Moradabad			1. Moradabad 2. Najibabad
3 Range I, Lucknow			1. Circle I, Lucknow 2. Companies Circle, Lucknow 3. Salary Circle, Lucknow 4. Sitapur 5. Special Survey Circle, Lucknow
4 Range II, Lucknow			1. Circle II, Lucknow 2. Estate Duty-cum-Income-tax Circle, Lucknow 3. Gonda 4. Project Circle, Lucknow
5 Dehradun			1. Dehradun 2. Estate Duty-cum-Income-tax Circle, Dehradun 3. Saharanpur 4. Muzaffarnagar
6 Range I, Meerut			1. C, J, F & H Wards, Meerut 2. Project Circle, Meerut 3. Special Survey Circle, B-Ward, Meerut 4. Bulandshahr
7 Range II, Meerut			1. A, B & C Wards, Meerut 2. Ghaziabad 3. Special Survey Circle, A-Ward, Meerut 4. Salary Circle, Meerut
8 Range III, Meerut			1. D & E Wards, Meerut 2. Central Circle I, Meerut 3. Central Circle II, Meerut 4. Central Circle, III, Meerut 5. Central Circle, Lucknow
9 Range I, Kanpur			1. Circle I, Kanpur 2. Central Circle III, Kanpur
10 Range II, Kanpur			1. A, B & C Wards, Circle II, Kanpur 2. Special Circle, Kanpur 3. Companies Circle, Kanpur
11 Range III, Kanpur			1. D, E & F Wards, Circle II, Kanpur 2. Salary Circle, Kanpur 3. Jhansi 4. Etawah 5. Banda

I

2

3

12 Range IV, Kanpur

1. G, H, J, K & L Wards, Circle II, Kanpur
2. Central Circle IV, Kanpur
3. Estate Duty-cum-Income-tax Circle, Kanpur
4. Fatehgarh
5. Special Survey Circle, Kanpur
6. Project Circle, Kanpur

13 Bareilly

1. Bareilly
2. Rampur
3. Nainital
4. Shahjahanpur
5. Haldwani
6. Aligarh
7. Special Survey Circle, Bareilly

14 Range I, Varanasi

1. A, B, C, D, E & F Wards Varanasi
2. Special Circle, Varanasi
3. Mirzapur
4. Gorakhpur
5. Jaunpur

15 Range II, Varanasi

1. G, H & J Wards, Varanasi
2. Azamgarh
3. Ballia
4. Special Survey Circle, Varanasi
5. Project Circle, Varanasi

16 Allahabad

1. Allahabad
2. Salary Circle, Allahabad
3. Estate Duty-cum-Income-tax Circle, Allahabad
4. Faizabad
5. Special Survey Circle, Allahabad

Where an income-tax circle, ward or district or part thereof stands transferred by this notification from one Range to another Range, appeals arising out of assessments made in that Income-tax Circle, Ward or District or part thereof and pending immediately before the date of this notification before the Appellate Assistant Commissioner of the Range from whom the Income-tax circle, ward or district or part thereof is transferred shall, from the date of this notification shall take effect, be transferred to and dealt with by the Appellate Assistant Commissioner of the Range to whom the said circle, ward or district or part thereof is transferred.

This notification shall take effect from 1-9-1967.

Explanatory Note.

The amendments have become necessary on account of reallocation of work load amongst the Appellate Assistant Commissioners in the Commissioners, of Income-tax Charges U. P. I and U. P. II and reorganisation of Income-tax circles.

(The above note does not form part of the notification but is intended to be merely clarificatory.)

[No. 72 (F. No. 50/137/67- ITJ).]

P. G. GANDHI, Under Secy.

INCOME-TAX

New Delhi, the 22nd August 1967

S.O. 3008.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 126 of the Income Tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961), the Central Board of Direct Taxes hereby makes the following amendment to the Schedule annexed to its Notification S.R.O. 1214 (No. 44-I.T.) dated the 1st July 1952:

The existing Item 1 under Column 2 against S. No. 52 of the said Schedule shall be substituted by the following:

“2. Accountant General, Central Revenues except employees of Forest Research Institute and Colleges, Dehradun.”

[No. 8/F. No. 55/91/67-IT (A.II).]

A. RAGHAVENDRA RAO, Under Secy.

New Delhi, the 24th August 1967

S.O. 3009.—In exercise of the powers conferred by rule 5 of the Income-tax (Certificate Proceedings) Rules 1962, the Central Board of Direct Taxes hereby directs that the Commissioner of Income-tax, West Bengal-I, Calcutta who is appointed as Tax Recovery Commissioner shall perform the functions of the Tax Recovery Commissioner in respect of the following areas in the State of West Bengal placed under his charge:—

- (1) Whole of 24-Parganas Civil District excluding such areas as fall within the Calcutta Municipal Limits and
- (2) Calcutta Municipal Ward Nos. 1 to 100.

2. This notification shall come into force on the thirty-first day of August, 1967.

[No. 81 (F. No. 16/14/66-ITB).]

S.O. 3010.—In exercise of the powers conferred by rule 5 of the Income-tax (Certificate Proceedings) Rules 1962, the Central Board of Direct Taxes hereby directs that the Commissioner of Income-tax, West Bengal-II, Calcutta who is appointed as Tax Recovery Commissioner shall perform the functions of the Tax Recovery Commissioner in respect of the following areas in the State of West Bengal placed under his charge:—

- (1) Whole of 24-Parganas Civil District excluding such areas as fall within the Calcutta Municipal Limits and
- (2) Calcutta Municipal Ward Nos. 1 to 100.

2. This notification shall come into force on the thirty-first day of August, 1967.

[No. 82 (F. No. 16/14/66-ITB).]

S.O. 3011.—In exercise of the powers conferred by rule 5 of the Income-tax (Certificate Proceedings) Rules 1962, the Central Board of Direct Taxes hereby directs that the Commissioner of Income-tax, West Bengal-III, Calcutta who is appointed as Tax Recovery Commissioner shall perform the functions of the Tax Recovery Commissioner in respect of the following areas in the State of West Bengal placed under his charge:—

- (1) Whole of 24-Parganas Civil District excluding such areas as fall within the Calcutta Municipal Limits and
- (2) Calcutta Municipal Ward Nos. 1 to 100.

2. This notification shall come into force on the thirty-first day of August, 1967.

[No. 83 (F. No. 16/14/66-ITB).]

S.O. 3012.—In exercise of the powers conferred by rule 5 of the Income-tax (Certificate Proceedings) Rules 1962, the Central Board of Direct Taxes hereby directs that the Commissioner of Income-tax, Calcutta (Central), Calcutta who is appointed as Tax Recovery Commissioner shall perform the functions of the Tax Recovery Commissioner in respect of the following areas in the State of West Bengal placed under his charge:—

- (1) Whole of 24-Parganas Civil District excluding such areas as fall within the Calcutta Municipal Limits and

(2) Calcutta Municipal Ward Nos. 1 to 100.

2. This notification shall come into force on the thirty-first day of August, 1967.

[No. 84 (F. No. 16/14/66-ITB).]

New Delhi, the 25th August 1967

S.O. 3013.—In partial modification of Notification No. 63 (F. No. 16/14/66-ITB), dated the 25th July, 1967, the Central Board of Direct Taxes hereby directs that the Commissioner of Income-tax, Rajasthan, Jaipur, who is already appointed as Tax Recovery Commissioner shall perform the functions of the Tax Recovery Commissioner in respect of the following Districts in the State of Rajasthan:—

1. Ajmer.
2. Udaipur.
3. Dungarpur.
4. Banswara.
5. Chittorgarh.
6. Bhilwara.
7. Kota.
8. Jhalawar.
9. Bundi.
10. Bikaner.
11. Churu.
12. Sriganganagar.

2. This Notification shall come into force on the first day of September, 1967.

[No. 86/F. No. 16/14/66-ITB.]

WASIQ ALI KHAN, Secy.

COLLECTORATE OF CUSTOMS & CENTRAL EXCISE, COCHIN
CENTRAL EXCISES

Cochin, the 5th August 1967

S.O. 3014.—In pursuance of Rule 200 of the Central Excise Rules, 1944, the Collector of Customs & Central Excise, Cochin, hereby empowers all Central Excise Officers and above the rank of Sub-Inspector of Central Excise in Cochin Collectorate, who in Central Excise Uniform or who possess Cards showing their identity, to exercise within their respective jurisdiction, the powers under the said Rules.

Provided that the power to search under the said Rule shall be exercised by Sub-Inspectors of Central Excise only in relation to unmanufactured products.

2. Serial No. 59 of this Office Notification No. 3/67 dated 19th January, 1967 may be considered to have been deleted.

[No. 5/67.]

S.O. 3015.—In pursuance of rules 197 and 199 of the Central Excise Rules, 1944, the Collector of Customs & Central Excise, Cochin, hereby empowers all Central Excise Officers of and above the rank of Sub-Inspector of Central Excise in Cochin Customs and Central Excise Collectorate, who are in Central Excise Uniform or who possess an Identity Card issued to them by an Officer not inferior in rank to an Assistant Collector of Central Excises, to exercise within their respective jurisdiction the powers under the said Rules.

2. This supersedes Notification No. 1/67 dated 19th January, 1967 issued by this Collectorate.

[No. 6/67.]

Cochin, 12th August 1967

S. O. 3016.—In exercise of the powers conferred on me by Rule 5 of the Central Excise Rules, 1944, I hereby empower the Central Excise Officers specified in column 3 of the Table, hereto annexed to exercise within their respective jurisdiction the power of a Collector under the provisions of the said Rules shown against each officer in column 2 of the Table, subject to the restrictions and limitations set out in Column 4 thereof.

TABLE

Sl. No.	Central Excise Rule No.	Collector's powers delegated to Officers not below the rank of	Restrictions and limitations if any
1	2	3	4
1	96ZA(1)	Superintendent	To accept first A.S.P. application for full period for which special procedure can be availed of.
2	96ZA(2)	Do.	To accept first A.S.P. application for a period less than the prescribed period.
3	96ZA(3)	Assistant Collector	To determine the period for which a manufacturer may be precluded from working under the special procedure for failure to give proper notice for not availing of such procedure during the period for which permission has been granted to him.
4	(a) 96ZA(4)	Superintendent	To accept renewal application in form A.S.P.
	(b) 96ZA(4)	(i) Superintendent for condoning delays not exceeding 15 days (ii) Assistant Collector for condoning delays exceeding 15 days.	To condone delay in submission of A.S.P. application for renewal.
5	96ZD (2)	(i) Superintendent for condoning delays not exceeding 5 days (ii) Assistant Collector if the delay exceeds the limits under (i) above.	To condone delay in submission of application for removal in form A.R. 6 and to condone delays in making monthly deposits.
6	96ZF (i) 96ZF (ii) 96ZF (iv) } 96ZF (iv)	Adjudicating officers in accordance with their limits of powers	To impose following penalties for misdeclaration etc. (i) to demand duty at full rate (ii) to confiscate goods (iii) to impose penalty not exceeding Rs 2,000/-.

**OFFICE OF THE COLLECTOR OF CENTRAL EXCISE, MADHYA PRADESH
AND VIDARBHA**

CENTRAL EXCISES

Nagpur, 14th August, 1967

S. O. 3017.—In exercise of the powers conferred upon me under Rule 5 of the Central Excise Rules, 1944, I hereby empower the Central Excise Officers specified in column 2 of the subjoined table to exercise within their jurisdiction the powers of the “Collector” under the Central Excise Rules enumerated in column 1 thereof subject to the limitations set out in column 3 of the said table.

TABLE

Central Excise Rules	Rank of Officer	Limitations, if any	
		1	2
Rule 96ZA(1) . .	Superintendent.	To accept first A.S.P. application for full period for which special procedure can be availed of.	
Rule 96ZA(2) . .	Superintendent	To accept first A.S.P. application for a period less than the prescribed period.	
Rule 96 ZA(3) . .	Assistant Collector	To determine the period for which a manufacturer may be precluded from working under the special procedure for failure to give proper notice for not availing of such procedure during the period for which permission has been granted to him.	
Rule 96ZA(4) . .	Superintendent	(a) To accept renewal applications in form A.S.P.	
Rule 96ZA(4) . .	Superintendent	(b) (i) For condoning delays not exceeding 15 days in submission of A.S.P. application for renewal.	
	Assistant Collector.	(ii) For condoning delays exceeding 15 days in submission of A.S.P. application for renewal.	
Rule 96 ZD(2) . .	Superintendent]]	(i) For condoning delays not exceeding 5 days in submission of application for removal in form A.R. 6 and in making monthly deposits.	
	Assistant Collector	(ii) If the delay exceeds the limits under (i) above.	
Rule 96ZF (i) } Rule 96 ZF (ii) } Rule 96ZF (iv) }	Adjudicating Officers in accordance with their limits of powers.	To impose following penalties for misdeclaration etc:— (i) to demand duty at full rate (ii) to confiscate goods (iii) to impose penalty not exceeding Rs. 2,000/-	

[No. 6/1967.]

VIPIN MANEKLAL, Collector.

THE MADRAS CENTRAL EXCISE COLLECTORATE MADRAS

CENTRAL EXCISES

Madras, 14th August 1967

S. O. 3018.—In exercise of the powers conferred on me under Rule 5 of the Central Excise Rules, 1944, I hereby empower the Central Excise Officers specified in column 4 of the Table below to exercise within their jurisdiction the powers of the Collector under the rules enumerated in column 3 of the said Table.

TABLE

Sl. No.	Nature of powers conferred on Collectors.	Rule No.	Collectors powers delegated to
1	2	3	4
1.	To accept first A.S.P. application for full period for which special procedure can be availed of.	96ZA(1)	Superintendent.
2.	To accept first A.S.P. application for a period less than the prescribed period.	96ZA(2)	Do.
3.	To determine the period for which a manufacturer may be precluded from working under the special procedure for failure to give proper notice for not availing of such procedure during the period for which permission has been granted to him.	96ZA(3)]	Assistant Collector.
4.	(a) To accept renewal applications in form A.S.P. (b) To condone delay in submission of A.S.P. application for renewal.	ZA(4) Do.	Superintendent. (i) Superintendent for condoning delays not exceeding 15 days. (ii) Assistant Collector for condoning delays exceeding 15 days.
5.	To condone delay in submission of application for removal in form A.R. 6 and to condone delays in making monthly deposits.	96ZD(2)	(i) Superintendent for condoning delays not exceeding 5 days. (ii) Assistant Collector if the delay exceeds the limit under (i) above.
6.	To Impose following penalties for mis-declaration etc. : (i) to demand duty at full rate (ii) to confiscate goods (iii) to impose penalty not exceeding Rs. 2,000/-.	96ZF(i) 96ZF(ii) 96ZF(iv)	Adjudicating Officers in accordance with their limits of powers.

[Authority : Board's letter F. No. 9/20/66-CXVII., (Circular No. 4/Plywood/67) dated 2-8-67].

[C. No.V (16B) 3/3/67-CX. II]
S. VENKATARAMAN, Collector

OFFICE OF THE COLLECTOR OF CENTRAL EXCISE, BANGALORE

CENTRAL EXCISE

Bangalore, the 14th August 1967

S.O. 3019.—In exercise of the powers vested in me under Rule 5 of Central Excise Rules, 1944 and in modification of this Office Central Excise Notification No. 1/67, dated 28th April, 1967, I hereby empower the Central Excise Officers of the Mysore Central Excise Collectorate specified in Col. 1 of the table below, to exercise within their respective jurisdiction, the powers of Collector under the

rule enumerated in Col. 2 and subject to the limitations set out in Col. 3 of the said table.

Rank of Officer	C. Ex. Rule	Extent of authority and/or limitations			
		1	2	3	
Superintendent of Central Excise (Junior).	53	To grant permission to Central Excise licensees to maintain R.G. 1 or R.G. 1 & E.B. 2 combined, only on days when there is manufacture storage and/or clearance of excisable goods.			

[No 4/67.]

S. VENKATARAMAN, Collector.

CORRIGENDUM

Bangalore, the 17th June 1967

S.O. 3020—In the schedule to the Notification No. 3/66 dated the 6th October, 1966 published in part II—Section 3—Sub-Section (ii) of the Gazette of India dated 19th November, 1966, the following amendment is ordered with immediate effect.

In South Kanara District at page 6 of the notification No. 3/63 dated the 6th October, 1966, substitute the following against Sl. No. 2 Kota firka of Udupi Taluk.

District	Area delimited	Exceptions	Officer to whom the declaration are to be rendered in case the prescribed units are exceeded.
1	2	3	4
SOUTH KANARA	(a) Udupi Taluk except Hajmady village of Udupi Taluk. (b) Coondapur Taluk.	(b) Bijadi village in Coondapur firka, Bairdur firka and Vandse firka.	Range Officer of Central Excise, Udupi.

[No. 2]

THOMAS KOSHI, {
Assistant Collector (Tech.)
for Collector.

COLLECTORATE OF CENTRAL EXCISE, CALCUTTA & ORISSA, CALCUTTA CENTRAL EXCISES

Calcutta, the 18th August 1967

S.O. 3021—In exercise of the powers conferred on me by Rule 5 of the Central Excise Rules, 1944, I hereby authorise the Central Excise Officers, specified in Column 2 of the following table, to exercise in their respective jurisdiction in the Collectorate of Central Excise, Calcutta and Orissa, the powers of Collector

under the Rules enumerated in Column 3 of the table, subject to restrictions set out in Column 4 thereof—

TABLE

Sl. No.	Rank of Officer	Relevant C. E. Rule in respect of which power is delegated	Restrictions, if any
1	2	3	4
1.	An Officer not below the rank of an Assistant Collector.	96ZA(3) 96ZA (4) 96ZD (2)	
2.	An Officer not below the rank of a Superintendent.	96ZA (1) 96ZA (2) 96ZA (4) 96ZD (2)	The power to condone delay in submission of A. S. P. application for renewal shall be restricted to 15 days. The power to condone delay in submission of application for renewal in form A. R. 10 and in making monthly deposits shall be restricted to 5 days.
3.	Adjudicating Officers.	96ZF (i) 96ZF (ii) 96ZF (iv)	The power relating to demand of duty at full rate, confiscation of goods and imposition of penalty shall be exercised by the Adjudicating Officers in accordance with limits of power.

[No. 4/1967.]

S.O. 3022.—In exercise of the powers conferred on me by Rule 5 of Central Excise Rules, 1944, I hereby authorise, in supersession of the Collectorate Notification No. 3-CE/1967 dated 25th April 1967, the Central Excise Officers not below the rank of a Superintendent of Central Excise in the Collectorate of Central Excise, Calcutta and Orissa, to exercise the powers of Collector under the proviso to Rule 53 of the Central Excise Rules, 1944.

[No. 5/1967.]

N. MOOKHERJEE, Collector-

OFFICE OF THE COLLECTOR OF CENTRAL EXCISE

CENTRAL EXCISE

Hyderabad, the 19th August 1967

S.O. 3023.—In exercise of the powers conferred upon me by Rule 5 of the Central Excise Rules, 1944 and in supersession of Notification No. 2/67 C.E. dated 22nd May 1967 I hereby empower officers of and above the rank of Junior (Upgraded) Superintendents of Central Excise to exercise the powers of "Collector" under the proviso to Rule 53 of the said rules within their jurisdiction. If there is no junior Superintendent of Central Excise in charge of any particular Range or Factory, the power may be exercised by the Senior Superintendents of Central Excise having jurisdiction.

[No. 5/67.]

M. L. ROUTH, Collector.

MINISTRY OF LABOUR, EMPLOYMENT & REHABILITATION

(Department of Labour & Employment)

New Delhi, the 21st August 1967

S.O. 3024.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of section 1 of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government hereby appoints the 27th August, 1967 as the date on which the provisions of Chapter IV (except sections 44 and 45 which have already been brought into force) and Chapters V and VI [except sub-section (1) of section 76 and sections 77, 78, 79 and 81 which have already been brought into force] of the said Act shall come into force in the following area in the State of Madras, namely:—

The area comprised within the limits of the revenue village of Varaganeri, in Tiruchirapalli taluk, in Tiruchirapalli district.

[No. F. 13(13)/67-HI.]

New Delhi, the 22nd August 1967

S.O. 3025.—Whereas Sarvashri P. D. Khandelwal and D. N. Sehgal, Provident Fund Inspectors for the whole of the States of Punjab and Haryana and the Union territories of Himachal Pradesh and Chandigarh have been transferred as Provident Fund Inspectors to the Rajasthan Regional Office at Jaipur and Delhi Regional Office, at New Delhi respectively;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section (13) of the Employees' Provident Funds Act 1952 (19 of 1952), the Central Government hereby makes the following amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation No. S.O. 335 dated the 28th January, 1967, namely:

In the said notification, the names "P. D. Khandelwal, D. N. Sehgal" shall be omitted.

[No. 20(64)64-PF.I.]

S.O. 3026.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 73-F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), and having regard to the location of the factories mentioned in the schedule below in sparse areas in the State of Punjab, in Central Government exempts the said factories from the payment of the employer's special contribution leviable under Chapter VA of the said Act until the enforcement of the provisions of Chapter V of that Act in those areas:

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Name of District	Name of Area	Name of the Factory
1.	Ferozepur	Moga	M/s. Bharat Engg. Works.
2.	Gurdaspur	Pathankot	M/s. Punjab Roadways.
3.	Hoshiarpur	Village- Nasrala Hoshiarpur	M/s. Sandy Shoe Co. (P) Ltd. M/s. Punjab Roadways.
4.	Karnal	Smalkha Kaithal	M/s. Punjab Iron & Engg. Works. (i) M/s. Dhanpat Rai & Sons. (ii) M/s. B. L. Engg. Works. (iii) M/s. National Manufacturers & Traders.
5.	Simla	Village- Jatoli	M/s. Bhopindra Food & Malt Industries.

[No. F. 6(22)/65-HI.]

S.O. 3027.—In pursuance of section 4 of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government hereby makes the following further amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) No. S.O. 2551 dated the 9th August, 1966 namely:—

In the said notification,

(I) under the heading "[Elected by Parliament under clause (1) of section 4]", for serial number 35 and the entry relating thereto, the following serial numbers and entries shall be substituted, namely:—

"35. Shri N. Srekanan Nair, M.P. 17, Windsor Place, New Delhi.

35A. Shri Shankarrao Mane, M.P. 28, South Avenue, New Delhi."

(II) after serial number 36 and the entry relating thereto, the following heading and serial number shall be inserted namely:—

"(Ex-officio member under clause (j) of section 4)

37. The Director-General, Employees' State Insurance Corporation, New Delhi."

[No. F. 1/11/67-HI.]

S.O. 3028.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government, having regard to the seasonal nature of the industry carried on in the factory, Messrs Elite Products, Kozhikode, hereby exempts the said factory from the payment of the employer's special contribution leviable under Chapter VA of the said Act for a further period of one year with effect from the 1st September, 1967.

[No. F. 6(43)/66-HI.]

S.O. 3029.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), and in supersession of the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation, (Department of Labour and Employment) No. 1838, dated the 16th May, 1967, the Central Government, having regard to the location of the Beniadin Workshop belonging to the National Coal Development Corporation Limited, Ranchi, in a non-implemented area in the State of Bihar, hereby exempts the said Workshop from the payment of the employer's special contribution under Chapter VA of the said Act until the enforcement of the provisions of Chapter V of the said Act in that area.

[No. F. 6(64)/65-HI.]

S.O. 3030.—Whereas M/s. The SKF Ball Bearing Company Private Limited, Mahatma Gandhi Memorial Building, Netaji Subhas Road, Bombay-2 (hereinafter referred to as the said establishment) has applied for exemption under clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 17 of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952);

And whereas in the opinion of the Central Government the rules of the provident fund of the said establishment with respect to the rates of contribution are not less favourable to the employees therein than those specified in section 6 of the said Act, and the employees are also in enjoyment of other provident fund benefits which on the whole are not less favourable to the employees than the benefits provided under the said Act or under the Employees' Provident Funds Scheme, 1952 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme) in relation to the employees in any other establishment of a similar character;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 17 of the said Act, and subject to the conditions specified in the Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government hereby exempts the said establishment from the operation of all the provisions of the said Scheme and in pursuance of sub-section (3) of the said section 17, the Central Government hereby directs that,—

(a) the employer in relation to the said establishment shall pay within fifteen days of the close of the month to the Employees' Provident Fund, inspection charges at the rate of 0.09 per cent (zero point zero nine per cent) of the pay (basic wages, dearness allowance, retaining allowance, if any, and cash value of food concession admissible thereon) for the time being payable to the employees of the

said establishment who would have become members under the said Scheme but for this exemption;

(b) the said employer shall invest the provident fund accumulations in Central Government securities within thirty days of the close of the month to which the contributions relate.

THE SCHEDULE

1. The employer shall submit such returns to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner as the Central Government may, from time to time, prescribe.
2. The employer shall furnish to each employee an annual statement of account or Pass Book.
3. All expenses involved in the administration of the fund including the maintenance of accounts, submission of accounts and returns, transfer of accumulations, payment of inspection charges, etc. shall be borne by the employer.
4. The employer shall display on the notice board of the establishment a copy of the rules of the fund as approved by the appropriate Government and, as and when amended, along with a translation of the salient points thereof in the language of the majority of the employees.
5. Where an employee who is already member of the Employees' Provident Fund (Statutory Fund) or the Provident Fund of another exempted establishment is employed in his establishment, the employer shall immediately enrol him as a member of the Fund of the establishment, and accept the past accumulations in respect of such employee and credit to his account.
6. The employer shall enhance the rate of Provident Fund contribution appropriately if the rate of provident fund contributions for the class of establishments in which his establishment falls is enhanced under the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 so that the benefits under the Provident Fund Scheme of the establishment shall not become less favourable than the benefit provided under the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952.
7. The establishment shall submit an audited balance sheet of its provident fund every year to the Regional Commissioner within 3 months of the close of the year.
8. No amendment of the rules of the provident fund shall be made without the previous approval of the Central Provident Fund Commissioner. Where any amendment is likely to affect adversely the interests of the employees, the Central Provident Fund Commissioner shall, before giving his approval, give a reasonable opportunity to the employees to explain their point of view.

[No. 11/19/67-PF.II.]

S.O. 3031.—Whereas M/s. Chowgule and Company (Hind) Private Ltd., India House, Fort Street, Bombay-1 (hereinafter referred to as the said establishment) has applied for exemption under clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 17 of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952);

And whereas in the opinion of the Central Government the rules of the provident fund of the said establishment with respect to the rates of contribution are not less favourable to the employees therein than those specified in section 6 of the said Act, and the employees are also in enjoyment of other provident fund benefits which on the whole are not less favourable to the employees than the benefits provided under the said Act or under the Employees' Provident Funds Scheme, 1952 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme) in relation to the employees in any other establishment of a similar character;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 17 of the said Act, and subject to the conditions specified in the Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government hereby exempts the said establishment from the operation of all the provisions of the said Scheme, and in pursuance of sub-section (3) of the said section 17, the Central Government hereby directs that,—

(a) the employer in relation to the said establishment shall pay within fifteen days of the close of the month to the Employees' Provident

Fund, inspection charges at the rate of 0.09 per cent (zero point zero nine per cent) of the pay (basic wages, dearness allowance, retaining allowance, if any, and cash value of food concession admissible thereon) for the time being payable to the employees of the said establishment who would have become members under the said Scheme but for this exemption;

(b) the said employer shall invest the provident fund contributions in Central Government securities within thirty days of the close of the month to which the contributions relate.

THE SCHEDULE

1. The employer shall submit such returns to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner as the Central Government may, from time to time, prescribe.
2. The employer shall furnish to each employee an annual statement of account or Pass Book.
3. All expenses involved in the administration of the fund including the maintenance of accounts, submission of accounts and returns, transfer of accumulations, payment of inspection charges, etc. shall be borne by the employer.
4. The employer shall display on the notice board of the establishment a copy of the rules of the fund as approved by the appropriate Government and, as and when amended, along with a translation of the salient points thereof in the language of the majority of the employees.
5. Where an employee who is already member of the Employees' Provident Fund (Statutory Fund) or the Provident Fund of another exempted establishment is employed in his establishment the employer shall immediately enrol him as a member of the Fund of the establishment, and accept the past accumulations in respect of such employee and credit to his account.
6. The employer shall enhance the rate of Provident Fund contribution appropriately if the rate of provident fund contributions for the class of establishments in which his establishment falls is enhanced under the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 so that the benefits under the provident fund Scheme of the establishment shall not become less favourable than the benefits provided under the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952.
7. The establishment shall submit an audited balance sheet of its provident fund every year to the Regional Commissioner within 3 months of the close of the year.
8. No amendment of the rules of the provident fund shall be made without the previous approval of the Central Provident Fund Commissioner. Where any amendment is likely to affect adversely the interests of the employees, the Central Provident Fund Commissioner shall, before giving his approval, give a reasonable opportunity to the employees to explain their point of view.

[No. 11/15/67-PF. II.]

S.O. 3032.—Whereas M/s. Tata Fison Industries Ltd., Union Bank Building Dalal Street, Fort, Bombay-1 (hereinafter referred to as the said establishment) has applied for exemption under clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 17 of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952);

And whereas in the opinion of the Central Government, the rules of the provident fund of the said establishment with respect to the rates of contribution are not less favourable to the employees therein than those specified in section 6 of the said Act, and the employees are also in enjoyment of other provident fund benefits which on the whole are not less favourable to the employees than the benefits provided under the said Act or under the Employees' Provident Funds Scheme, 1952 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme) in relation to the employees in any other establishment of a similar character;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 17 of the said Act, and subject to the conditions specified in the

Schedule annexed hereunto, the Central Government hereby exempts the said establishment from the operation of all the provisions of the said Scheme, and in pursuance of sub-section (3) of the said section 17, the Central Government hereby directs that,—

- (a) the employer in relation to the said establishment shall pay within fifteen days of the close of the month to the Employees' Provident Fund, inspection charges at the rate of 0.09 per cent (zero point zero nine per cent) of the pay (basic wages, dearness allowance, retaining allowance, if any, and cash value of food concession admissible thereon) for the time being payable to the employees of the said establishment who would have become members under the said Scheme but for this exemption;
- (b) the said employer shall invest the provident fund contributions in Central Government securities within thirty days of the close of the month to which the contributions relate.

THE SCHEDULE

1. The employer shall submit such returns to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner as the Central Government may, from time to time, prescribe.
2. The employer shall furnish to each employee an annual statement of account or Pass Book.
3. All expenses involved in the administration of the fund including the maintenance of accounts, submission of accounts and returns, transfer of accumulations, payment of inspection charges, etc. shall be borne by the employer.
4. The employer shall display on the notice board of the establishment a copy of the rules of the fund as approved by the appropriate Government and, as and when amended, along with a translation of the salient points thereof in the language of the majority of the employees.
5. Where an employee who is already member of the Employees' Provident Fund (Statutory Fund) or the Provident Fund of another exempted establishment is employed in his establishment the employer shall immediately enrol him as a member of the Fund of the establishment, and accept the past accumulations in respect of such employee and credit to his account.
6. The employer shall enhance the rate of Provident Fund contribution appropriately if the rate of provident fund contributions for the class of establishments in which his establishment falls is enhanced under the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 so that the benefits under the provident fund Scheme of the establishment shall not become less favourable than the benefit provided under the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952.
7. The establishment shall submit an audited balance sheet of its provident fund every year to the Regional Commissioner within 3 months of the close of the year.
8. No amendment of the rules of the provident fund shall be made without the previous approval of the Central Provident Fund Commissioner. Where any amendment is likely to affect adversely the interests of the employees, the Central Provident Fund Commissioner shall, before giving his approval, give a reasonable opportunity to the employees to explain their point of view.

[No. 11/18/67-PF. II.]

New Delhi, the 23rd August 1967

S.O. 3033.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs. Shah Radio Products, P-37, C.I.T. Road, Scheme No. 52, Calcutta-14 have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952) should be made applicable to the said establishment,

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment with effect from the 1st December, 1967.

[No. 8/115/67-PF. II.]

S.O. 3034.—Whereas Messrs. Smith Stanistreet and Company Limited, 18, Convent Road, Calcutta-14 (hereinafter referred to as the said establishment) has applied for exemption under clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 17 of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952),

And whereas in the opinion of the Central Government the rules of the provident fund of the said establishment with respect to the rates of contribution are not less favourable to the employees therein than those specified in section 6 of the said Act, and the employees are also in enjoyment of other provident fund benefits which on the whole are not less favourable to the employees than the benefits provided under the said Act or under the Employees' Provident Funds Scheme, 1952 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme) in relation to the employees in any other establishment of a similar character,

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 17 of the said Act, and subject to the conditions specified in the Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government hereby exempts the said establishment from the operation of all the provisions of the said Scheme and in pursuance of sub-section (3) of the said section 17, the Central Government hereby directs that,—

- (a) the employer in relation to the said establishment shall pay within fifteen days of the close of the month to the Employees' Provident Fund, inspection charges at the rate of 0.09 per cent (zero point zero nine per cent) of the pay (basic wages, dearness allowance, retaining allowance, if any, and cash value of food concession admissible thereon) for the time being payable to the employees of the said establishment who would have become members under the said Scheme but for this exemption;
- (b) the said employer shall invest the provident fund contributions in Central Government securities within thirty days of the close of the month to which the contributions relate.

SCHEDULE

1. The employer shall submit such returns to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner as the Central Government may, from time to time, prescribe.

2. The employer shall furnish to each employee an annual statement of account or Pass Book.

3. All expenses involved in the administration of the fund including the maintenance of accounts, submission of accounts and returns, transfer of accumulations, payment of inspection charges etc., shall be borne by the employer.

4. The employer shall display on the notice board of the establishment a copy of the rules of the fund as approved by the appropriate Government and, as and when amended, along with a translation of the salient points thereof in the language of the majority of the employees.

5. Where an employee who is already member of the Employees' Provident Fund (Statutory Fund) or the provident Fund of another exempted establishment is employed in his establishment the employer shall immediately enrol him as a member of the Fund of the establishment, and accept the past accumulations in respect of such employee and credit to his account.

6. The employer shall enhance the rate of Provident Fund contribution appropriately if the rate of provident fund contributions for the class of establishment in which his establishment falls is enhanced under the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 so that the benefits under the Provident Fund Scheme of the establishment shall not become less favourable than the benefit provided under the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952.

7. The establishment shall submit an audited balance sheet of its provident fund every year to the Regional Commissioner within 3 months of the close of the year.

8. No amendment of the rules of the provident fund shall be made without the previous approval of the Central Provident Fund Commissioner. Where any amendment is likely to affect adversely the interests of the employees, the Central Provident Fund Commissioner shall, before giving his approval, give a reasonable opportunity to the employees to explain their point of view.

S.O. 3035.—Whereas Messrs. Scientific Instruments Company Limited, 6, Tcj Bahadur Sapru Road, Allahabad (hereinafter referred to as the said establishment) has applied for exemption under clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 17 of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952);

And Whereas in the opinion of the Central Government, the rules of the provident fund of the said establishment with respect to the rates of contribution are not less favourable to the employees therein than those specified in section 6 of the said Act, and the employees are also in enjoyment of other provident fund benefits which on the whole are not less favourable to the employees than the benefits provided under the said Act or under the Employees' Provident Funds Scheme, 1952 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme) in relation to the employees in any other establishment of a similar character;

Now, Therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 17 of the said Act, and subject to the conditions specified in the Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government hereby exempts the said establishment from the operation of all the provisions of the said Scheme and in pursuance of sub-section (3) of the said section 17, the Central Government hereby directs that,—

- (a) the employer in relation to the said establishment shall pay within fifteen days of the close of the month to the Employees' Provident Fund, inspection charges at the rate of 0·09 per cent (zero point zero nine per cent) of the pay (basic wages, dearness allowance, retaining allowance, if any, and cash value of food concession admissible thereon) for the time being payable to the employees of the said establishment who would have become members under the said Scheme but for this exemption;
- (b) the said employer shall invest the provident fund contributions in Central Government securities within thirty days of the close of the month to which the contributions relate.

SCHEDULE

1. Within three months of this notification the rules of the Provident Fund management in respect of the establishment shall be amended to provide for the following and the Board constituted accordingly:—
 - (a) the fund shall invest in a Board of Trustees under a valid instrument adequately safeguarding the interests of the employees and such instrument shall be duly registered under section 6 of the Indian Trusts Act, 1882;
 - (b) the Board of Trustees shall consist of an equal number of representatives of the employees and the employer and all questions before the Board shall be decided by a majority of votes;
 - (c) the Board shall be constituted in the following manner, that is to say—
 - (i) *Number of members.*—(1) The number of Trustees on a Board shall be so fixed as to afford, as far as possible, representation to workers in various branches/departments of the establishment.

Provided that the number of trustees on a Board shall neither be less than six nor more than twelve.
 - (2) In the case of a common Provident Fund for a group of two or more establishments under the same employer, one Board may be constituted for all such establishments.

Provided that the employees of each establishment shall be entitled to elect a trustee on the Board.

- (ii) *Employer's representatives.*—The employer shall nominate his representatives from amongst the officers employed in managerial or administrative capacity in the establishment.
- (iii) *Election of employees' representative.*—The representatives of the employees shall be elected by the members of the Fund in an election to be held for the purpose on any working day.

Provided that wherever there is a Union recognised under the Code of Discipline, such Union shall nominate the employees' representative.

Provided further that wherever there is no such recognised Union, the representative Union, if any, existing under any Law regulating the

recognition of workers' Union, shall nominate the employees' representatives:

Provided also that wherever there is neither a recognised Union nor a representative Union of workers, any Union existing in the establishment and qualified for recognition by the employer, shall nominate the employees' representatives. Where there is more than one such Union, the procedure laid down in the Industrial Disputes (Central) Rules, 1947, for the election of the workers' representatives on the Works Committee shall be followed with such modifications, if any, as may be considered necessary by the Regional/State Provident Fund Commissioner.

(iv) *Qualifications of candidates for election.*—(1) Any member of the Fund who is not less than 21 years of age may, if nominated as herein-after provided, be a candidate for election as an employees' representative, (2) An outgoing trustee shall be eligible for re-election or re-nomination as the case may be.

(v) *Disqualifications of a trustee.*—A person shall be disqualified for being a trustee of the Board:—

- (a) if he is declared to be of unsound mind by a competent court; or
- (b) if he is an undischarged insolvent; or
- (c) if he has been convicted of an offence involving moral turpitude.

(vi) *Chairman of the Board.*—The employer shall nominate one of his representatives on the Board to be the Chairman thereof. In the event of an equality of votes, the Chairman shall exercise a casting vote.

(vii) *Filling of casual vacancies.*—In the event of a trustee elected or nominated, ceasing to be a trustee during the tenure of the Board, his successor shall be elected or nominated, as the case may be, in the manner, hereinbefore provided for election or nomination.

(viii) *Reference to Regional Provident Fund Commissioner.*—If any dispute or doubt arises in respect of constitution of the Board of Trustees, the matter shall be referred to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner in whose region the Head Office of the establishment is situated and his decision in the matter shall be final and binding.

2. The employer shall submit such returns to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner as the Central Government may, from time to time, prescribe.
3. The employer shall furnish to each employee an annual statement of account or Pass Book.
4. All expenses involved in the administration of the fund including the maintenance of accounts, submission of accounts and returns, transfer of accumulations, payment of inspection charges etc., shall be borne by the employer.
5. The employer shall display on the notice board of the establishment a copy of the rules of the fund as approved by the appropriate Government and, as and when amended, alongwith a translation of the salient points thereof in the language of the majority of the employees.
6. Where an employee who is already member of the Employees' Provident Fund (Statutory Fund) or the Provident Fund of another exempted establishment is employed in his establishment, the employer shall immediately enrol him as a member of the Fund of the establishment, and accept the past accumulations in respect of such employee and credit to his account.
7. The employer shall enhance the rate of Provident Fund contribution appropriately if the rate of provident fund contributions for the class of establishments in which his establishment falls is enhanced under the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 so that the benefits under the provident fund Scheme of the establishment shall not become less favourable than the benefit provided under the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952.
8. The establishment shall submit an audited balance sheet of its provident fund every year to the Regional Commissioner within 3 months of the close of the year.

S.O. 3036.—Whereas Messrs. National Rayon Corporation Limited, Eros Theatre Building, J.T. Road, Bombay-1 (hereinafter referred to as the said establishment), has applied for exemption under clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 17 of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952);

And Whereas in the opinion of the Central Government, the rules of the provident fund of the said establishment with respect to the rates of contribution are not less favourable to the employees therein than those specified in section 6 of the said Act, and the employees are also in enjoyment of other provident fund benefits which on the whole are not less favourable to the employees than the benefits provided under the said Act or under the Employees' Provident Funds Scheme, 1952 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme) in relation to the employees in any other establishment of a similar character;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 17 of the said Act, and subject to the conditions specified in the Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government hereby exempts the said establishment from the operation of all the provisions of the said Scheme and in pursuance of sub-section (3) of the said section 17, the Central Government hereby directs that,—

- (a) the employer in relation to the said establishment shall pay within fifteen days of the close of the month to the Employees' Provident Fund, inspection charges at the rate of 0·09 per cent (zero point zero nine per cent) of the pay (basic wages, dearness allowance, retaining allowance, if any, and cash value of food concession admissible thereon) for the time being payable to the employees of the said establishment who would have become members under the said Scheme but for this exemption;
- (b) the said employer shall invest the provident fund contributions in Central Government securities within thirty days of the close of the month to which the contributions relate.

SCHEDULE

1. The employer shall submit such returns to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner as the Central Government may, from time to time, prescribe.
2. The employer shall furnish to each employee an annual statement of account or Pass Book.
3. All expenses involved in the administration of the fund including the maintenance of accounts, submission of accounts and returns, transfer of accumulations, payment of inspection charges etc., shall be borne by the employer.
4. The employer shall display on the notice board of the establishment a copy of the rules of the fund as approved by the appropriate Government and, as and when amended, alongwith a translation of the salient points thereof in the language of the majority of the employees.
5. Where an employee who is already member of the Employees' Provident Fund (Statutory Fund) or the Provident Fund of another exempted establishment is employed in his establishment, the employer shall immediately enrol him as a member of the Fund of the establishment, and accept the past accumulations in respect of such employee and credit to his account.
6. The employer shall enhance the rate of Provident Fund contribution appropriately if the rate of provident fund contributions for the class of establishments in which his establishment falls is enhanced under the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952, so that the benefits under the Provident Fund Scheme of the establishment shall not become less favourable than the benefit provided under the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952.
7. The establishment shall submit an audited balance sheet of its provident fund every year to the Regional Commissioner within 3 months of the close of the year.
8. No amendment of the rules of the provident fund shall be made without the previous approval of the Central Provident Fund Commissioner.

Where any amendment is likely to affect adversely the interests of the employees, the Central Provident Fund Commissioner shall, before giving his approval, give a reasonable opportunity to the employees to explain their point of view.

[No 11(41)67-PF II.]

S.O. 3037.—Whereas M/s. Castrol Limited, White House, 91, Walkeshwar Road, Bombay-6 (hereinafter referred to as the said establishment) has applied for exemption under clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 17 of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952),

And Whereas in the opinion of the Central Government, the rules of the provident fund of the said establishment with respect to the rates of contribution are not less favourable to the employees therein than those specified in section 6 of the said Act, and the employees are also in enjoyment of other provident fund benefits which on the whole are not less favourable to the employees than the benefits provided under the said Act or under the Employees' Provident Funds Scheme, 1952 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme) in relation to the employees in any other establishment of a similar character;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 17 of the said Act, and subject to the conditions specified in the Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government hereby exempts the said establishment from the operation of all the provisions of the said Scheme and in pursuance of sub-section (3) of the said section 17, the Central Government hereby directs that,—

- the employer in relation to the said establishment shall pay within fifteen days of the close of the month to the Employees' Provident Fund, inspection charges at the rate of 0.09 per cent (zero point zero nine per cent) of the pay (basic wages, dearness allowance, retaining allowance, if any, and cash value of food concession admissible thereon) for the time being payable to the employees of the said establishment who would have become members under the said Scheme but for this exemption;
- the said employer shall invest the provident fund contributions in Central Government securities within thirty days of the close of the month to which the contributions relate.

THE SCHEDULE

- The employer shall submit such returns to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner as the Central Government may, from time to time, prescribe.
- The employer shall furnish to each employee an annual statement of account or Pass Book.
- All expenses involved in the administration of the fund including the maintenance of accounts, submission of accounts and returns, transfer of accumulations, payment of inspection charges etc., shall be borne by the employer.
- The employer shall display on the notice board of the establishment a copy of the rules of the fund as approved by the appropriate Government and, as and when amended, alongwith a translation of the salient points thereof in the language of the majority of the employees.
- Where an employee who is already member of the Employees' Provident Fund (Statutory Fund) or the Provident Fund of another exempted establishment is employed in his establishment, the employer shall immediately enroll him as a member of the Fund of the establishment, and accept the past accumulations in respect of such employee and credit to his account.
- The employer shall enhance the rate of Provident Fund contribution appropriately if the rate of provident fund contributions for the class of establishment in which his establishment falls is enhanced under the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952, so that the benefits under the Provident Fund Scheme of the establishment shall not become less favourable than the benefit provided under the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952.
- The establishment shall submit an audited balance sheet of its provident fund every year to the Regional Commissioner within 3 months of the close of the year.

8. No amendment of the rules of the provident fund shall be made without the previous approval of the Central Provident Fund Commissioner. Where any amendment is likely to affect adversely the interests of the employees, the Central Provident Fund Commissioner shall, before giving his approval, give a reasonable opportunity to the employees to explain their point of view.

[No. 11/52/67-PF.II.]

S.O. 3038.—Whereas M/s. Power Tools & Appliances Company, 2, Dalhousie Square, Calcutta-1 (hereinafter referred to as the said establishment), has applied for exemption under clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 17 of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952);

And Whereas in the opinion of the Central Government, the rules of the provident fund of the said establishment with respect to the rates of contribution are not less favourable to the employees therein than those specified in section 6 of the said Act, and the employees are also in enjoyment of other provident fund benefits which on the whole are not less favourable to the employees than the benefits provided under the said Act or under the Employees' Provident Funds Scheme, 1952 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme) in relation to the employees in any other establishment of a similar character;

Now, Therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 17 of the said Act, and subject to the conditions specified in the Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government hereby exempts the said establishment from the operation of all the provisions of the said Scheme and in pursuance of sub-section (3) of the said section 17, the Central Government hereby directs that,—

- (a) the employer in relation to the said establishment shall pay within fifteen days of the close of the month to the Employees' Provident Fund, inspection charges at the rate of 0·09 per cent (zero point zero nine per cent) of the pay (basic wages, dearness allowance, retaining allowance, if any, and cash value of food concession admissible thereon) for the time being payable to the employees of the said establishment who would have become members under the said Scheme but for this exemption;
- (b) the said employer shall invest the provident fund contributions in Central Government securities within thirty days of the close of the month to which the contributions relate.

THE SCHEDULE

1. The employer shall submit such returns to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner as the Central Government may, from time to time, prescribe.
2. The employer shall furnish to each employee an annual statement of account or Pass Book.
3. All expenses involved in the administration of the fund including the maintenance of accounts, submission of accounts and returns, transfer of accumulations, payment of inspection charges etc., shall be borne by the employer.
4. The employer shall display on the notice board of the establishment a copy of the rules of the fund as approved by the appropriate Government and, as and when amended, alongwith a translation of the salient points thereof in the language of the majority of the employees.
5. Where an employee who is already member of the Employees' Provident Fund (Statutory Fund) or the Provident Fund of another exempted establishment is employed in his establishment, the employer shall immediately enroll him as a member of the Fund of the establishment, and accept the past accumulations in respect of such employee and credit to his account.
6. The employer shall enhance the rate of Provident Fund contribution appropriately if the rate of provident fund contributions for the class of establishment in which his establishment falls is enhanced under the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952, so that the benefits under the Provident Fund Scheme of the establishment shall not

become less favourable than the benefits provided under the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952.

7. The establishment shall submit an audited balance sheet of its provident fund every year to the Regional Commissioner within 3 months of the close of the year.
8. No amendment of the rules of the provident fund shall be made without the previous approval of the Central Provident Fund Commissioner. Where any amendment is likely to affect adversely the interests of the employees, the Central Provident Fund Commissioner shall, before giving his approval, give a reasonable opportunity to the employees to explain their point of view.

[No. 11/48/67-PF.II.]

S.O. 3039.—Whereas Messrs. Indian Aluminium Company Limited, 31, Chowringhee Road, Calcutta-16 (hereinafter referred to as the said establishment), has applied for exemption under clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 17 of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952);

And Whereas in the opinion of the Central Government, the rules of the provident fund of the said establishment with respect to the rates of contribution are not less favourable to the employees therein than those specified in section 6 of the said Act, and the employees are also in enjoyment of other provident fund benefits which on the whole are not less favourable to the employees than the benefits provided under the said Act or under the Employees' Provident Funds Scheme, 1952 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme) in relation to the employees in any other establishment of a similar character;

Now, Therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 17 of the said Act, and subject to the conditions specified in the Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government hereby exempts the said establishment from the operation of all the provisions of the said Scheme and in pursuance of sub-section (3) of the said section 17, the Central Government hereby directs that,—

- (a) the employer in relation to the said establishment shall pay within fifteen days of the close of the month to the Employees' Provident Fund, inspection charges at the rate of 0.09 per cent (zero point zero nine per cent) of the pay (basic wages, dearness allowance, retaining allowance, if any, and cash value of food concession admissible thereon) for the time being payable to the employees of the said establishment who would have become members under the said Scheme but for this exemption;
- (b) the said employer shall invest the provident fund contributions in Central Government securities within thirty days of the close of the month to which the contributions relate.

THE SCHEDULE

1. The employer shall submit such returns to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner as the Central Government may, from time to time, prescribe.
2. The employer shall furnish to each employee an annual statement of account or Pass Book.
3. All expenses involved in the administration of the fund including the maintenance of accounts, submission of accounts and returns, transfer of accumulations, payment of inspection charges etc., shall be borne by the employer.
4. The employer shall display on the notice board of the establishment a copy of the rules of the fund as approved by the appropriate Government and, as and when amended, alongwith a translation of the salient points thereof in the language of the majority of the employees.
5. Where an employee who is already member of the Employees' Provident Fund (Statutory Fund) or the Provident Fund of another exempted establishment is employed in his establishment, the employer shall immediately enroll him as a member of the Fund of the establishment, and accept the past accumulations in respect of such employee and credit to his account.

6. The employer shall enhance the rate of Provident Fund contribution appropriately if the rate of provident fund contributions for the class of establishment in which his establishment falls is enhanced under the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952, so that the benefits under the Provident Fund Scheme of the establishment shall not become less favourable than the benefit provided under the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952.
7. The establishment shall submit an audited balance sheet of its provident fund every year to the Regional Commissioner within 3 months of the close of the year.
8. No amendment of the rules of the provident fund shall be made without the previous approval of the Central Provident Fund Commissioner. Where any amendment is likely to affect adversely the interests of the employees, the Central Provident Fund Commissioner shall, before giving his approval, give a reasonable opportunity to the employees to explain their point of view.

[No. 11/46/67-PF.II.]

S.O. 3040.—Whereas Messrs Tractors (India) Limited, 1, Taratala Road, Calcutta-24 (hereinafter referred to as the said establishment), has applied for exemption under clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 17 of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952);

And Whereas in the opinion of the Central Government, the rules of the provident fund of the said establishment with respect to the rates of contribution are not less favourable to the employees therein than those specified in section 6 of the said Act, and the employees are also in enjoyment of other provident fund benefits which on the whole are not less favourable to the employees than the benefits provided under the said Act or under the Employees' Provident Funds Scheme, 1952 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme) in relation to the employees in any other establishment of a similar character;

Now, Therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 17 of the said Act, and subject to the conditions specified in the Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government hereby exempts the said establishment from the operation of all the provisions of the said Scheme and in pursuance of sub-section (3) of the said section 17, the Central Government hereby directs that,—

- (a) the employer in relation to the said establishment shall pay within fifteen days of the close of the month to the Employees' Provident Fund, inspection charges at the rate of 0.09 per cent (zero point zero nine per cent) of the pay (basic wages, dearness allowance, retaining allowance, if any, and cash value of food concession admissible thereon) for the time being payable to the employees of the said establishment who would have become members under the said Scheme but for this exemption;
- (b) the said employer shall invest the provident fund contributions in Central Government securities within thirty days of the close of the month to which the contributions relate.

THE SCHEDULE

1. The employer shall submit such returns to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner as the Central Government may, from time to time, prescribe.
2. The employer shall furnish to each employee an annual statement of account or Pass Book.
3. All expenses involved in the administration of the fund including the maintenance of accounts, submission of accounts and returns, transfer of accumulations, payment of inspection charges etc., shall be borne by the employer.
4. The employer shall display on the notice board of the establishment a copy of the rules of the fund as approved by the appropriate Government and, as and when amended, alongwith a translation of the salient points thereof in the language of the majority of the employees.
5. Where an employee who is already member of the Employees' Provident Fund (Statutory Fund) or the Provident Fund of another exempted

establishment is employed in his establishment, the employer shall immediately enroll him as a member of the Fund of the establishment, and accept the past accumulation, in respect of such employee and credit to his account.

6. The employer shall enhance the rate of Provident Fund contribution appropriately if the rate of provident fund contribution for the class of establishments in which his establishment falls is enhanced under the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952, so that the benefits under the Provident Fund Scheme of the establishment shall not become less favourable than the benefit provided under the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952.
7. The establishment shall submit an audited balance sheet of its provident fund every year to the Regional Commissioner within 3 months of the close of the year.
8. No amendment of the rules of the provident fund shall be made without the previous approval of the Central Provident Fund Commissioner. Where any amendment is likely to affect adversely the interests of the employees, the Central Provident Fund Commissioner shall, before giving his approval, give a reasonable opportunity to the employees to explain their point of view.

[No. 11/45/67-PF.II.]

S.O. 3041.—Whereas Messrs. Turner Hoare and Company Limited, Gateway Building, Apollo Bunder, Bombay-1, (hereinafter referred to as the said establishment), has applied for exemption under clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 17 of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952);

And whereas in the opinion of the Central Government, the rules of the provident fund of the said establishment with respect to the rates of contribution are not less favourable to the employees therein than those specified in section 6 of the said Act, and the employees are also in enjoyment of other provident fund benefits which on the whole are not less favourable to the employees than the benefits provided under the said Act or under the Employees' Provident Funds Scheme, 1952 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme) in relation to the employees in any other establishment of a similar character;

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 17 of the said Act, and subject to the conditions specified in the Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government hereby exempts the said establishment from the operation of all the provisions of the said Scheme and in pursuance of sub-section (3) of the said section 17, the Central Government hereby directs that—

- (a) the employer in relation to the said establishment shall pay within fifteen days of the close of the month to the Employees' Provident Fund, inspection charges at the rate of 0.09 per cent (zero point zero nine per cent) of the pay (basic wages, dearness allowance, retaining allowance, if any, and cash value of food concession admissible thereon) for the time being payable to the employees of the said establishment who would have become members under the said Scheme but for this exemption;
- (b) the said employer shall invest the provident fund contributions in Central Government securities within thirty days of the close of the month to which the contributions relate.

THE SCHEDULE

1. The employer shall submit such returns to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner as the Central Government may, from time to time, prescribe.
2. The employer shall furnish to each employee an annual statement of account or Pass Book.
3. All expenses involved in the administration of the fund including the maintenance of accounts, submission of accounts and returns, transfer of accumulations, payment of inspection charges etc., shall be borne by the employer.
4. The employer shall display on the notice board of the establishment a copy of the rules of the fund as approved by the appropriate Government and, as and when amended, alongwith a translation of

the salient points thereof in the language of the majority of the employees.

5. Where an employee who is already member of the Employees' Provident Fund (Statutory Fund) or the Provident Fund of another exempted establishment is employed in his establishment, the employer shall immediately enroll him as a member of the Fund of the establishment, and accept the past accumulations in respect of such employee and credit to his account.
6. The employer shall enhance the rate of Provident Fund contribution appropriately if the rate of provident fund contribution for the class of establishments in which his establishment falls is enhanced under the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952, so that the benefits under the Provident Fund Scheme of the establishment shall not become less favourable than the benefit provided under the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952.
7. The establishment shall submit an audited balance sheet of its provident fund every year to the Regional Commissioner within 3 months of the close of the year.
8. No amendment of the rules of the provident fund shall be made without the previous approval of the Central Provident Fund Commissioner. Where any amendment is likely to affect adversely the interests of the employees, the Central Provident Fund Commissioner shall, before giving his approval, give a reasonable opportunity to the employees to explain their point of view.

[No. 11(42)67-P.F.II.]

S.O. 3042.—Whereas Messrs. A. H. Bhiwandiwala and Company (Bombay) Private Limited, Anant Bhavan, New Building, 257-265, Narsi Natha Street, Bombay-9 (hereinafter referred to as the said establishment), has applied for exemption under clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 17 of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952);

And whereas in the opinion of the Central Government, the rules of the provident fund of the said establishment with respect to the rates of contribution are not less favourable to the employees therein than those specified in section 6 of the said Act, and the employees are also in enjoyment of other provident fund benefits which on the whole are not less favourable to the employees than the benefits provided under the said Act or under the Employees' Provident Funds Scheme, 1952 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme) in relation to the employees in any other establishment of a similar character;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 17 of the said Act, and subject to the conditions specified in the Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government hereby exempts the said establishment from the operation of all the provisions of the said Scheme and in pursuance of sub-section (3) of the said section 17, the Central Government hereby directs that—

- (a) the employer in relation to the said establishment shall pay within fifteen days of the close of the month to the Employees' Provident Fund, inspection charges at the rate of 0.09 per cent (zero point zero nine per cent) of the pay (basic wages, dearness allowance, retaining allowance, if any, and cash value of food concession admissible thereon) for the time being payable to the employees of the said establishment who would have become members under the said Scheme but for this exemption;
- (b) the said employer shall invest the provident fund contributions in Central Government securities within thirty days of the close of the month to which the contributions relate.

THE SCHEDULE

1. The employer shall submit such returns to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner as the Central Government may, from time to time, prescribe.
2. The employer shall furnish to each employee an annual statement of account or Pass Book.

3. All expenses involved in the administration of the fund including the maintenance of accounts, submission of accounts and returns, transfer of accumulations, payment of inspection charges etc., shall be borne by the employer.
4. The employer shall display on the notice board of the establishment a copy of the rules of the fund as approved by the appropriate Government and, as and when amended, alongwith a translation of the salient points thereof in the language of the majority of the employees.
5. Where an employee who is already member of the Employees' Provident Fund (Statutory Fund) or the Provident Fund of another exempted establishment is employed in his establishment, the employer shall immediately enroll him as a member of the Fund of the establishment, and accept the past accumulations in respect of such employee and credit to his account.
6. The employer shall enhance the rate of Provident Fund contribution appropriately if the rate of provident fund contribution for the class of establishments in which his establishment falls is enhanced under the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952, so that the benefits under the Provident Fund Scheme of the establishment shall not become less favourable than the benefit provided under the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952.
7. The establishment shall submit and audited balance sheet of its provident fund every year to the Regional Commissioner within 3 months of the close of the year.
8. No amendment of the rules of the provident fund shall be made without the previous approval of the Central Provident Fund Commissioner. Where any amendment is likely to affect adversely the interests of the employees, the Central Provident Fund Commissioner shall, before giving his approval, give a reasonable opportunity to the employees to explain their point of view.

[No. 11(43)67-P.F.II.]

New Delhi, the 24th August 1967

S.O. 3043.—Whereas M/s. Khandelwal Brothers Private Ltd., No. 33, Netaji Subhas Road, Calcutta-1 (hereinafter referred to as the said establishment), has applied for exemption under clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 17 of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952);

And whereas in the opinion of the Central Government, the rules of the provident fund of the said establishment with respect to the rates of contribution are not less favourable to the employees therein than those specified in section 6 of the said Act, and the employees are also in enjoyment of other provident fund benefits which on the whole are not less favourable to the employees than the benefits provided under the said Act or under the Employees' Provident Funds Scheme, 1952 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme), in relation to the employees in any other establishment of a similar character;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 17 of the said Act, and subject to the conditions specified in the Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government hereby exempts the said establishment from the operation of all the provisions of the said Scheme and in pursuance of sub-section (3) of the said section 17, the Central Government hereby directs that,—

(a) the employer in relation to the said establishment shall pay within fifteen days of the close of the month to the Employees' Provident Fund, inspection charges at the rate of 0.09 per cent (zero point zero nine per cent) of the pay (basic wages, dearness allowance, retaining allowance, if any, and cash value of food concession admissible thereon) for the time being payable to the employees of the said establishment who would have become members under the said Scheme but for this exemption;

(b) the said employers shall invest the provident fund contributions in Central Government securities within thirty days of the close of the month to which the contributions relate.

THE SCHEDULE

1. The employer shall submit such returns to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner as the Central Government may, from time to time, prescribe.
2. The employer shall furnish to each employee an annual statement of account or Pass Book.
3. All expenses involved in the administration of the fund including the maintenance of accounts, submission of accounts, and returns, transfer of accumulations, payment of inspection charges, etc. shall be borne by the employer.
4. The employer shall display on the notice board of the establishment a copy of the rules of the fund as approved by the appropriate Government and, as and when amended, along with a translation of the salient points thereof in the language of the majority of the employees.
5. Where an employee who is already member of the Employees' Provident Fund (Statutory Fund) or the Provident Fund of another exempted establishment is employed in his establishment, the employer shall immediately enroll him as a member of the Fund of the establishment, and accept the past accumulations in respect of such employee and credit to his account.
6. The employer shall enhance the rate of provident fund contribution appropriately if the rate of provident fund contributions for the class of establishments in which his establishment falls is enhanced under the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952, so that the benefits under the Provident Fund Scheme of the establishment shall not become less favourable than the benefit provided under the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952.
7. The establishment shall submit an audited balance sheet of its provident fund every year to the Regional Commissioner within 3 months of the close of the year.
8. No amendment of the rules of the provident fund shall be made without the previous approval of the Central Provident Fund Commissioner. Where any amendment is likely to affect adversely the interests of the employees, the Central Provident Fund Commissioner shall, before giving his approval, give a reasonable opportunity to the employees to explain their point of view.

[No. 11/20/67-PF.II.]

S.O. 3044.—Whereas M/s. Louis Dreyfus and Company Limited, No. 18-A, Brabourne Road, Calcutta-1 (hereinafter referred to as the said establishment), has applied for exemption under clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 17 of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952);

And whereas in the opinion of the Central Government, the rules of the provident fund of the said establishment with respect to the rates of contribution are not less favourable to the employees therein than those specified in section 6 of the said Act, and the employees are also in enjoyment of other provident fund benefits which on the whole are not less favourable to the employees than the benefits provided under the said Act or under the Employees' Provident Funds Scheme, 1952 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme), in relation to the employees in any other establishment of a similar character:

Now, Therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 17 of the said Act, and subject to the conditions specified in the Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government hereby exempts the said establishment from the operation of all the provisions of the said Scheme and in pursuance of sub-section (3) of the said section 17, the Central Government hereby directs that,—

- (a) the employer in relation to the said establishment shall pay within fifteen days of the close of the month to the Employees' Provident Fund, inspection charges at the rate of 0.09 per cent (zero point zero nine per cent) of the pay (basic wages, dearness allowance, retaining allowance, if any and cash value of food concession admissible thereon) for the time being payable to the employees of the said establishment who would have become members under the said Scheme but for this exemption;

(b) the said employer shall invest the provident fund contributions in Central Government securities within thirty days of the close of the month to which the contributions relate.

THE SCHEDULE

1. The employer shall submit such returns to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner as the Central Government may, from time to time, prescribe.
2. The employer shall furnish to each employee an annual statement of account or Pass Book.
3. All expenses involved in the administration of the fund including the maintenance of accounts, submission of accounts and returns, transfer of accumulations, payment of inspection charges, etc. shall be borne by the employer.
4. The employer shall display on the notice board of the establishment a copy of the rules of the fund as approved by the appropriate Government and, as and when amended, along with a translation of the salient points thereof in the language of the majority of the employees.
5. Where an employee who is already a member of the Employees' Provident Fund (Statutory Fund) or the Provident Fund of another exempted establishment is employed in his establishment, the employer shall immediately enrol him as a member of the Fund of the establishment, and accept the past accumulations in respect of such employee and credit to his account.
6. The employer shall enhance the rate of provident fund contribution appropriately if the rate of provident fund contributions for the class of establishments in which his establishment falls is enhanced under the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952, so that the benefits under the Provident Fund Scheme of the establishment shall not become less favourable than the benefit provided under the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952.
7. The establishment shall submit an audited balance sheet of its provident fund every year to the Regional Commissioner within 3 months of the close of the year.
8. No amendment of the rules of the provident fund shall be made without the previous approval of the Central Provident Fund Commissioner. Where any amendment is likely to affect adversely the interests of the employees, the Central Provident Fund Commissioner shall, before giving his approval, give a reasonable opportunity to the employees to explain their point of view.

[No. 11/21/67-PF.II.]

S.O. 3045.—Whereas Messrs Central Camera Co. (P) Limited, Dr D. N. Road, Bombay (hereinafter referred to as the said establishment) has applied for exemption under clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 17 of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952);

And Whereas in the opinion of the Central Government, the rules of the provident fund of the said establishment with respect to the rates of contribution are not less favourable to the employees therein than those specified in section 6 of the said Act, and the employees are also in enjoyment of other provident fund benefits which on the whole are not less favourable to the employees than the benefits provided under the said Act or under the Employees' Provident Funds Scheme, 1952 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme), in relation to the employees in any other establishment of a similar character;

Now, Therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 17 of the said Act, and subject to the conditions specified in the Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government hereby exempts the said establishment from the operation of all the provisions of the said Scheme and in pursuance of sub-section (3) of the said section 17, the Central Government hereby directs that,—

(a) the employer in relation to the said establishment shall pay within fifteen days of the close of the month to the Employees' Provident Fund, inspection charges at the rate of 0.09 per cent (zero point

zero nine per cent) of the pay (basic wages, dearness allowance, retaining allowance, if any, and cash value of food concession admissible thereon) for the time being payable to the employees of the said establishment who would have become members under the said Scheme but for this exemption;

(b) the said employer shall invest the provident fund contributions in Central Government securities within thirty days of the close of the month to which the contributions relate.

THE SCHEDULE

Conditions governing grant of exemption under section 17(1) of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952.

1. The employer shall submit such returns to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner as the Central Government may, from time to time, prescribe.
2. The employer shall furnish to each employee an annual statement of account or Pass Book.
3. All expenses involved in the administration of the fund including the maintenance of accounts, submission of accounts and returns, transfer of accumulations, payment of inspection charges etc., shall be borne by the employer.
4. The employer shall display on the notice board of the establishment a copy of the rules of the fund as approved by the appropriate Government and, as and when amended, alongwith a translation of the salient points thereof in the language of the majority of the employees.
5. Where an employee who is already member of the Employees' Provident Fund (Statutory Fund) or the Provident Fund of another exempted establishment is employed in his establishment, the employer shall immediately enrol him as a member of the fund of the establishment, and accept the past accumulations in respect of such employee and credit to his account.
6. The employer shall enhance the rate of provident fund contribution appropriately if the rate of provident fund contributions for the class of establishments in which his establishment falls is enhanced under the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952, so that the benefits under less favourable than the benefits provided under the Employees' less favourable than the benefits provided under the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952.
7. The establishment shall submit an audited balance sheet of its provident fund every year to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner within 3 months of the close of the year.
8. No amendment of the rules of the provident fund shall be made without the previous approval of the Central Provident Fund Commissioner. Where any amendment is likely to affect adversely the interests of the employees, the Central Provident Fund Commissioner shall, before giving his approval, give a reasonable opportunity to the employees to explain their point of view.

[No. 11/25/67/PF-II.]

S.O. 3046—Whereas Messrs Nathuram Ramnarayan, Private Limited, 29, D.N. Road, Fort, Bombay-1 (hereinafter referred to as the said establishment), has applied for exemption under clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 17 of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952);

And Whereas in the opinion of the Central Government, the rules of the provident fund of the said establishment with respect to the rates of contribution are not less favourable to the employees therein than those specified in section 6 of the said Act, and the employees are also in enjoyment of other provident fund benefits which on the whole are not less favourable to the employees than the benefits provided under the said Act or under the Employees' Provident Funds Scheme, 1952 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme), in relation to the employees in any other establishment of a similar character;

Now, Therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 17 of the said Act, and subject to the conditions specified

in the Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government hereby exempts the said establishment from the operation of all the provisions of the said Scheme and in pursuance of sub-section (3) of the said section 17, the Central Government hereby directs that:-

- (a) the employer in relation to the said establishment shall pay within fifteen days of the close of the month to the Employees' Provident Fund, inspection charges at the rate of 0·09 per cent (zero point zero nine per cent) of the pay (basic wages, dearness allowance, retaining allowance, if any, and cash value of 100/- concession admissible thereon) for the time being payable to the employees of the said establishment who would have become members under the said Scheme but for this exemption;
- (b) the said employer shall invest the provident fund contributions in Central Government securities within thirty days of the close of the month to which the contributions relate.

THE SCHEDULE

Conditions governing grant of exemption under section 17(1) of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952.

1. The employer shall submit such returns to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner as the Central Government may, from time to time, prescribe.
2. The employer shall furnish to each employee an annual statement of account or Pass Book.
3. All expenses involved in the administration of the fund including the maintenance of accounts, submission of accounts and returns, transfer of accumulations, payment of inspection charges etc., shall be borne by the employer.
4. The employer shall display on the notice board of the establishment a copy of the rules of the fund as approved by the appropriate Government and, as and when amended, alongwith a translation of the salient points thereof in the language of the majority of the employees.
5. Where an employee who is already member of the Employees' Provident Fund (Statutory Fund) or the Provident Fund of another exempted establishment is employed in his establishment, the employer shall immediately enrol him as a member of the Fund of the establishment, and accept the past accumulations in respect of such employee and credit to his account.
6. The employer shall enhance the rate of Provident Fund contribution appropriately if the rate of provident fund contributions for the class of establishment in which his establishment falls is enhanced under the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952, so that the benefits under the provident fund Scheme of the establishment shall not become less favourable than the benefits provided under the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952.
7. The establishment shall submit an audited balance sheet of its provident fund every year to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner within 3 months of the close of the year.
8. No amendment of the rules of the provident fund shall be made without the previous approval of the Central Provident Fund Commissioner. Where any amendment is likely to affect adversely the interests of the employees, the Central Provident Fund Commissioner shall, before giving his approval, give a reasonable opportunity to the employees to explain their point of view.

[No. 11/26/67/PF-II.]

S.O. 3047.—Whereas Messrs Bradma of India Limited Plot Nos. C 17 and C 18, Maharashtra Industrial Corporation, Industrial Estate Road, No. 16, Thana, Bombay (hereinafter referred to as the said establishment) has applied for exemption under clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 17 of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952);

And Whereas in the opinion of the Central Government, the rules of the provident fund of the said establishment with respect to the rates of contribution are not less favourable to the employees therein than those specified in section 6

of the said Act, and the employees are also in enjoyment of other provident fund benefits which on the whole are not less favourable to the employees than the benefits provided under the said Act or under the Employees' Provident Funds Scheme, 1952 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme), in relation to the employees in any other establishment of a similar character;

Now, Therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 17 of the said Act, and subject to the conditions specified in the Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government hereby exempts the said establishment from the operation of all the provisions of the said Scheme and in pursuance of sub-section (3) of the said section 17, the Central Government hereby directs that—

- (a) the employer in relation to the said establishment shall pay within fifteen days of the close of the month to the Employees' Provident Fund, inspection charges at the rate of 0.09 per cent (zero point zero nine per cent) of the pay (basic wages, dearness allowance, retaining allowance, if any, and cash value of food concession admissible thereon) for the time being payable to the employees of the said establishment who would have become members under the said Scheme but for this exemption;
- (b) the said employer shall invest the provident fund contribution in Central Government securities within thirty days of the close of the month to which the contributions relate.

THE SCHEDULE

1. The employer shall submit such returns to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner as the Central Government may, from time to time, prescribe.
2. The employer shall furnish to each employee an annual statement of account or Pass Book.
3. All expenses involved in the administration of the fund including the maintenance of accounts, submission of accounts and returns, transfer of accumulations, payment of inspection charges etc., shall be borne by the employer.
4. The employer shall display on the notice board of the establishment a copy of the rules of the fund as approved by the appropriate Government and, as and when amended alongwith a translation of the salient points thereof in the language of the majority of the employees.
5. Where an employee who is already member of the Employees' Provident Fund (Statutory Fund) or the Provident Fund of another exempted establishment is employed in his establishment, the employer shall immediately enrol him as a member of the Fund of the establishment, and accept the past accumulations in respect of such employee and credit to his account.
6. The employer shall enhance the rate of Provident Fund contribution appropriate if the rate of provident fund contributions for the class of the establishment in which his establishment falls is enhanced under the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952, so that the benefits under the provident fund Scheme of the establishment shall not become less favourable than the benefits provided under the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952.
7. The establishment shall submit an audited balance sheet of its provident fund every year to the Regional Commissioner within 3 months of the close of the year.
8. No amendment of the rules of the provident fund shall be made without the previous approval of the Central Provident Fund Commissioner. Where any amendment is likely to affect adversely the interests of the employees, the Central Provident Fund Commissioner shall, before giving his approval, give a reasonable opportunity to the employees to explain their point of view.

[No. 11/27/67-PP.11]

S.O. 3048.—Whereas Messrs Harcastle Wood and Company Private Limited, Alice Building, 337, Dr. D. N. Road, Fort, Bombay-1, (hereinafter referred to as the said establishment), has applied for exemption under clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 17 of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952);

And Whereas in the opinion of the Central Government the rules of the provident fund of the said establishment with respect to the rates of contribution are not less favourable to the employees therein than those specified in section 6 of the said Act, and the employees are also in enjoyment of other provident fund benefits which on the whole are not less favourable to the employees than the benefits provided under the said Act or under the Employees' Provident Funds Scheme, 1952 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme), in relation to the employees in any other establishment of a similar character,

Now, Therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 17 of the said Act, and subject to the conditions specified in the Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government hereby exempts the said establishment from the operation of all the provisions of the said Scheme and in pursuance of sub-section (3) of the said section 17, the Central Government hereby directs that—

- (a) the employer in relation to the said establishment shall pay within fifteen days of the close of the month to the Employees' Provident Fund, inspection charges at the rate of 0.09 per cent (zero point zero nine per cent) of the basic wages, dearness allowance, retaining allowance, if any, and cash value of food concession admissible thereon) for the time being payable to the employees of the said establishment who would have become members under the said Scheme but for this exemption,
- (b) the said employer shall invest the provident fund contribution in Central Government securities within thirty days of the close of the month to which the contributions relate.

THE SCHEDULE

1. The employer shall submit such returns to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner as the Central Government may, from time to time, prescribe.
2. The employer shall furnish to each employee an Annual Statement of Account or Pass Book.
3. All expenses involved in the administration of the fund including the maintenance of accounts, submission of accounts and returns, transfer of accumulations, payment of inspection charges etc shall be borne by the employer.
4. The employer shall display on the Notice Board of the establishment a copy of the Rules of the Fund as approved by the appropriate Government and as and when amended, alongwith a translation of the salient points thereof in the language of the majority of the employees.
5. Where an employee who is already member of the Employees' Provident Fund (Statutory Fund) or the Provident Fund of another exempted establishment is employed in his establishment, the employer shall immediately enrol him as a member of the Fund of establishment, and accept the past accumulations in respect of such employee and credit to his account.
6. The employer shall enhance the rate of provident fund contribution appropriately if the rate of provident fund contributions for the class of establishment in which his establishment falls is enhanced under the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952, so that the benefits under the Provident Fund Rules of the establishment shall not become less favourable than the benefit provided under the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952.
7. The establishment shall submit an audited Balance Sheet of its provident fund every year to the Regional Commissioner within 3 months of the close of the year.
8. No amendment of the Rules of the provident fund shall be made without the previous approval of the Central Provident Fund Commissioner. Where any amendment is likely to affect adversely the interests of the employees, the Central Provident Fund Commissioner shall, before giving his approval, give a reasonable opportunity to the employees to explain their point of view.

S.O. 3049—Whereas Messrs Sandvik Asia Limited, Bombay-Poona Road, Dapodi, Poona-12 (hereinafter referred to as the said establishment) has applied for exemption under clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 17 of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952);

And whereas in the opinion of the Central Government, the rules of the provident fund of the said establishment with respect to the rates of contribution are not less favourable to the employees therein than those specified in section 6 of the said Act, and the employees are also in enjoyment of other provident fund benefits which on the whole are not less favourable to the employees than the benefits provided under the said Act or under the Employees' Provident Funds Scheme, 1952 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme) in relation to the employees in any other establishment of a similar character;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 17 of the said Act, and subject to the conditions specified in the Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government hereby exempts the said establishment from the operation of all the provisions of the said Scheme and in pursuance of sub-section (3) of the said section 17, the Central Government hereby directs that,—

- (a) the employer in relation to the said establishment shall pay within fifteen days of the close of the month to the Employees' Provident Fund, inspection charges at the rate of 0.09 per cent (zero point zero nine per cent) of the pay (basic wages, dearness allowance, retaining allowance, if any, and cash value of food concession admissible thereon) for the time being payable to the employees of the said establishment who would have become members under the said Scheme but for this exemption;
- (b) the said employer shall invest the provident fund contributions in Central Government securities within thirty days of the close of the month to which the contributions relate.

THE SCHEDULE

1. The employer shall submit such returns to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner as the Central Government may, from time to time, prescribe.

2. The employer shall furnish to each employee an annual statement of account or Pass Book.

3. All expenses involved in the administration of the fund including the maintenance of accounts, submission of accounts and returns, transfer of accumulations, payment of inspection charges, etc., shall be borne by the employer.

4. The employers shall display on the notice board of the establishment a copy of the rules of the fund as approved by the appropriate Government and, as and when amended, alongwith a translation of the salient points thereof in the language of the majority of the employees.

5. Where an employee who is already member of the Employees' Provident Fund (Statutory Fund) or the Provident Fund of another exempted establishment is employed in his establishment, the employer shall immediately enrol him as a member of the fund of the establishment, and accept the past accumulations in respect of such employee and credit to his account.

6. The employer shall enhance the rate of provident fund contribution appropriately if the rate of provident fund contributions for the class of establishments in which his establishment falls is enhanced under the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 so that the benefits under the provident fund Scheme of the establishment shall not become less favourable than the benefits provided under the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952.

7. The establishment shall submit an audited balance sheet of its provident fund every year to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner within 3 months of the close of the year.

8. No amendment of the rules of the provident fund shall be made without the previous approval of the Central Provident Fund Commissioner. Where any amendment is likely to affect adversely the interests of the employees, the Central Provident Fund Commissioner shall, before giving his approval, give a reasonable opportunity to the employees to explain their point of view.

S.O. 3050.—Whereas Messrs. Skoda (India) Private Limited, Vulcan Insurance Building, V.N. Road, Bombay-1, (hereinafter referred to as the said establishment) has applied for exemption under clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 17 of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952),

And whereas in the opinion of the Central Government, the rules of the provident fund of the said establishment with respect to the rates of contribution are not less favourable to the employees therein than those specified in section 6 of the said Act, and the employees are also in enjoyment of other provident fund benefits which on the whole are not less favourable to the employees than the benefits provided under the said Act or under the Employees' Provident Funds Scheme, 1952 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme) in relation to the employees in any other establishment of a similar character;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 17 of the said Act, and subject to the conditions specified in the Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government hereby exempts the said establishment from the operation of all the provisions of the said Scheme and pursuance of sub-section (3) of the said section 17, the Central Government hereby directs that,—

- (a) the employer in relation to the said establishment shall pay within fifteen days of the close of the month to the Employees' Provident Fund, inspection charges at the rate of 0.09 per cent (zero point zero nine per cent) of the pay (basic wages, dearness allowance, retaining allowance, if any, and cash value of food concession admissible thereon) for the time being payable to the employees of the said establishment who would have become members under the said Scheme but for this exemption;
- (b) the said employer shall invest the provident fund contributions in Central Government securities within thirty days of the close of the month to which the contributions relate.

THE SCHEDULE

1. The employer shall submit such returns to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner as the Central Government may, from time to time, prescribe.

2. The employer shall furnish to each employee an annual statement of account or Pass Book.

3. All expenses involved in the administration of the fund including the maintenance of accounts, submission of accounts and returns, transfer of accumulations, payment of inspection charges etc., shall be borne by the employer.

4. The employer shall display on the notice board of the establishment a copy of the rules of the fund as approved by the appropriate Government and, as and when amended, alongwith a translation of the salient points thereof in the language of the majority of the employees.

5. Where an employee who is already member of the Employees' Provident Fund (Statutory Fund) or the Provident Fund of another exempted establishment is employed in his establishment, the employer shall immediately enrol him as a member of the fund of the establishment, and accept the past accumulations in respect of such employee and credit to his account.

6. The employer shall enhance the rate of provident fund contribution appropriately if the rate of provident fund contributions for the class of establishments in which his establishment falls is enhanced under the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 so that the benefits under the provident fund Scheme of the establishment shall not become less favourable than the benefits provided under the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952.

7. The establishment shall submit an audited balance sheet of its provident fund every year to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner within 3 months of the close of the year.

8. No amendment of the rules of the provident fund shall be made without the previous approval of the Central Provident Fund Commissioner. Where any amendment is likely to affect adversely the interests of the employees, the Central Provident Fund Commissioner shall, before giving his approval, give a reasonable opportunity to the employees to explain their point of view.

S.O. 3051.—Whereas Messrs. Hoechst Pharmaceuticals Limited, Dugal House, Backbay Reclamation, P.B. No. 273, Bombay-1, (hereinafter referred to as the said establishment) has applied for exemption under clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 17 of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952;

And whereas in the opinion of the Central Government, the rules of the Provident Fund of the said establishment with respect to the rates of contribution are not less favourable to the employees therein than those specified in section 6 of the said Act, and the employees are also in enjoyment of other Provident Fund benefits which on the whole are not less favourable to the employees than the benefits provided under the said Act or under the Employees' Provident Funds Scheme, 1952 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme) in relation to the employees in any other establishment of a similar character;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 17 of the said Act, and subject to the conditions specified in the Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government hereby exempts the said establishment from the operation of all the provisions of the said Scheme and in pursuance of sub-section (3) of the said section 17, the Central Government hereby directs that:—

- (a) the employer in relation to the said establishment shall pay within fifteen days of the close of the month to the Employees' Provident Fund, inspection charges at the rate of 0.09 per cent (zero point zero nine per cent.) of the pay (basic wages, dearness allowance, retaining allowance, if any, and cash value of food concession admissible thereon) for the time being payable to the employees of the said establishment who would have become members under the said Scheme but for this exemption;
- (b) the said employer shall invest the Provident Fund contributions in Central Government securities within thirty days of the close of the month to which the contributions relate.

THE SCHEDULE

1. The employer shall submit such returns to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner as the Central Government may, from time to time, prescribe.
2. The employer shall furnish to each employee an Annual Statement of Account or Pass Book.
3. All expenses involved in the administration of the Fund including the maintenance of accounts, submission of accounts and returns, transfer of accumulations, payment of inspection charges etc., shall be borne by the employer.
4. The employer shall display on the Notice Board of the establishment a copy of the Rules of the Fund as approved by the appropriate Government and as and when amended, alongwith a translation of the salient points thereof in the language of the majority of the employees.
5. Where an employee who is already member of the Employees' Provident Fund (Statutory Fund) or the Provident Fund of another exempted establishment is employed in his establishment, the employer shall immediately enroll him as a member of the Fund of the establishment, and accept the past accumulations in respect of such employee and credit to his account.
6. The employer shall enhance the rate of Provident Fund contribution proportionately if the rate of Provident Fund contributions for the class of establishment in which his establishment falls is enhanced under the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 so that the benefits under the Provident Fund Scheme of the establishment shall not become less favourable than the benefits provided under the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952.
7. The establishment shall submit an audited Balance Sheet of its Provident Fund every year to the Regional Commissioner within 3 months of the close of the year.
8. No amendment of the Rules of the Provident Fund shall be made without the previous approval of the Central Provident Fund Commissioner. Where any amendment is likely to affect adversely the interests of the employees, the Central Provident Fund Commissioner shall, before giving his approval, give a reasonable opportunity to the employees to explain their point of view.

New Delhi, the 23rd August 1967

S.O. 3052.—Whereas Messr. Franco Indian Pharmaceuticals Private Limited, 20, Dr. E. Moses Road, Bombay-11, (hereinafter referred to as the said establishment) has applied for exemption under clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 17 of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952);

And whereas in the opinion of the Central Government, the rules of the provident fund of the said establishment with respect to the rates of contribution are not less favourable to the employees therein than those specified in section 6 of the said Act, and the employees are also in enjoyment of other provident fund benefits which on the whole are not less favourable to the employees than the benefits provided under the said Act or under the Employees' Provident Funds Scheme, 1952 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme) in relation to the employees in any other establishment of a similar character;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 17 of the said Act, and subject to the conditions specified in the Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government hereby exempts the said establishment from the operation of all the provisions of the said Scheme and in pursuance of sub-section (3) of the said section 17, the Central Government hereby directs that,—

- (a) the employer in relation to the said establishment shall pay within fifteen days of the close of the month to the Employees' Provident Fund, inspection charges at the rate of 0.09 per cent (zero point zero nine per cent) of the pay (basic wages, dearness allowance, retaining allowance, if any, and cash value of food concession admissible thereon) for the time being payable to the employees of the said establishment who would have become members under the said Scheme but for this exemption;
- (b) the said employer shall invest the provident fund contributions in Central Government securities within thirty days of the close of the month to which the contributions relate.

THE SCHEDULE

Conditions governing grant of exemption under section 17(1) of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952.

1. The employer shall submit such returns to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner as the Central Government may, from time to time, prescribe.
2. The employer shall furnish to each employee an annual statement of account or Pass Book.
3. All expenses involved in the administration of the fund including the maintenance of accounts, submission of accounts and returns, transfer of accumulations, payment of inspection charges etc., shall be borne by the employer.
4. The employer shall display on the notice board of the establishment a copy of the rules of the fund as approved by the appropriate Government and, as and when amended, alongwith a translation of the salient points thereof in the language of the majority of the employees.
5. Where an employee who is already member of the Employees' Provident Fund (Statutory Fund) or the Provident Fund of another exempted establishment is employed in his establishment, the employer shall immediately enrol him as a member of the fund of the establishment and accept the past accumulations in respect of such employee and credit to his account.
6. The employer shall enhance the rate of provident fund contribution appropriately if the rate of provident fund contributions for the class of establishments in which his establishment falls is enhanced under the Employees' Provident Funds Act 1952 so that the benefits under the provident fund Scheme of the establishment shall not become less favourable than the benefits provided under the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952.
7. The establishment shall submit an audited balance sheet of its provident fund every year to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner within 3 months of the close of the year.

8. No amendment of the rules of the provident fund shall be made without the previous approval of the Central Provident Fund Commissioner. Where any amendment is likely to affect adversely the interests of the employees, the Central Provident Fund Commissioner shall, before giving his approval, give a reasonable opportunity to the employees to explain their point of view.

[No. 11/12/67 PF-II.]

S.O. 3053.—Whereas Messrs. Rallis India Limited, 21, Ramlin Street, Fort, Bombay-1 (hereinafter referred to as the said establishment) has applied for exemption under clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 17 of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952);

And whereas in the opinion of the Central Government, the rules of the provident fund of the said establishment with respect to the rates of contribution are not less favourable to the employees therein than those specified in section 6 of the said Act, and the employees are also in enjoyment of other provident fund benefits which on the whole are not less favourable to the employees than the benefits provided under the said Act or under the Employees' Provident Funds Scheme, 1952 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme) in relation to the employees in any other establishment of a similar character;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 17 of the said Act, and subject to the conditions specified in the Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government hereby exempts the said establishment from the operation of all the provisions of the said Scheme and in pursuance of sub-section (3) of the said section 17, the Central Government hereby directs that,—

- (a) the employer in relation to the said establishment shall pay within fifteen days of the close of the month to the Employees' Provident Fund, inspection charges at the rate of 0.09 per cent (zero point zero nine per cent) of the pay (basic wages, dearness allowance, retaining allowance, if any, and cash value of food concession admissible thereon) for the time being payable to the employees of the said establishment who would have become members under the said Scheme but for this exemption;
- (b) the said employer shall invest the provident fund contributions in Central Government securities within thirty days of the close of the month to which the contributions relate.

THE SCHEDULE

1. The employer shall submit such returns to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner as the Central Government may, from time to time, prescribe.
2. The employer shall furnish to each employee an annual statement of account or Pass Book.
3. All expenses involved in the administration of the fund including the maintenance of accounts, submission of accounts and returns, transfer of accumulations, payment of inspection charges etc., shall be borne by the employer.
4. The employer shall display on the notice board of the establishment a copy of the rules of the fund as approved by the appropriate Government and, as and when amended, alongwith a translation of the salient points thereof in the language of the majority of the employees.
5. Where an employee who is already member of the Employees' Provident Fund (Statutory Fund) or the Provident Fund of another exempted establishment is employed in his establishment, the employer shall immediately enrol him as a member of the fund of the establishment, and accept the past accumulations in respect of such employee and credit to his account.
6. The employer shall enhance the rate of provident fund contribution appropriately if the rate of provident fund contributions for the class of establishments in which his establishment falls is enhanced under the Employees' Provident Funds Act 1952 so that the benefits under the provident fund Scheme of the establishment shall not become less favourable than the benefits provided under the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952.

7. The establishment shall submit an audited balance sheet of its provident fund every year to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner within 3 months of the close of the year.
8. No amendment of the rules of the provident fund shall be made without the previous approval of the Central Provident Fund Commissioner. Where any amendment is likely to affect adversely the interests of the employees, the Central Provident Fund Commissioner shall, before giving his approval, give a reasonable opportunity to the employees to explain their point of view.

[No. 11/56/67/PP-II.]

S.O. 3054.—Whereas Messrs LPE Aiyars Private Limited, 5, Convent Street, Colaba, Bombay-1 (hereinafter referred to as the said establishment) has applied for exemption under clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 17 of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952);

And whereas in the opinion of the Central Government, the rules of the provident fund of the said establishment with respect to the rates of contribution are not less favourable to the employees therein than those specified in section 6 of the said Act, and the employees are also in enjoyment of other provident fund benefits which on the whole are not less favourable to the employees than the benefits provided under the said Act or under the Employees' Provident Funds Scheme, 1952 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme) in relation to the employees in any other establishment of a similar character;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 17 of the said Act, and subject to the conditions specified in the Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government hereby exempts the said establishment from the operation of all the provisions of the said Scheme and in pursuance of sub-section (3) of the said section 17, the Central Government hereby directs that—

- (a) the employer in relation to the said establishment shall pay within fifteen days of the close of the month to the Employees' Provident Fund, inspection charges at the rate of 0.09 per cent (zero point zero nine per cent) of the pay (basic wages, dearness allowance, retaining allowance, if any, and cash value of food concession admissible thereon) for the time being payable to the employees of the said establishment who would have become members under the said Scheme but for this exemption;
- (b) the said employer shall invest the provident fund contributions in Central Government securities within thirty days of the close of the month to which the contributions relate.

THE SCHEDULE

1. The employer shall submit such returns to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner as the Central Government may, from time to time, prescribe.
2. The employer shall furnish to each employee an annual statement of account or Pass Book.
3. All expenses involved in the administration of the fund including the maintenance of accounts, submission of accounts and returns, transfer of accumulations, payment of inspection charges etc. shall be borne by the employer.
4. The employer shall display on the notice board of the establishment a copy of the rules of the fund as approved by the appropriate Government and, as and when amended, alongwith a translation of the salient points thereof in the language of the majority of the employees.
5. Where an employee who is already member of the Employees' Provident Fund (Statutory Fund) or the Provident Fund of another exempted establishment is employed in his establishment, the employer shall immediately enrol him as a member of the fund of the establishment, and accept the past accumulations in respect of such employee and credit to his account.
6. The employer shall enhance the rate of provident fund contribution appropriately if the rate of provident fund contributions for the class of establishments in which his establishment falls is enhanced under the

Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 so that the benefits under the provident fund Scheme of the establishment shall not become less favourable than the benefit provided under the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952.

7. The establishment shall submit an audited balance sheet of its provident fund every year to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner within 3 months of the close of the year.
8. No amendment of the rules of the provident fund shall be made without the previous approval of the Central Provident Fund Commissioner. Where any amendment is likely to affect adversely the interests of the employees, the Central Provident Fund Commissioner shall, before giving his approval, give a reasonable opportunity to the employees to explain their point of view

[No. 11/55/67/PP-II.9]

S.O. 3055.—Whereas Messrs Bajaj Tempo Limited, Chinchwad, Poona-19 (hereinafter referred to as the said establishment) has applied for exemption under clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 17 of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952);

And whereas in the opinion of the Central Government, the rules of the provident fund of the said establishment with respect to the rates of contribution are not less favourable to the employees therein than those specified in section 6 of the said Act, and the employees are also in enjoyment of other provident fund benefits which on the whole are not less favourable to the employees than the benefits provided under the said Act or under the Employees' Provident Funds Scheme, 1952 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme) in relation to the employees in any other establishment of a similar character;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 17 of the said Act, and subject to the conditions specified in the Schedule annexed hereto the Central Government hereby exempts the said establishment from the operation of all the provisions of the said Scheme and in pursuance of sub-section (3) of the said section 17, the Central Government hereby directs that,—

- (a) the employer in relation to the said establishment shall pay within fifteen days of the close of the month to the Employees' Provident Fund, inspection charges at the rate of 0.09 per cent (zero point zero nine per cent) of the pay (basic wages, dearness allowance, retaining allowance, if any and cash value of food concession admissible thereon) for the time being payable to the employees of the said establishment who would have become members under the said Scheme but for this exemption;
- (b) the said employer shall invest the provident fund contributions in Central Government securities within thirty days of the close of the month to which the contributions relate.

THE SCHEDULE

1. The employer shall submit such returns to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner as the Central Government may, from time to time, prescribe.
2. The employer shall furnish to each employee an annual statement of account or Pass Book.
3. All expenses involved in the administration of the fund including the maintenance of accounts, submission of accounts and returns, transfer of accumulations, payment of inspection charges etc., shall be borne by the employer.
4. The employer shall display on the notice board of the establishment a copy of the rules of the fund as approved by the appropriate Government and, as and when amended, alongwith a translation of the salient points thereof in the language of the majority of the employees.
5. Where an employee who is already member of the Employees' Provident Fund (Statutory Fund) or the Provident Fund of another exempted establishment is employed in his establishment, the employer shall immediately enrol him as a member of the fund of the establishment, and accept the past accumulations in respect of such employee and credit to his account.

6. The employers shall enhance the rate of provident fund contributions appropriately if the rate of provident fund contributions for the class of establishments in which his establishment falls is enhanced under the Employees' Provident Funds Act 1952 so that the benefits under the provident fund Scheme of the establishment shall not become less favourable than the benefit provided under the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952.
7. The establishment shall submit an audited balance sheet of its provident fund every year to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner within 3 months of the close of the year.
8. No amendment of the rules of the provident fund shall be made without the previous approval of the Central Provident Fund Commissioner. Where any amendment is likely to affect adversely the interests of the employees, the Central Provident Fund Commissioner shall, before giving his approval, give a reasonable opportunity to the employees to explain their point of view.

[No. 11/32/67-PF II]

S O 3656.—Whereas Messrs Thos Cook and Sons (Continental and Overseas) Limited, Cooks Building, Dr. D. N. Road, Bombay-1 (hereinafter referred to as the said establishment) has applied for exemption under clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 17 of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (10 of 1952),

And whereas in the opinion of the Central Government, the rules of the provident fund of the said establishment with respect to the rates of contribution are not less favourable to the employees therein than those specified in section 6 of the said Act and the employees are also in enjoyment of other provident fund benefits which on the whole are not less favourable to the employees than the benefits provided under the said Act or under the Employees' Provident Funds Scheme, 1952 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme) in relation to the employees in any other establishment of a similar character;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 17 of the said Act, and subject to the conditions specified in the Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government hereby exempts the said establishment from the operation of all the provisions of the said Scheme and in pursuance of sub-section (3) of the said section 17, the Central Government hereby directs that,—

- (a) the employer in relation to the said establishment shall pay within fifteen days of the close of the month to the Employees' Provident Fund, inspection charges at the rate of 0.09 per cent (zero point zero nine per cent) of the pay (basic wages, dearness allowance, retaining allowance, if any, and cash value of food concession admissible thereon) for the time being payable to the employees of the said establishment who would have become members under the said Scheme but for this exemption;
- (b) the said employer shall invest the provident fund contributions in Central Government securities within thirty days of the close of the month to which the contributions relate.

THE SCHEDULE

- 1 The employer shall submit such returns to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner as the Central Government may, from time to time, prescribe.
- 2 The employer shall furnish to each employee an annual statement of account or Pass Book.
- 3 All expenses involved in the administration of the fund including the maintenance of accounts, submission of accounts and returns, transfer of accumulations, payment of inspection charges etc., shall be borne by the employer.
- 4 The employer shall display on the notice board of the establishment a copy of the rules of the fund as approved by the appropriate Government and as and when amended, alongwith a translation of the salient points thereof in the language of the majority of the employees.
5. Where an employee who is already member of the Employees' Provident Fund (Statutory Fund) or the Provident Fund of another exempted

establishment is employed in his establishment, the employer shall immediately enrol him as a member of the fund of the establishment, and accept the past accumulations in respect of such employee and credit to his account.

6. The employer shall enhance the rate of provident fund contribution appropriately if the rate of provident fund contributions for the class of establishments in which his establishment falls is enhanced under the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 so that the benefits under the provident fund Scheme of the establishment shall not become less favourable than the benefits provided under the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952.
7. The establishment shall submit an audited balance sheet of its provident fund every year to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner within 3 months of the close of the year.
8. No amendment of the rules of the provident fund shall be made without the previous approval of the Central Provident Fund Commissioner. Where any amendment is likely to affect adversely the interests of the employees, the Central Provident Fund Commissioner shall, before giving his approval, give a reasonable opportunity to the employees to explain their point of view.

[No. 11/44/67/PF-II.]

S.O. 3057.—Whereas Messrs Indian Oil Corporation Limited (Marketing Division), 254-C, Annie Besant Road, Worli, Bombay-18, (hereinafter referred to as the said establishment) has applied for exemption under clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 17 of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952);

And whereas in the opinion of the Central Government, the rules of the provident fund of the said establishment with respect to the rates of contribution are not less favourable to the employees therein than those specified in section 6 of the said Act, and the employees are also in enjoyment of other provident fund benefits which on the whole are not less favourable to the employees than the benefits provided under the said Act or under the Employees' Provident Funds Scheme, 1952 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme) in relation to the employees in any other establishment of a similar character;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 17 of the said Act, and subject to the conditions specified in the Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government hereby exempts the said establishment from the operation of all the provisions of the said Scheme and in pursuance of sub-section (3) of the said section 17, the Central Government hereby directs that—

- (a) the employer in relation to the said establishment shall pay within fifteen days of the close of the month to the Employees' Provident Fund, inspection charges at the rate of 0.09 per cent (zero point zero nine per cent) of the pay (basic wages, dearness allowance, retaining allowance, if any and cash value of food concession admissible thereon) for the time being payable to the employees of the said establishment who would have become members under the said Scheme but for this exemption;
- (b) the said employer shall invest the provident fund contributions in Central Government securities within thirty days of the close of the month to which the contributions relate.

THE SCHEDULE

1. The employer shall submit such returns to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner as the Central Government may, from time to time, prescribe.
2. The employer shall furnish to each employee an annual statement of account or Pass Book.
3. All expenses involved in the administration of the fund including the maintenance of accounts, submission of accounts and returns, transfer of accumulations, payment of inspection charges etc., shall be borne by the employer.
4. The employer shall display on the notice board of the establishment a copy of the rules of the fund as approved by the appropriate Government and, as and when amended, alongwith a translation of the salient points thereof in the language of the majority of the employees.

- 5 Where an employee who is already member of the Employees' Provident Fund (Statutory Fund) or the Provident Fund of another exempted establishment is employed in his establishment, the employer shall immediately enrol him as a member of the fund of the establishment, and accept the past accumulations in respect of such employee and credit to his account.
- 6 The employer shall enhance the rate of provident fund contribution appropriately if the rate of provident fund contributions for the class of establishments in which his establishment falls is enhanced under the Employees' Provident Funds Act 1952 so that the benefits under the provident fund scheme of the establishment shall not become less favourable than the benefits provided under the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952.
7. The establishment shall submit an audited balance sheet of its provident fund every year to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner within 3 months of the close of the year.
8. No amendment of the rules of the provident fund shall be made without the previous approval of the Central Provident Fund Commissioner. Where any amendment is likely to affect adversely the interests of the employees, the Central Provident Fund Commissioner shall, before giving his approval, give a reasonable opportunity to the employees to explain their point of view.

[No. 11/13/67/PF-II]

S.O. 3058.—Whereas Messrs J. Walter Thompson Company Private Limited, Lakshmi Building, Sir Pherozshah Mehta Road, Bombay-1 (hereinafter referred to as the said establishment) has applied for exemption under clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 17 of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952);

And whereas in the opinion of the Central Government, the rules of the provident fund of the said establishment with respect to the rates of contribution are not less favourable to the employees therein than those specified in section 6 of the said Act, and the employees are also in enjoyment of other provident fund benefits which on the whole are not less favourable to the employees than the benefits provided under the said Act or under the Employees' Provident Funds Scheme, 1952 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme) in relation to the employees in any other establishment of a similar character;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 17 of the said Act and subject to the conditions specified in the Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government hereby exempts the said establishment from the operation of all the provisions of the said Scheme and in pursuance of sub-section (3) of the said section 17, the Central Government hereby directs that—

- (a) the employer in relation to the said establishment shall pay within fifteen days of the close of the month to the Employees' Provident Fund Inspection charges at the rate of 0.09 per cent (zero point zero nine per cent) of the pay (basic wages, dearness allowance, retaining allowance, if any, and cash value of food concession admissible thereon) for the time being payable to the employees of the said establishment who would have become members under the said Scheme but for this exemption;
- (b) the said employer shall invest the provident fund contributions in Central Government securities within thirty days of the close of the month to which the contributions relate.

THE SCHEDULE

1. The employer shall submit such returns to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner as the Central Government may, from time to time, prescribe.
- 2 The employer shall furnish to each employee an annual statement of account or Pass Book.
3. All expenses involved in the administration of the fund including the maintenance of accounts, submission of accounts and returns, transfer of accumulations, payment of inspection charges etc., shall be borne by the employer.

4. The employer shall display on the notice board of the establishment a copy of the rules of the fund as approved by the appropriate Government and, as and when amended, alongwith a translation of the salient points thereof in the language of the majority of the employees.
5. Where an employee who is already member of the Employees' Provident Fund (Statutory Fund) or the Provident Fund of another exempted establishment is employed in his establishment, the employer shall immediately enrol him as a member of the fund of the establishment, and accept the past accumulations in respect of such employee and credit to his account.
6. The employer shall enhance the rate of provident fund contribution appropriately if the rate of provident fund contributions for the class of establishments in which his establishment falls is enhanced under the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 so that the benefits under the provident fund scheme of the establishment shall not become less favourable than the benefits provided under the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952.
7. The establishment shall submit an audited balance sheet of its provident fund every year to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner within 3 months of the close of the year.
8. No amendment of the rules of the provident fund shall be made without the previous approval of the Central Provident Fund Commissioner. Where any amendment is likely to affect adversely the interests of the employees, the Central Provident Fund Commissioner shall, before giving his approval, give a reasonable opportunity to the employees to explain their point of view.

[No. 11/40/67/PT-II.]

S.O. 3059.—Whereas Messrs Ama Private Limited, Canada Building, Dr. D. N. Road, Bombay-1 (hereinafter referred to as the said establishment) has applied for exemption under clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 17 of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952);

And whereas in the opinion of the Central Government, the rules of the provident fund of the said establishment with respect to the rates of contribution are not less favourable to the employees therein than those specified in section 8 of the said Act, and the employees are also in enjoyment of other provident fund benefits which on the whole are not less favourable to the employees than the benefits provided under the said Act or under the Employees' Provident Funds Scheme, 1952 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme) in relation to the employees in any other establishment of a similar character;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 17 of the said Act, and subject to the conditions specified in the Schedule annexed hereto the Central Government hereby exempts the said establishment from the operation of all the provisions of the said Scheme and in pursuance of sub-section (3) of the said section 17, the Central Government hereby directs that,—

- (a) the employer in relation to the said establishment shall pay within fifteen days of the close of the month to the Employees' Provident Fund, inspection charges at the rate of 0.09 per cent (zero point zero nine per cent) of the pay (basic wages, dearness allowance, retaining allowance, if any, and cash value of food concession admissible thereon) for the time being payable to the employees of the said establishment who would have become members under the said Scheme but for this exemption;
- (b) the said employer shall invest the provident fund contributions in Central Government securities within thirty days of the close of the month to which the contributions relate.

THE SCHEDULE

1. The employer shall submit such returns to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner as the Central Government may, from time to time, prescribe.
2. The employer shall furnish to each employee an annual statement of account or Pass Book.

3. All expenses involved in the administration of the fund including the maintenance of accounts, submission of accounts and returns, transfer of accumulations, payment of inspection charges etc., shall be borne by the employer.
4. The employer shall display on the notice board of the establishment a copy of the rules of the fund as approved by the appropriate Government and, as and when amended, alongwith a translation of the salient points thereof in the language of the majority of the employees.
5. Where an employee who is already member of the Employees' Provident Fund (Statutory Fund) or the Provident Fund of another exempted establishment is employed in his establishment, the employer shall immediately enrol him as a member of the fund of the establishment, and accept the past accumulations in respect of such employee and credit to his account.
6. The establishment shall enhance the rate of provident fund contribution appropriately if the rate of provident fund contributions for the class of establishments in which the said establishment falls is enhanced under the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 so that the benefits under the provident fund Scheme of the establishment shall not become less favourable than the benefits provided under the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952.
7. The establishment shall submit an audited balance sheet of its provident fund every year to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner within 3 months of the close of the year.
8. No amendment of the rules of the provident fund shall be made without the previous approval of the Central Provident Fund Commissioner. Where any amendment is likely to affect adversely the interests of the employees the Central Provident Fund Commissioner shall before giving his approval, give a reasonable opportunity to the employees to explain their point of view.

[No. 11/39/67/PF-II]

CORRIGENDUM

New Delhi, the 22nd August 1967

S.O. 3060.—In the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) No. S.O. 2279 dated the 30th June, 1967 and published in the Gazette of India, Part II, Section 3, Sub-section (II), at page 2299—

In lines 1 and 2, for "clause (a) of section 4 of the Employees' State Insurance (Amendment) Act, 1966 (44 of 1966)" read "clauses (a) and (b) of section 4 of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948)."

[No. F. 1/11/67-HI]

DALJIT SINGH, Under Secy.

(Department of Labour & Employment)

New Delhi, the 23rd August 1967

S.O. 3061.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 5 of the Mines Act 1952 (35 of 1952), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri Puranchandra Dayaldas Chaudhary as Inspector of Mines subordinate to the Chief Inspector of Mines and makes the following further amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour and Employment S.O. No. 531 dated the 2nd March 1961 namely:—

In the said notification the following entry shall be added at the end, namely:—
"(102) Shri Puranchandra Dayaldas Chaudhary".

[No. 8/76/66-MI.]

J. D. TEWARI, Under Secy.

(Department of Labour & Employment)

New Delhi, the 23rd August 1967

S.O. 3062.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the additional Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Bhurkunda Colliery of National Coal Development Corporation Limited, Post Office Bhurkunda, District Hazaribagh and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 16th August, 1967.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT ADDITIONAL INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, DHANBAD

In the matter of a reference under section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

REFERENCE NO. 114 OF 1967

PARTIES.

Employers in relation to the Bhurkunda Colliery of National Coal Development Corporation Limited, Post Office: Bhurkunda, Dist. Hazaribagh.

AND

Their Workmen

PRESENT:

Sri Nandagiri Venkata Rao.—*Presiding Officer.*

APPEARANCES:

For the Employers—Shri A. N. Goswamy, Group Personnel Officer.

For the Workmen—Shri K. C. Choudhury, Secretary, Colliery Mazdoor Sangh.

STATE: Bihar.

INDUSTRY: Coal.

Dhanbad, dated the 5th August 1967

AWARD

The Central Government, being of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Bhurkunda Colliery of National Coal Development Corporation Limited, Post Office Bhurkunda, Distt., Hazaribagh and their workmen, by its order No. 1/27/63-LRII dated 2nd March, 1966, referred to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad under section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 for adjudication the dispute in respect of the matters specified in the schedule annexed thereto. The schedule is extracted below:

SCHEDULE

“(1) Whether the management of Bhurkunda Colliery of National Coal Development Corporation Limited was justified in refusing to grant the revised scale of pay of Rs. 110—180 to their following Number Takers:—

- (1) Shri Dhanpal Pandey,
- (2) Shri Bhuneswar Prasad,
- (3) Shri Baikuntha Singh, and
- (4) Shri Mahadeo Singh.

(2) If not, to what relief are the workmen entitled?”

2. The Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad registered the reference as reference No. 37 of 1966 on its file. Workmen filed their statement of demands. No statement of demands was filed by the employers. While it was pending before the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad the proceeding was transferred to this Tribunal, by the Central Government by its order No. 8/25/67-LRII dated 8th May, 1967, under section 33(B)(1) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. Consequently, the reference is renumbered on the file of this Tribunal as reference No. 114 of 1967.

3. On 24th July, 1967, parties filed a compromise memo stating that Sarvashri Dhanpal Pandey, Baikuntha Singh and Bhuneswar Prasad, Number Takers shall

be promoted as Lower Division Clerk in the scale of Rs. 110 to Rs. 180 that this promotion shall take effect from 2nd March, 1966, (i.e., the date of reference of the dispute before the Industrial Tribunal) that the workmen concerned shall be given arrears wages/compensation amounting to the difference in the pay actually drawn and what they would have been drawn if they had been promoted as Lower Division Clerks in the scale of Rs. 110 to 180 with effect from 2nd March, 1966, that the union agreed to drop the case of Shri Mahadeo Singh from this settlement since he has already been promoted as Lower Division Clerk in the scale of Rs. 110 to Rs. 180 and that the promotion order shall be issued to the workmen concerned within a fortnight from the date of agreement and the payment of arrear wages whatever due shall be made within a month. The workmen were represented by Shri K. C. Choudhury, Secretary, Colliery Mazdoor Sangh and the employers by Shri A. N. Goswami, Group Personnel Officer. The compromise memo is duly verified. The compromise appears to me fair and reasonable and for the benefit of the concerned workmen. The award is made in terms of the compromise and submitted under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. The compromise memo is annexed herewith and forms part of the award.

(Sd.) N. VENKATA RAO.

Presiding Officer,
Central Government,
Additional Industrial Tribunal,
Dhanbad.

ANNEXURE 'A'

Memorandum of settlement between the Management of Bhurkunda Colliery of National Coal Development Corporation Limited, P.O. Bhurkunda, Dist., Hazaribag and their workmen represented by the Colliery Mazdoor Sangh, P.O. Bhurkunda, Dist., Hazaribagh.

PRESNT:

1. Shri S. N. Singh, Dy., Supdt., of Collieries, Bhurkunda Colliery—Representing the Management.
1. Shri Damodar Pandey, Organising Secretary, Colliery Mazdoor Sangh, and
2. Shri K. C. Choudhury, Secretary, Colliery Mazdoor Sangh, Bhurkunda.—Representing the workmen.

Short recital of the case

The Colliery Mazdoor Sangh raised an Industrial Dispute for the grant of scale of pay of Rs. 110—180 to four Number Takers of Bhurkunda Colliery namely S/Shri Dhanpal Pandey, Baikunth Singh, Bhuneswar Prasad and Mahadeo Singh. Conciliation proceedings were held and a failure report was sent to the Government when the matter could not be settled amicably. Ultimately the Central Government by an order No. 1/27/63-LRTII dated 2nd March, 1966 referred the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal (Central), Dhanbad.

The parties to the dispute considered it necessary to settle the dispute amicably and in a discussion on held on 1st July, 1967, the following settlement were arrived at.

Terms of Settlement

1. That it is agreed that S/Shri Dhanpal Pandey, Baikunth Singh and Bhuneswar Prasad, Number Takers shall be promoted as Lower Division Clerks in the scale of Rs. 110—3—131—4—155. E.B.—4—175—180.
2. That this promotion shall take effect from 2nd March, 1966, (i.e., the date of reference of the dispute before the Industrial Tribunal).
3. That the workmen concerned shall be given arrears wages/compensation amounting to the difference in the pay actually drawn and what they would have drawn if they had been promoted as Lower Division Clerks in the scale of Rs. 110—180 with effect from 2nd March, 1966.
4. That the Union agreed to drop the case of Shri Mahadeo Singh, from this settlement since he has already been promoted as Lower Division Clerk in the scale of Rs. 110—180.

5. That the promotion order shall be issued to the workmen concerned within a fortnight from the date of agreement and the payment of arrear wages whatever due shall be made within a month.

For and on behalf of the workmen.

Sd./- DAMODAR PANDEY,

5-7-1967.

Sd./- K. C. CHOUDHURY,

5-7-1967.

For and on behalf of the Management.

Sd./- S. N. SINGH,

5-7-1967.

Witness

Sd./- R. SENGUPTA,
Labour Welfare Officer.
5-7-1967.

Sd./- N. N. SINHA,
Addl. Labour Welfare Officer.
5-7-1967.

[No. 1/27/68-LRII.]

New Delhi, the 24th August 1967

S.O. 3063.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Jabalpur, in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the Bhowra Kankanee Colliery, Post Office, Bhowra, District Dhanbad, and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 18th August, 1967.

**BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-
LABOUR COURT, JABALPUR**

Dated August 5, 1967

PRESENT:

Sri G. C. Agarwala—*Presiding Officer.*

REFERENCE No. 99 or 1964 (DHANBAD TRIBUNAL)

REFERENCE No. CGIT/LC(R)(45)/67 (JABALPUR TRIBUNAL)

In the matter of an Industrial Dispute between the workmen and the employers in relation to the Bhowra Kankanee Colliery (P.O. Bhowra, District Dhanbad, Bihar).

APPEARANCES:

For the Employers—Sri K. C. Nandkeolyar Authorised Representative.

For the Workmen—Sri G. Munshi, General Secretary, Khan Mazdoor Congress.

INDUSTRY: Coal Mine.

DISTRICT: Dhanbad Bihar.

AWARD

By Notification No. 2/84/64-LRII, dated August 12, 1964 the following matter of dispute as stated in the schedule to the order of reference was referred to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, for adjudication from where it was transferred to this Tribunal by Notification No. 8/25/67-LRII, dated April 25, 1967:

MATTER OF DISPUTE

- (1) Whether the suspension of Shri Gaya Mali, of the Bhowra Kankanee Colliery, by the Management of the said Colliery with effect from the 2nd December, 1963 to the 17th December 1963, was justified?
- (2) If not, to what relief is he entitled?

Parties filed their statements of claim before the Dhanbad Tribunal. After usual notices issued by this Tribunal, the parties rather their representatives on the first date of hearing which was 9th June, 1967 appeared and stated that no rejoinders were necessary. On the basis of the written statement filed on their behalf certain issues were framed. One of the issues framed was whether the

dispute was an industrial dispute and Khan Mazdoor Congress, the sponsoring Union was competent to represent. It is needless to reproduce the other issues on merits of the case as after the evidence was recorded on the whole case on 19th July, 1967 at Dhanbad and arguments were heard, it has transpired that the dispute has remained an individual dispute and is not an industrial dispute so as to confer jurisdiction to this Tribunal.

The facts relating to the dispute are that Sri Gaya Mali was suspended on 2nd December, 1963 for late attendance after a chargesheet was drawn against him. According to the management he was suspended only for 10 days and was required to join on the 11th December but he did not do so inspite of intimation sent to him on 11th December, 1963. The management, therefore, contended that after 11th December, 1963 he was actually not under suspension but he had voluntarily absented. This point, however, does not arise for consideration inasmuch from the evidence of Gaya Mali himself it appears that he became a member of the Union subsequent to the punishment. He first stated in cross-examination that he became a member of Khan Mazdoor Congress after the case was initiated, a statement which he resiled in the next breath and improved by saying that he first became member and then the case was started. Union was thereupon required to file counter-foil receipts and membership register. These had been filed by the Union in another case before the Labour Court-cum-Additional Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad in Reference No. 21 of 1967. A certified copy (Ex. W54) was filed in compliance with the Order on 22nd July, 1967. From this, it appeared that Gaya Mali became member for the first time on 12th March, 1964 and paid the subscription of Rs. 3 on that date. It follows, therefore, that on the date of punishment which was December, 1963 he was not a member of the sponsoring Union. In order that a dispute could be sponsored by a Union in respect of an individual workmen, the material time is that when the act has happened. It has to be proved that the workman concerned was a member of the said Union on the material date. Their Lordships of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Workmen of Dimakuchi Tea Estate Vs. Management of Dimakuchi Tea Estate, reported in 1958(I) LLJ p. 500 prescribed two tests and one of them was that the person regarding whom the dispute is raised must be one in whose employment, non-employment, terms of employment or conditions of labour, the parties to the dispute must have a direct and subsisting interest. When a workman was not a member of their Union they could not obviously have a subsisting interest when the cause of action arose. The latest case of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Workmen of Dharampal Premchand (Saugandhi) Vs. Dharampal Premchand (Saugandhi) reported in 1965(I) LLJ p. 668 reiterated the fact that the membership of the worker must be a subsisting one. In none of the Hon'ble Supreme Court cases the point was directly in issue and was not considered specifically. There are, however, cases of High Courts, two of which are Shamsuddin Vs. State of Kerala and others reported in 1961(I) LLJ p. 77 (High Court, Kerala) and another Padarthy Ratnam Company Vs. Industrial Tribunals and others reported in 1958 (II) LLJ p. 290 in which the point was directly in issue. In both these cases it was held that the membership of the concerned workmen must be a subsisting one at the time of the punishment and could not spring subsequently. There is no reported case to the contrary. In the instant case since Gaya Mali admittedly became member of the Union, Khan Mazdoor Congress, after the punishment the espousal by this Union will not make the dispute an industrial dispute and which remains an individual dispute. That being so, without entering into the merits of the controversy it has to be held that the dispute being not an industrial dispute this Tribunal has no jurisdiction. No order for costs.

(Sd.) G. C. AGARWALA,

Presiding Officer.

5-8-1967.

[No. 2/84/64-LRII.]

S.O. 3064.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Additional Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Junkunder Colliery, Post Office Chirkunda, District Dhanbad, and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 16th August, 1967.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT ADDITIONAL INDUSTRIAL
TRIBUNAL AT DHANBAD

In the matter of a reference under section 10((1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes
Act, 1947.

REFERENCE NO. 216 OF 1967.

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the Junkundar Colliery, Post Office: Chirkunda,
Dist. Dhanbad.

AND

Their Workmen.

PRESENT:

Shri Nandagiri Venkata Rao, Presiding Officer.

APPEARANCES:

For the Employers: Shri S. S. Mukherjee, Advocate.

For the Workmen: Shri Shanker Bose, Secretary, Colliery Mazdoor Sangh.

STATE: BIHAR.

INDUSTRY: COAL.

Dhanbad, the 5th August, 1967.

AWARD

The Central Government, being of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Junkundar Colliery, Post Office: Chirkunda, Dist. Dhanbad and their workmen, by its order No. 2(157)/66-LR-II dated 3rd February 1967, referred to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad under section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 for adjudication the dispute in respect of the matters specified in the schedule annexed thereto. The schedule is extracted below:

SCHEDULE

'Whether the action of the management of Junkundar Colliery of Messrs D. Mondal and Company, Post Office, Chirkunda, District Dhanbad, in dismissing the following workmen with effect from the 27th July, 1966 was justified?

1. Shri Rambrich Nonia
2. Shri Balkeswar Nunia
3. Shri Budhan Nunia
4. Shri Lalit Nunia
5. Shri Daras Ram Satnami
6. Shri Ramdhani Nunia
7. Shri Ramkishun Nunia
8. Shri Basdeo Ram
9. Shri Balkeswar Nunia, No. 2
10. Shri Roshan Nunia
11. Shri Khairati Mia
12. Shri Ramkirit Jadav
13. Shri Bilash Dusad
14. Shri Seonandan Nunia
15. Shri Raghuni Nunia
16. Shri Rampeari Nunia
17. Shri Jagdish Nunia
18. Shri Mukhlal Jadav
19. Shri Rampati Nunia
20. Shri Ram Khelawan Nunia
21. Shri Ramdeo Nunia
22. Shri Hanuman Das
23. Shri Bhola Singh
24. Shri Nageswar Ram
25. Shri Govind Bhuria No. 1,
26. Shri Govind Bhuria No. 2,
27. Shri Ramanandan Nunia
28. Shri Sukhdeo Nunia

If not, to what relief are the workmen entitled?"

2. The Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad registered the reference, as Reference No. 19 of 1967 on its file. No statement of demands was filed by either of the parties. While it was pending before the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad the proceeding was transferred to this Tribunal, by the Central Government by its order No. 8/25/67-LRII dated 8th May 1967 under section 33(B)(1) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. Consequently the reference is renumbered on the file of this Tribunal as reference No. 216 of 1967.

3. On 18th July 1967 parties have filed compromise memo stating that it is agreed between them that all the 28 workmen concerned in the reference will be reinstated to their posts with immediate effect and the period of their idleness will be treated as leave without pay. The workmen were represented by Shri Shanker Bose, Secretary, Colliery Mazdoor Sangh and the employers by Shri S. S. Mukherjee, Advocate. The compromise memo is duly verified. Shri Shanker Bose further stated that all the concerned workmen have already been reinstated in their jobs. I consider the terms of compromise fair and reasonable and for the benefit of the concerned workmen. The compromise is, therefore, accepted and the award is made in terms of the compromise and submitted under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. The compromise memo is annexed herewith and forms part of the Award.

(Sd.) N. VENKATA RAO,
Presiding Officer.

BEFORE THE HON'BLE PRESIDING OFFICER
CENTRAL GOVERNMENT ADDITIONAL INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL
DHANBAD

A.T. REFERENCE NO. 216 OF 1967
I.T. REFERENCE NO. 19 OF 1967

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to Junkundar Colliery P. O. Chirkunda, Dist. Dhanbad

AND

Their Workmen represented by the Colliery Mazdoor Sangh. Dhanbad.

Joint Petition of Compromise

The Parties above-named most respectfully beg to submit as under:—

(1) That the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour and Employment vide their Notification No. 2(157)/66-LRII dated 3rd February, 1967 referred the under-mentioned matter for Adjudication to this Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad:—

"Whether the action of the management of Junkundar Colliery of Messrs. D. Mondal and Company, Post Office Chirkunda, District Dhanbad, in dismissing the following workmen with effect from the 27th July, 1966 was justified?

1. Shri Rambrich Nonia
2. Shri Balkeswar Nunia
3. Shri Budhan Nunia
4. Shri Lalit Nunia
5. Shri Daras Ram Satnami
6. Shri Ramdhani Nunia
7. Shri Ramkishun Nunia
8. Shri Basdeo Ram
9. Shri Balkeswar Nunia, No. 2
10. Shri Rohan Nunia
11. Shri Khairati Mia
12. Shri Ram Kirit Jadav
13. Shri Bilash Dusad
14. Shri Seonandan Nunia
15. Shri Raghuni Nunia
16. Shri Rampeari Nunia
17. Shri Jagdish Nunia
18. Shri Mukhlal Jadav
19. Shri Rampati Nunia
20. Shri Ram Khelawan Nunia
21. Shri Ramdeo Nunia

- 22. Shri Hanuman Das
- 23. Shri Bhola Singh Dusadh
- 24. Shri Nageswar Ram
- 25. Shri Govind Bhuria No. 1
- 26. Shri Govind Bhuria No. 2
- 27. Shri Ramnanian Nunia
- 28. Shri Sukdeo Nunia

If not to what relief are the workmen entitled?"

(2) That the Parties above-named have in the meantime discussed the dispute in reference and have agreed to mutually settle the issue on the following terms:—

I. It is agreed that all the 28 workmen involved in Reference No. (A.T.) 216 of 1967 (I.T. Reference No. 19 of 1967) be reinstated to their posts with immediate effect.

II. The period of idleness will be treated as leave without pay.

(3) Under the circumstances, the Parties herein concerned most respectfully beg to pray that this Hon'ble Tribunal may graciously be pleased to accept the settlement stated hereinabove and pass an Award in terms thereof.

And for this the Parties as in duty bound shall ever pray.

For the Employers
(Sd.) N. B. MONDAL,

General Supervisor.
(Sd.) S. S. MUKHERJEE,
Advocate.

For the Workmen
for Colliery Mazdoor Sangh
(Sd.) SHANKER BOSE,
Secretary
(Sd.) R. S. SHARMA,
Branch Secretary.

For the two Workmen Concerned.

- 1. RAMBIRCHH NUNIA.
- 2. BAL KRISHAN NUNIA.

Dhanbad, the 19th July, 1967

[No. 2/157/66-LRII.]

S.O. 3065.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Additional Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the East Benedih Colliery of Messrs East Bengal Coal Concern Private Limited, Post Office Nawagarh, District Dhanbad and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 16th August, 1967.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT ADDITIONAL INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, DHANBAD

In the matter of a reference under section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

REFERENCE NO. 52 OF 1967

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the East Benedih Colliery of Messrs East Bengal Coal Concern Private Limited (Post Office, Nawagarh, Dist. Dhanbad)

AND

Their Workmen.

PRESENT:

Shri Nandagiri Venkata Rao, Presiding Officer.

APPEARANCES:

For the Employers—Shri S. K. Chatterjee, Advocate.

For the Workmen—Shri S. P. Singh, Secretary, Colliery Mazdoor Sangh.

STATE: Bihar.

INDUSTRY: Coal.

Dhanbad, dated the 5th August, 1967

AWARD

The Central Government, being of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the East Benedih Colliery of Messrs East

Bengal Coal Concern Private Limited (Post Office Nawagarh, Dist. Dhanbad) and their workmen, by its order No. 2/36/65-LRII dated 7th June, 1965 referred to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad under section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 for adjudication the dispute in respect of the matters specified in the schedule annexed hereto. The schedule is extracted below:

"SCHEDULE

(1) Whether the following miners were wrongfully stopped from work with effect from the 20th January, 1965 by the management of the East Bengdih Colliery of Messrs. East Bengal Coal Concern Private Limited and whether the said action amounts to victimisation?

- (1) Shri Manick Mahara,
- (2) Shri Kalicharan Mahara,
- (3) Shri Kishan Mahara,
- (4) Shri Jagadish Mahara No. II
- (5) Shri Lachu Mahara,
- (6) Shri Sudan Bhuria, No. I
- (7) Shri Sudan Bhuria No. II

(2) If so, to what relief are the workmen entitled?

2. The Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad registered the reference as reference No. 101 of 1965 on its file. None of the parties filed their statement of demands. While it was pending before the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad the proceeding was transferred to this Tribunal, by the Central Government by its order No. 8/25/67-LRII, dated 8th May, 1967 under Sec. 33(B) (1) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. Consequently, the reference is renumbered on the file of this Tribunal as reference No. 52 of 1967.

3. On 24th July, 1967 parties filed a compromise memo. The workmen were represented by Shri S. P. Singh, Secretary, Colliery Mazdoor Sangh and the employers by Shri S. K. Chatterjee, Advocate. The compromise memo is duly verified. As per the compromise the parties agreed that the seven concerned workmen would be reinstated to their original posts with immediate effect, that the intervening period of their idleness would be treated as leave without pay and that all the concerned workmen would be informed for reporting for duty and be given two weeks' time for reporting for duty. I consider the terms of compromise fair and reasonable and for the benefit of the concerned workmen. The compromise memo is, therefore, accepted and the award is made in terms of the compromise and submitted under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. The compromise memo is annexed herewith and forms part of the award.

(Sd.) N. VENKATA RAO,

Presiding Officer,
Central Government
Additional Industrial Tribunal,
Dhanbad.

**BEFORE THE HON'BLE PRESIDING OFFICER CENTRAL GOVERNMENT
ADDITIONAL INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, DHANBAD**

A.T. REF. NO. 52 OF 1967

C.G.I.T. REF. NO. 101 OF 1965

PARTIES:

The Employers in relation to East Bengdih Colliery of M/s. East Bengal Coal Concern (P) Ltd., P.O. Nawagarh Dist. Dhanbad.

AND

Their Workmen represented by the Colliery Mazdoor Sangh, Dhanbad.
Joint Petition of Compromise

The parties to the above dispute most respectfully beg to submit as follows:—

(1) The Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, Employment & Rehabilitation vide their Notification No. 2/36/65-LR-II, dated 10th June, 1965 referred the following dispute for adjudication to this Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad:—

(1) Whether the following miners were wrongfully stopped from work with effect from the 20th January, 1965 by the management of the East

Benedih Colliery of Messrs East Bengal Coal Concern Private Limited and whether the said action amounts to victimisation?

- (1) Shri Manick Mahara
- (2) Shri Kalicharan Mahara
- (3) Shri Kishan Mahara
- (4) Shri Jagadish Mahara No. II
- (5) Shri Lachu Mahara
- (6) Shri Sudan Bhula No. I
- (7) Shri Sudan Bhula No. II

(2) If so, to what relief are the workmen entitled?

(2) In the meantime the parties above-named discussed the matter and have settled the dispute mutually in the following terms:—

(a) It is agreed that all the workmen named below as involved in A.T. Reference No. 52 of 1967 (C.G.I.T. Reference No. 101 of 1965) would be reinstated to their original post with immediate effect—

- (1) Shri Manick Mahara
- (2) Shri Kalicharan Mahara
- (3) Shri Kishan Mahara
- (4) Shri Jagadish Mahara No. II
- (5) Shri Lachu Mahara
- (6) Shri Sudan Bhula No. I
- (7) Shri Sudan Bhula No. II

(b) It is agreed that the intervening period of idleness of these workmen concerned would be treated as leave without pay.

(c) All the concerned workmen would be informed for reporting for their duty and be given two weeks' time for reporting for duty.

In view of the facts, the parties most respectfully pray that the Hon'ble Tribunal may be graciously pleased to pass an Award accordingly in terms of the above mutual settlement, for which the parties as in duty bound shall ever pray.

Dated, Dhanbad, the 1967.

For the Employers
for East Benedih Colliery
Sd./- Illegible,
Director.

For the Workmen
for Colliery Mazdoor Sangh
Sd./- Illegible,
Secretary.
[No. 2/36/65-LR.II.]

ORDERS

New Delhi, the 23rd August 1967

S.O. 3066.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Pure Samla Colliery of Messrs Pure Samla Coal Company Private Limited, Post Office Nutandanga, District Burdwan and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

Whether the management of the Pure Samla Colliery was justified in dismissing Shri Kirtu Kole, Pick Miner, with effect from the 10th October, 1966? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

[No. 6/125/66-LR. II.]

New Delhi, the 24th August 1967

S.O. 3067.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Dhemo Main Colliery, Post Office Sitarampur, District Burdwan, and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

Whether the demand of the twenty-nine pick miners whose names are given below and who are employed in B.C. Seam of Dhemo Main Colliery for grant of minimum guaranteed wages from 14th November, 1966 to 31st December, 1966 was justified? If so, to what relief are the workmen entitled?

Names of Pick Miners

1. Sri Ramsukh.
2. Sri Rupchand.
3. Sri Bechai.
4. Sri Satiram.
5. Sri Sidhari.
6. Sri Sadhai.
7. Sri Parohi.
8. Sri Dharmoo.
9. Sri Binda Singh.
10. Sri Dina Singh.
11. Sri Bhikhar.
12. Sri Dharamdeo.
13. Sri Manikchand.
14. Sri Dahaoo Sikh.
15. Sri Chaturi.
16. Sri Pardeshi.
17. Sri Ladhi.
18. Sri Ramnath (Jr.).
19. Sri Dukhi.
20. Sri Jugdhari.
21. Sri Sukhu.
22. Sri Ramkaran.
23. Sri Sankar.
24. Sri Bansaraj.
25. Sri Harkhal.
26. Sri Basdeb.
27. Sri Nageswar.
28. Sri Parash, and
29. Sri Bhagdu.

[No. 6/28/67-LRII.]

S.O. 3068.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the employers in relation to the West Barkuhi Colliery of Messrs. J. A. Trivedi Brothers, Post Office Parasia, District Chhindwara of Madhya Pradesh and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Jabalpur, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

Whether the management of the West Barkuhi Colliery of Messrs. J. A. Trivedi Brothers, Parasia, is justified in retrenching S/Shri Kamal, Bhuwan

Samai Lal, Baijoo and Shyam Lal, Coal Cutters of West Barkuhi Colliery with effect from the 15th October, 1966 while they (the management) closed down their East Barkuhi Colliery? If not, to what relief are the workmen entitled?

[No. 8/28/67-LRII.]

S.O. 3069.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Kendra Colliery of Messrs. Samla Collieries Limited, Post Office Pandaveshwar (Burdwan) and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

Whether the transfer of Shri Pranmohan Singh, Prop. Mazdoor from regular to Badli list with effect from the 31st March, 1967, by the management of Kendra Colliery was justified? If not to what relief is the workman entitled?

[No. 8/47/67-LR.II.]

S.O. 3070.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Digwadih Colliery of Messrs Tata Iron and Steel Company Limited, Post Office Jealgora (Dhanbad) and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed.

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Additional Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

Whether the dismissal of Shri Jagannath Ram Munshi by the management of Digwadih Colliery of Messrs Tata Iron and Steel Company Limited, Post Office Jealgora (Dhanbad), with effect from 11th June, 1966 was justified? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?

[No. 2/170/66-LRII-Vol. II.]

S.O. 3071.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Selected Samla Colliery of Messrs Selected Dalurband Coal Company (Private) Limited, Post Office Pandaveshwar, District Burdwan and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

Whether the demand of the workmen of Selected Samla Colliery (Post Office Pandaveshwar, District Burdwan) of Messrs Selected Dalurband Coal Company (Private) Limited, who had gone on strike from the 1st August, 1966 and are not working at the said colliery, that they should be allowed to resume their duties and paid full wages and other benefits with retrospective effect from the 1st August, 1966 was justified? If so, to what relief are the workmen concerned entitled and from which date?

[No. 8/127/66-LRII.]

5.O. 3072.—Whereas an industrial dispute exists between the North Ghusick Colliery of Messrs Shree Ganesh Coal Company, Post Office Majhiera, District Burdwan (hereinafter referred to as the said company) and their workmen represented by the Colliery Mazdoor Sabha, Post Office Raniganj, District Burdwan (hereinafter referred to as the Union);

And, whereas the said Company and the Union have by a written agreement, in pursuance of the provisions of sub-section (1) of section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), referred the said dispute to the arbitration of the person specified therein, and a copy of the said arbitration agreement has been forwarded to the Central Government;

Now, therefore in pursuance of the provisions of sub-section (3) of section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the said arbitration agreement, which was received by it on the 22nd August, 1967.

(Arbitration Agreement)

FORM C

(See Rule 7)

AGREEMENT

(Under Section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947)

Name of Parties:

Representing Employers: Shri Madanlal Malhotra, Partner, Ghusick Colliery of M/s. Shree Ganesh Coal Company, P.O. Majhiera, District Burdwan.

Representing Workmen: Shri Robin Chatterjee, Vice-President, Colliery Mazdoor Sabha, P.O. Raniganj, District Burdwan.

It is hereby agreed between the parties to refer the following Industrial Dispute to the arbitration of Shri N. D. Bodade, Regional Labour Commissioner (C), Dhanbad.

(i) Specific matters in dispute.

"1. Whether the management of North Ghusick Colliery, P.O. Majhiera, District Burdwan of M/s. Shree Ganesh Coal Company refused employment to the workmen named below with effect from the relevant dates shown against their respective names? If so, to what relief the workmen are entitled?"

Sl. No.	Names of the workmen	Designation	Date
1.	Shrimat Chhabi Bourin	Depot workers.	24.3.67
2.	” Khemi Bourin	-do-	24.3.67
3.	” Sadhu Bourin	-do-	24.3.67
4.	” Rete Bourin	-do-	1.5.67
5.	” Bara Tari	-do-	1.5.67
6.	” Swarna	-do-	1.5.67
7.	” Radha	-do-	1.5.67
8.	” Bara Lakshmi	-do-	1.5.67
9.	” Mansa Tari	-do-	1.5.67
10.	Shri Jagat Bali	Pick Miner	8.5.67
11.	” Ch. Momgra	-do-	8.5.67
12.	” Sarju Tari	-do-	8.5.67
13.	” Kaloo Majhi	-do-	5.4.67
14.	” Rabi Majhi	-do-	5.4.67

(ii) Details of the parties to the dispute including the name and address of the establishment or undertaking involved.

Employers in relation to North Ghusick Colliery, P.O. Majhiera, District Burdwan of M/s. Shree Ganesh Coal Company

and

Their workmen represented through the Colliery Mazdoor Sabha, P.O. Raniganj, District Burdwan.

(iii) Name of the Union, if any, representing the workmen in question.
Colliery Mazdoor Sabha, P.O. Raniganj, District Burdwan.

(iv) Total number of workmen employed in the undertaking affected.....90.

(v) Estimated number of workmen affected or likely to be affected by the dispute.....14 (fourteen).

(the decision of the arbitrator shall be binding on us)

The arbitrator shall make his award within a period of two months or within such further time as is extended by mutual agreement between us in writing. In case the award is not made within the period aforementioned, the reference to arbitrator shall stand automatically cancelled and we shall be free to negotiate for fresh arbitration.

Signatures of the Parties

(Sd.) ROBIN CHATTERJEE,

24-6-1967

Vice-President,
Colliery Mazdoor Sabha.

Representing Workmen:

Witnesses:

1. (Sd.) ILLEGIBLE.

24-6-1967.

Asstt. Labour Commissioner (C)

2. (Sd.) N. K. GHASE.

24-6-1967.

(Sd.) MADANLAL MALHOTRA,

24-6-1967

Partner Ghusick Colliery of
M/s. Shree Ganesh Coal Company.

Representing Employer:

[No. 8/51/67-LRII.]

S.O. 3073.—Whereas an industrial dispute exists between the Jeenagora East Bararee Colliery of the Jeenagora East Bararee Colliery Company, Post Office Khas Jeenagora (hereinafter referred to as the said Company) and their workmen represented by the Koyala Mazdoor Panchayat, Post Office Jharia (Dhanbad) (hereinafter referred to as the Union);

And, whereas the said Company and the Union have by a written agreement, in pursuance of the provisions of sub-section (1) of section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), referred the said dispute to the arbitration of the person specified therein, and a copy of the said arbitration agreement has been forwarded to the Central Government;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of the provisions of sub-section (3) of section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the said arbitration agreement, which was received by it on the 11th August, 1967.

(AGREEMENT)

Form 'C'

(See Rule 6)

(Under Section 10 A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947)

NAME OF PARTIES:

Representing Employers.—Shri H. K. Sharma, Manager, Jeenagora Colliery of M/s. Jeenagora East Bararee Colliery Co., Ltd., P.O. Khas Jeenagora (Dhanbad).

Representing Workmen.—Sri H. N. Singh, Vice-President, Koyala Mazdoor Panchayat, P.O. Jharia (Dhanbad).

It is hereby agreed between the parties to refer the following industrial dispute to the arbitration of Sri S. C. Gupta, Deputy Chief Labour Commissioner (C), Dhanbad.

(i) Specific matter in dispute:—

Whether the termination of service of Sri Prasadi Paswan, trammer, with effect from 5th June, 1967, on account of his absence from work from 21st May, 1967, onwards which was not

(ii) Details of the parties to the dispute including the name and address of the establishment or undertaking involved:—

(iii) Name of the Union, if any, representing the workmen in question:—

(iv) Total number of workmen employed in the undertaking affected:—

(v) Estimated number of workmen affected or likely to be affected by the dispute:—

sanctioned, is justified? If not, what relief he is entitled to.

Employer in relation to Jeenagora Colliery of M/s. Jeenagora East Bararee Colliery Co. Ltd., P.O. Khas Jeenagora (Dhanbad) and its workmen

Koyal Mazdoor Panchayat, P.O. Jharia (Dhanbad).

450.

1 (One):

We further agree that the decision of the arbitrator shall be binding on us.

The arbitrator shall make his award within a period of 3 (three) months or within such further time as is extended by mutual agreement between us in writing. In case the award is not made within the period aforementioned, the reference to arbitration shall stand automatically cancelled and we shall be free to negotiate for fresh arbitration.

Signature of the Parties:—

Representing employer:

For and on behalf of M/s. Jeenagora
East Bararee Colliery Co. Ltd.
Ltd.

Sd./- H. K. SHARMA, Manager.
25-7-1967.

Witnesses:—

1. Sd./- R. S. GIRI,
25-7-1967.

2. Sd./- S. D. SINGH,
25-7-1967.

Representing Workmen:

Sd./- H. N. SINGH.
25-7-1967.

Vice-President.
Koyal Mazdoor Panchayat, Jharia

[No. 2/94/67-LR.II.]

BALWANT SINGH. Under Secy.

(Department of Labour and Employment)

New Delhi, the 24th August 1967

S.O. 3074.—Whereas by the Notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) S.O. 725, dated the 21st February, 1967, the Central Government had declared the iron ore mining industry to be a public utility service for the purposes of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), for a period of six months from the 4th March, 1967;

And, whereas, the Central Government is of the opinion that public interest requires the extension of the said period by a further period of six months;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to sub-clause (vi) of clause (n) of section 2 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby declares the said industry to be a public utility service for the purposes of the said Act for a further period of six months from the 4th September, 1967.

[No. F. 1/75/67/LR.I.]

S.O. 3075.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Presiding Officer, Central Government Industrial Tribunal-Cum-Labour Court,

Jabalpur, in the matter of an application under section 33A of the said Act, from Shribati Nalini Ranade, Sr. Staff Nurse, represented by Shri P. K. Sen Gupta, General Secretary, Khadan Mazdoor Congress, Post Office Dalli-Rajhara, Madhya Pradesh, which was received by the Central Government on the 16th August, 1967.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-
LABOUR COURT, JABALPUR

Dated August 4, 1967.

PRESENT:

Sri G. C. Agarwala—*Presiding Officer.*

COMPLAINT No. CGIT/LC(A)(25)/67U/s 33-A I.D. ACT.

[ARISING OUT OF CASE No. 169/67 U/s 33-C(2)]

Smt. Nalini Ranade, Sr. Staff Nurse, Rajhara Mines Hospital, Rajhara c/o The General Secretary, Khadan Mazdoor Congress, P.O. Dalli-Rajhara, Distt. Durg (M.P.)—Complainant.

Versus

The General Manager, Bhilai Steel Plant, Hindustan Steel Limited, P.O. Bhilai-1, District Durg (M.P.)—Opp. Party.

APPEARANCES:

For the Complainant—Sri P. K. Sen Gupta, General Secretary, Khadan Mazdoor Congress, P.O. Dalli-Rajhara, M.P.

For the Opp. Party—Sri R. P. Singh, Senior Labour Welfare Officer.

INDUSTRY: Ore Mine.

DISTRICT: Durg (M.P.)

ORDER/AWARD

Smt. Nalini Ranade, Senior Staff Nurse, has filed this application under Sec. 33-A of I.D. Act complaining that during the proceedings of her case which she filed under Sec. 33-C(2) of the I.D. Act which is Case No. 169/67 her conditions of service have been changed and therefore there was breach of Sec. 33(1)(a) or 33(2)(a) of the I.D. Act. The application is clearly misconceived. A breach of Sec. 33 as envisaged under Sec. 33-A relates to a proceeding before a Labour Court or Tribunal "in respect of an Industrial Dispute". A proceeding under Sec. 33-C is not a proceeding relating to an industrial dispute but is in the nature of an execution proceeding conferring a right on individual workman himself. Consequently, there could be no breach of Sec. 33 merely because of the pendency of a proceeding under Sec. 33-C(2) of the I.D. Act. A single Judge ruling of the Madras High Court Ramasubbu Vs. Ram Motors Works reported in 1964 (I) LLJ has been cited. That was a case under Sec. 36(4) I.D. Act with regard to the question of representation and the meaning of the words "proceeding and dispute" were interpreted. The case is not relevant to the point in question when the wordings of Sec. 33 are clear. The application being not maintainable is rejected.

It may be mentioned that after moving this original complaint she sent another application later on stating that a new reference of industrial dispute has been made by the Central Government. If that be so, she can move a fresh application and her right to do so will not be affected.

(Sd.) G. C. AGARWALA,
Presiding Officer.

4-8-1967.

[No. 37/14/67-LR-I]

New Delhi, the 25th August 1967

S.O. 3076.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Calcutta Port Commissioners, Calcutta and their workmen which was received by the Central Government on the 23rd August, 1967.

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, CALCUTTA
 REFERENCE No. 148 of 1966

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the Port Commissioners, Calcutta.

AND

Their workmen.

PRESENT:

Shri S. K. Sen—*Presiding Officer.*

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of Employers—Shri G. V. Karlekar, Chief Labour Officer.

On behalf of Workmen—Shri S. Kar.

STATE: West Bengal

INDUSTRY: Port.

AWARD

By Order No. 28(99)/66-LRIV dated 19th November 1966, the Central Government referred for adjudication an industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Calcutta Port Commissioners, Calcutta, and their workmen represented by the National Union of Water Front Workers in respect of the matter mentioned in the following schedule:

“Whether the demand of the workers of the Dry Docks for payment of overtime for the whole night if they are let off at odd hours is justified or not? If so, what relief?”

2. In Calcutta Port there are two sets of Dry Docks, viz one set of three docks in Kidderpore dock area and one set of two dry docks in King George's dock area. There are 77 workmen employed in Kidderpore dry dock and 58 in the King George's dry dock. At each place the workmen consist of the Head carpenter, carpenters, Vice-man, Serang Tindal and laskars. Their duty is docking and undocking ships, scuttling and raising caissons, cleaning the bottom of the dry docks, making punts, trestles, supplying water to the ships and all other allied work connected with the dry dock. The workmen have one regular shift from 8 A.M. to 5 P.M. with a recess of one hour for meals between 12 noon and 1 P.M. Since Calcutta is a tidal river port and docking and undocking of ships in the dry docks depend on the tides as well as on the movements of other ships, the work of docking and undocking ships in and from the dry docks has to be done also outside the hours of normal shift. For this purpose workmen are from time to time detained beyond 5 P.M., sometimes even beyond midnight; and occasionally they are also required to come in the early hours before the beginning of the normal shifts; according to the evidence, previously they were required to come almost at any time of night say at 2 A.M. or 3 A.M., but in recent years it has been so arranged that the workmen are not called before 5 A.M. except on rare occasion. For work beyond the normal hours, overtime payment has to be made. Some overtime payment was made though at less than the double rate even before the Minimum Wages Act and the Central Rules thereunder came into force in the Calcutta Port area in 1954. Before 1954, even though the workmen might be released after their overtime duty at odd hours of the night, they were paid overtime wages only for the hours for which they had worked beyond the normal shift. Before 1947 there was no question of transport of the workmen concerned because the workmen were mostly men from Noakhali and Chittagong districts having no dwelling houses in Calcutta; they usually resided in the two rest rooms provided by the Port Commissioners within the Port area. But after 1947 the Noakhali and Chittagong men were gradually replaced by Indian Nationals many of whom have their dwelling houses in Calcutta and the neighbourhood. Also for security reasons nobody was permitted to reside within their families inside the dock area, although the workmen themselves when not employed could rest in the rest rooms within the dock area. After the Minimum Wages Act and Rules thereunder came into force in 1954, overtime wages became payable at double rate. Because there was also the question of transport of the workmen to their dwelling houses and the workmen could not find any public conveyance, i.e. bus or trams after 11-30 P.M. the workmen claimed that if they were released after 11-30 P.M. they should be treated as on standby until the beginning of the next day's normal shift i.e. until 8 A.M. and should be paid overtime wages up to 8 A.M. i.e. for the whole night and the early hours of the morning. The Officer-in-charge of the Dry Docks without reference to the Administration, agreed to pay overtime wages until 8 A.M. next morning if the workmen could not be released by 11-30 P.M. In 1960 however the clerk in-charge

of the Dry Docks office of both sections brought it to the notice of the Superintendent of Dry Docks that such payment for the whole night even if the workmen were doing no work was against the rules and had not been sanctioned by the Commissioners. A reference was thereafter made to the appropriate administrative authority and the administrative authority ruled that the payment should be made for the whole night as a matter of course if the workmen were relieved after 11-30 P.M. but they should be paid overtime only for the hours of actual work. Accordingly, from April 1960 or thereabouts, the system was introduced that payment of overtime wages should be made only for the actual hours of work even if the workmen are released at odd hours of night.

3. Both the National Union of Port Trust Employees and the Calcutta Port Shramik Union made demands for payment of overtime wages for the whole night if men were released from work at odd hours at night or booked for work at odd hours of night. By an agreement to which the Port Commissioners and the two unions were parties, signed before the Regional Labour Commissioner, Central Calcutta on 30-5-1963, it was settled that the workmen both at Kidderpore dry dock and King George's dry dock would be paid, with retrospective effect from 1st April 1962, a meal allowance for days on which having been required to work after the end of their day shift, they were released at a time when the public transport were no longer available, the rate for the meal allowance being Rs. 1/6/- per day per class III staff and Re. 1/- per day per class IV staff. Both the unions agreed not to press their demand for overtime wages for the whole night in view of the National Emergency.

4. Subsequently the National Union of Waterfront Workers (which is the new name of National Union of Port Trust Employees) raised again the claim for overtime wages for the whole night when the dry dock workers were let off at odd hours of night, and as the claim could not be settled amicably, it has come up for adjudication.

5. According to the union, the workmen of the Dry Docks enjoyed the benefit of overtime wages for the whole night if they were let off at odd hours of night after 11-30 P.M. from the middle of 1954 to March 1960, but suddenly from the month of April 1960, the Port Commissioners had stopped paying overtime wages for the whole night and had started paying overtime wages only for the actual hours of work. The union contended that the change over was made without issue of any notice under Sec. 9A of the Industrial Disputes Act and the change was therefore irregular if not illegal. Moreover, the union claimed that workmen employed in the Dock Basin Machinery unit which works the boilers and the engines required for draining out water from the dry dock are still being paid overtime wages for the night until 5-30 A.M. if they are detained beyond 11-30 P.M. The union has claimed that the discontinuance of the system of payment of overtime wages for the whole night should be held to be unjustified, and that the Port Commissioners should be directed to pay overtime wages for the whole night if the workers are let off at odd hours of night i.e. after 11-30 P.M. It may be mentioned that in the written statement the crucial hour is mentioned as 23 hours or 11 P.M., but the evidence of both sides is that overtime allowance for the whole night was paid if night work continued beyond 11-30 P.M.

6. According to the Port Commissioners the overtime payment for the whole night until 8 A.M. next day had been made under a misapprehension of the Minimum Wages Act and Rules by the Officer-in-charge of the Dry Docks and without obtaining any order from the administration, and that when the mistake was realised the mistake was rectified, and this did not amount to a change of any service condition and therefore no notice under Sec. 9A of the Industrial Disputes Act was required; but even then the workmen were called by the Superintendent of the Dry Docks and informed that henceforth they would be paid overtime wage only for the hours of actual work and that payment of overtime wages for the whole night when no work had been done had been a mistake. As regards the inconvenience of the workmen who are released at odd hours at night, the Port Commissioners have stated that the workmen are being given a night meal allowance by agreement with both the unions when they are detained beyond 11-30 P.M. and further there is a rest room where bunks have been provided for rest during the night so long as the public transport system does not begin working. The number of occasions when the men were released after 11-30 P.M. was 29 in each of the two Dry Docks in 1965, and 39 in K.P. and 28 in K.G. in 1966, according to paragraph 5 of the written statement of the Port Commissioners. According to the Port Commissioners, neither under the Minimum Wages Act and Rules thereunder nor under the general principles of equity are the workmen entitled to receive overtime wages for the period during which they do not do any work.

7. It has been argued by Shri S. Kar that because of non service of notice under Sec. 9A of the Industrial Disputes Act, the change made from April 1960 was improper. I must agree with Sri Karlekar that this question is not relevant, because in the order of reference the question propounded is merely whether the demand of the workers of the Dry Dock for payment of overtime for the whole night when they are let off at odd hours is justified. No question relating to the legality or otherwise of the stoppage of such payment from April, 1960, arises in view of the order of reference. Moreover, there being no provision in the Minimum Wages Act and the Central Rules made thereunder for payment of overtime wages for the whole night in such circumstances and there being no order of the Port Commissioners also in this respect, I must accept Sri Karlekar's contention that payment of overtime wages for the whole night was a mistake of the Officer-in-charge of the Dry Docks, and the Port Commissioners were entitled to rectify the mistake when detected; rectification of a mistake does not constitute a change in the conditions of service, because it was never a condition of service that the workmen would be paid overtime wages for the whole night even if they do not work for the whole night. Sri Kar has produced a witness from the Dock Basin Machinery Unit namely PW 2-Shyamal who has stated that if workmen of that section are engaged in work beyond 11-30 P.M. they receive overtime wages for the whole night until 5-30 A.M.. But the boiler shop under the Dock Basin Machinery unit is governed by the Factories Act and the unit is under a different department of the Port Commissioners and not under Marine department like the Dry Docks. There has been no evidence adduced before the tribunal to show in what circumstances the workmen of the Dock Basin Machinery unit are being paid overtime until 5-30 A.M. even if they do not do work during the night hours. There is no evidence whether or not there is any sanction by the Port Commissioners in this respect. The Dock Basin machinery workers do not also receive any allowance for irregular hours of work and waiting like the 10 per cent/12½ per cent overall allowance paid to the Dry Dock workers. In the circumstances, the practice of the Dock Basin Machinery Unit cannot be considered an argument for the claim by the dry dock workers when such payment is not prescribed by any rule and not sanctioned by the Port Commissioners by any departmental order. On the other hand as soon as the matter was brought to the notice of the Port Commissioners in 1960, they said that overtime payment should be made only for the actual hours of work vide the letter from the Secretary of the Port Commissioners to the Deputy Conservator, Ext. F. I must also hold that the claim to overtime payment for the whole night even when the workmen are released at odd hours of night when public transport is not available is not justified on equitable considerations because overtime payment must be payment for work overtime. Some of the workmen at present employed in the Dry Docks live quite close to their place of work; for instance, PW 1-Kalipada Dey, the only dry dock workman examined by the union, lives about 3/4th mile from Kidderpore dry dock where he works. It is quite possible for such workmen to walk home when they are released at odd hours at night. There are no doubt some workmen attached to the dry docks who live at a distance of a few miles from their place of work. But it has appeared in evidence that there is at least one rest house with 30 or 40 bunks arranged in 3 tiers where the workmen may rest when they cannot go home immediately. Sri Kar has pointed out that the number of bunks is not sufficient to provide rest to all the men employed in the dry docks. For instance, in the Kidderpore dry dock there are 77 workmen engaged but the number of bunks in the rest room is only 30 to 40. It has however appeared from the evidence of PW 1 that 25 of the workmen are carpenters and when released from overtime work they go with their tools to a different godown which has been provided for them. Shri Kar has pointed out that according to the evidence of PW 1 there is no bunk in that godown where the carpenters rest. If the carpenters would like bunks to be provided in their godown where they rest at night before public transport is available, they may make such demand before the appropriate authorities. Shri Karlekar has given the assurance that if such a claim is made by the carpenters for bunks or a claim for additional bunks is made by the laskars, the Port Commissioners will consider the claim favourably. It may be mentioned that the Commissioners not only agreed to pay a meal allowance with effect from 1st April 1962, for the days when the workmen are detained beyond 11-30 P.M., but by an earlier agreement they agreed to pay a consolidated compensatory allowance to the workmen of the dry docks for their irregular hours of release from duty or of being called to duty. The memorandum of this agreement which was made on 3rd September, 1954, is Ext. B. By clause 3 of the agreement the Chairman agreed to the payment of a fixed consolidated allowance of 12½ per cent of the gross pay and allowances excluding house rent allowance to the men engaged in Kidderpore dry docks and a consolidated rent allowance of 10 per cent of the gross pay and allowances excluding house rent

allowance to the men engaged in the King George's dry docks, as a compensation for the waiting and inconvenience caused by irregular hours of work. Shri S. Kar has urged that this allowance was agreed to, not in consideration of the men being sometimes released at night when no bus or tram is available, but in consideration of the men being willing to work when called on Sunday or holidays, or called from their homes at odd hours for emergency work. But a perusal of the agreement Ext. B does not support that argument. At present even under the system in force from April 1960 the dry dock laskars and carpenters are earning very good wages because there is a good deal of overtime work. The average gross earning of a laskar appears to be in the neighbourhood of Rs. 300 per month. In the case of some laskars, as the Registers Ext. D series and per cent E-series show, the monthly earning is about Rs. 400 or exceeds Rs. 400, while the monthly earning of some carpenters exceeds Rs. 500. The above figures no doubt include, the 12½ per cent or 10 per cent consolidated compensatory allowance just referred to. Even so, it is clear that this consolidated compensatory allowance comes to a substantial sum. This consolidated allowance, together with the meal allowance and provision of the rest house, must be considered sufficient provision for the inconvenience of release at late hours. On examination of the salary-cum-overtime register, Ext. D. series and E series, it appears that the hours of overtime put in by the carpenters and laskars are unduly high and though the workmen do such overtime work willingly because of the high reward, this system may ultimately affect the health of the workmen adversely. Even though the workmen at one stage opposed the appointment of a set of relieving laskars, the Commissioners may again consider whether such relieving laskars should be employed so that the workmen may enjoy more leisure.

8. My award therefore is that the demand of the workers of the Dry Docks for payment of overtime for the whole night if they are let off at odd hours is not justified. The workmen are therefore not entitled to such payment. The Port Commissioners may consider whether the Rest houses and bunks provided therein are sufficient to provide rest to such workmen as cannot return home because of late release from overtime work, and provide additional accommodation with bunks, if necessary.

(Sd.) S. K. SEN,
Presiding Officer.
[No. 28(99)/66-LR. IV.]

ORDERS

New Delhi, the 25th August 1967

S.O. 3077.—Whereas in industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Mercantile Bank Limited, Delhi and their workmen represented by the Delhi State Bank Employees Federation;

And, whereas the said employers and workmen have, under sub-section (1) of section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), agreed to refer the dispute to the arbitration of the person specified in the arbitration agreement and have forwarded a copy of the said arbitration agreement to the Central Government;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of sub-section (3) of section 10A of the said Act, the Central Government hereby publishes the said arbitration agreement which was received by it on the 18th August 1967.

Agreement

(Under Section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947)

BETWEEN

NAME OF THE PARTIES:

Shri D. Macfarlane, Accountant, Mercantile Bank Ltd., 'H' Block Connaught Circus, New Delhi.—*Representing employers.*

Shri P. L. Syal, President, Delhi State Bank Employees Federation, 710-Ballimaran, Delhi.—*Representing workmen.*

It is hereby agreed between the parties to refer the following industrial dispute to the arbitration of Shri S. K. Gokhale, Regional Labour Commissioner (Central) (T), A-37/A, Kirti Nagar, New Delhi.

(i) *Specific matters in dispute.*

"Whether honorarium of 50 £ payable under the Bank's circular dated 18th October, 1960 to Shri Avtar Kishan for passing Part-I of the Indian Institute of Bankers Examination held in April, 1966 should be converted into Rupees at the official rate of exchange prevailing upto 5th June, 1966 or the rate prevailing from 6th June, 1966".

(ii) Details of the parties to the dispute including the name and address of the establishment or undertaking involved.

The management of Mercantile Bank Ltd., 'E' Block Connaught Place, New Delhi and their workmen represented by the Delhi State Bank Employees Federation, Delhi.

(iii) Name of the Union if any representing the workman in question.

Mercantile Bank Employees Union, 710 Ballimaran, Delhi-6.

(iv) Total number of workmen employed in the undertaking effected: 118.

(v) Estimated number of workmen affected or likely to be affected by the dispute: 1

We further agree that the majority decision of the arbitrator shall be binding on us.

The Arbitrator shall make his award within a period of 90 days or within such further time as is extended between us in writing. In case the award is not made within the period aforesaid mentioned, the reference to arbitration shall stand automatically cancelled and we will be free to negotiate for fresh arbitration.

Dated the 8th August, 1967.

*For and on behalf of the representing
the Bank management*

Sd./- D. MACFARLANE,
Accountant, Mercantile Bank Ltd.,
'E' Block Connaught Circus New
Delhi.

*For and on behalf of the representing
the workmen.*

Sd./- P. L. SYAL, President,
Delhi State Bank Employees Fed-
eration, 710-Ballimaran, Delhi.

Witnesses:

1. Sd./- Illegible.

2. Sd./- Illegible.

Accepted

Sd./- S. K. GOXHALE,
Regional Labour Commissioner (Central) (T)
A-37/A Kirti Nagar, New Delhi

[No. 51/57/67-LRIII.]

S.O. 3078—Whereas the industrial disputes specified in the Schedule hereto annexed are pending before Shri B. S. Somasundaram, Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Madras;

And Whereas Shri B. S. Somasundaram has relinquished the post of Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Madras, held by him and Shri M. Tajammul Hussain has assumed charge as Presiding Officer of the said Industrial Tribunal;

And Whereas for the ends of justice and convenience of the parties, the disputes specified in the Schedule here to annexed should be disposed of without further delay;

Now, Therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 7A and sub-section (t) of section 33B of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby constitutes an Industrial Tribunal with Shri M. Tajammul Hussain as the Presiding Officer with Headquarters at Madras and withdraws the proceedings in relation to the said disputes from B. S. Somasundaram, and transfers the same to Shri M. Tajammul Hussain, Presiding Officer of the Industrial Tribunal hereby constituted, for the disposal of the said proceedings with the direction that the said Tribunal shall proceed with the said proceedings from the stage at which they are transferred to it and dispose of the same according to law.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Parties to the dispute	Reference No and date to the Industrial Tribunal	S. O. No. of Gazette and year of Publica- tion
1	Messrs Burn, & Company Limited, Salem, Messrs Dalnia Magnesite Corporation, Salem and Messrs Salem Magnesite (Private) Limited, Salem and their workmen	35/9/66-LRIV dated 1-11-1966	3425/66
2	Do.	Do.	Do.
3	M/s Krishna & Company and 12 others and their workmen	23(138) 65 LRIV dated 6-12-1966	3933/66
4	Punjab National Bank Ltd, Madras and their workmen	51(49)/66-LRIV dated 23-12-1966	4012/66
5	Canara Bank Limited and their workmen	51 (41)/66-LRIV dated 13-12-1966	3937/66
6	Bank of Baroda Limited and their workmen	51(74)/66-LRIV dated 27-1-67	390/67
7	Punjab National Bank Limited and their workmen	51(76)/66-LRIV dated 15-2-1967	644/67
8	Best and Company, Madras and 3 others and their workmen	23(142)/66-LRIV dated 18-2-67	647/67
9	Bank of Madurai Limited and their workmen	51(51)/66-LRIV dated 24-2-67	731/67
10	M/s Karam Chand Thapar and Bros (Coal Sales), Ltd, Madras and their workmen	28(8)/67-LRIII dated 13-3-1967	1080/67
11	M/s. N Selvavaradajalou Chetti & Co. and 7 others and their workmen	28(148)/66-LRIV 28(143)/66 LRIV dated 27-3-1967	1195/67
12	Punjab National Bank Ltd, and their workmen	51(6)/67-LRIII dated 21-3-1967	1081/67

[No. F.55(12)/67-I RIII]

S S SAHASRANAMAN, Under Secy

(Department of Rehabilitation)
(Office of the Chief Settlement Commissioner)

New Delhi, the 11th August 1967

S.O. 3079.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-Section (1) of Section 3 of the Displaced Persons (Compensation and Rehabilitation) Act, 1954, (44 of 1954) the Central Government hereby appoints Shri Harish Chander, Regional Settlement Commissioner, Jullundur as Settlement Commissioner for the purpose of performing

the functions assigned to such officers by or under the said Act with effect from the afternoon of 31st July, 1967.

[No. 5(2)63-ARG.]

New Delhi, the 16th August 1967

S.O. 3080.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-Section (I) of Section 3 of the Displaced Persons (Compensation and Rehabilitation) Act, 1954, (No. 44 of 1954), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri R. N. Sehgal, Assistant Settlement Officer in the office of the Regional Settlement Commissioner, Jullundur as Assistant Settlement Officer for the purpose of performing the functions assigned to such officers by or under the said Act with effect from the date he took over charge of his post.

[No. 7/48/55-SII/AGZ, Vol.II.]

A. G. VASWANI,
Settlement Commissioner (A) & Ex-Officio Under Secy

